# GRAPH LAPLACIAN, DIFFERENTIAL. CYCLE BASIS.

### RICHARD MONTGOMERY

ABSTRACT. Working out the incidence matrix and Laplacian in terms of the differential on a graph.

## 1. Spectral Theory of Graphs.

*References.* The best source for this material, out of the texts we have listed, is chapter 4 of Biggs. Some of the material can also be found in ch. 12 of the online Murty and towards the end of ch 3 of Bollabas.

Write  $C^0(\Gamma)$  for the vector space of all real-valued functions on the vertices of  $\Gamma$ . Several authors call this space "the vertex space". I will call it the space of functions on the graph.  $C^0(\Gamma)$  is a real vector space of dimension |V| = n:

$$C^{0}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{R}^{V(\Gamma)} =$$
 real valued functions on V

It has for a canonical basis, the "delta functions" (following Dirac), which are the functions  $\delta_v: V \to \mathbb{R}$  which are one on v and 0 off of the vertex v: in symbols:

(1) 
$$\delta_v(w) \coloneqq \delta_{vw} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v = w \\ 0, & \text{if } v \neq w \end{cases}.$$

We sometimes just write v instead of  $\delta_v$ .

Exercise. Show that any  $f \in C^0(\Gamma)$  can be expressed uniquely as  $f = \sum_{v \in V} f(v) \delta_v$ .

### 1.1. Laplacian on a graph. Define

$$\Delta: C^0(\Gamma) \to C^0(\Gamma)$$

by

$$(\Delta f)(v) = \sum_{e=vw \text{ an edge incident to } v} (f(v) - f(w)).$$

**Exercise 1.** Show that relative to the canonical basis  $\delta_v, v \in V$  we have that

$$\Delta = DEG - A$$

where DEG is the diagonal matrix whose vv entry is deg(v), and where  $A = A(\Gamma)$  is the adjacency matrix of last week.

WARNING: Biggs writes Q for our  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta$  for our DEG.

**Definition 1.** The 'tree number" of a graph  $\Gamma$  is the number of spanning trees of  $\Gamma$ .

Date: January 19, 2016.

Example: If  $\Gamma$  is disconnected then its tree number is zero since it has no spanning trees.

Example. If  $\Gamma$  is the cyclic graph on n vertices then its tree number equals to n. Example. If  $\Gamma$  is a tree then its tree number equals 1.

Recall the cofactor of an  $n \times n$  matrix M.  $C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} det(\hat{M})_{ij}$  where  $\hat{M})_{ij}$  is the  $n-1 \times n-1$  matrix we get by deleting the *i*th row and *j*th column of M.

**Theorem 1.** Every cofactor of  $\Delta$  is the same and equals to the tree number of  $\Gamma$ .

APPLICATION. Cayley's formula. Since the complete graph on n vertices contains every labelled tree, its tree number is the total number of labelled trees on nvertices - the number Cayler counted and Prüfer proved is correct.

A) Write out the matrix  $\Delta_n$  for Laplacian for the complete graph on *n* vertices (in terms of the standard basis!).

B) Let  $J_n$  be the n by n matrix all of whose entries are 1. Show that  $\Delta_n = nI_n - J_n$  where  $J_n$ .

C) Show that the ij = 1, 1 cofactor of  $\Delta_n$  is  $det(nI_{n-1} - J_{n-1})$ .

D) Compute  $det(nI_{n-1} - J_{n-1})$  by completing the following exercises which will allow us to compute  $det(\lambda I_k - J_k)$  the characteristic polynomial of  $J_k$ , for k a positive integer.

D1) Show that the rank of  $J_k$  is 1 and its nullity is k-1.

D2) Show that the only nonzero eigenvalue of  $J_k$  is k.

D3) Conclude that  $det(\lambda I - J_k) = \lambda^{k-1}(\lambda - 1)$ .

D4) Now set  $\lambda = n, k = n - 1$  to finish off the computation of the cofactor.

### 1.2. Proof of tree number formula; more Laplacian facts.

**Proposition 1.** (1) The constant functions are in the kernel of  $\Delta$ .

(2) The nullity of  $\Delta$  equals the number of connected components of  $\Gamma$ .

**Corollary 1.** If  $\Gamma$  is connected then the kernel of  $\Delta$  is one-dimensional and consists of the constant functions.

Recall: the cofactor of a matrix. Recall the cofactor formula for the inverse of a matrix. Recall that the product of a square matrix M and its cofactor matrix is equal to det(M)Id

*Remark.* It is really not so important that the functions are real-valued. They just need to take values in some field. It could be  $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}$  or even the field with two elements. For definiteness, think of them as real valued.

We define a differential by

$$df(vw) = f(w) - f(v); vw \in E.$$

so that

 $d: C^0(\Gamma) \to C^1(\Gamma) \coloneqq$  functions on the edges.

Oop! We have a problem here. Which comes first v or w? The edges, as we defined them, are unoriented: vw = wv. But for the differential to be defined we need to order them.

**Definition 2.** An orientation of an edge is an answer to the question: does e go from v to w or from w to v? If the edge goes from v to w then the orientation

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

is (v, w) and we call v the "positive end" and w the 'negative end. We write  $e_+ = w, e_- = v$ . If the edge goes from w to v then the orientation is (w, v). The two orientations are formal negatives: -(v, w) = (w, v): reversing the pair, reverses the arrow, switches signs.

An orientation of a simple graph is a choice of orientation for each edge. We write such a choice by putting a tilde over  $E: \tilde{E}(\Gamma)$ 

Bollabas, p. 6, calls these "oriented graphs". So his "oriented graph" is a directed graph arising by choosing an orientation for a simple graph.

There are  $2^{|\tilde{E}|}$  orientations of the graph. Fix one. By abuse of notation, continue to write E for  $\tilde{E}$ .

**Definition 3.**  $C^1(\Gamma)$  is the space of real-valued function on the oriented edges of the graph  $\Gamma$  and is called the "edge space".

. Bollabas around p. 38. Biggs, p. 24.

Now we have a well defined differential

$$d: C^0(\Gamma) \to C^1(\Gamma)$$

$$df(e) = f(e_+) - f(e_-)$$

CF: Murty, p. 212. Eq (12.2). Murty write  $\delta$  for d and calls the oriented edges "arcs".

Now  $C^0(\Gamma)$  and  $C^1(\Gamma)$  have a canonical basis, the vertices and edges respectively. Relative to this basis the matrix of the differential is an m by n matrix whose entries are all 0, 1 or -1. Show that relative to this basis, the matrix of d is

for 
$$e \in \tilde{E}, v \in V : M_{e,v} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e_+ = v \\ -1, & \text{if } e_- = v \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

TEXTS: this is the matrix  $B^T$  of p. 38 of Bollabas. The matrix B is called the "incidence matrix". This is the matrix  $D^T$  of Biggs, DEf. 4.2, again called the "incidence matrix" for the digraph. This matrix is NOT QUITE the matrix  $M(G)^T$  from p. 7 of Murty. What is the difference between Murty's incidence matrix M(G) and our  $M^T$ ?

Exercise. Use the basis ... Write out the matrix for the differential on  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  as indicated.

Now, if V is finite, then  $C^0(V)$  inherits a canonical inner product for which the  $\delta_v$ 's are orthonormal: Namely:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{v \in V} f(v) g(v)$$

Similarly  $C^{1}(E)$  has a canonical inner product:

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \alpha(e) \beta(e)$$

We can then compute the dual of d by:

$$\langle df, \alpha \rangle = \langle f, d^* \alpha \rangle$$

EXERCISE Show that

$$(d^*\alpha)(v) = \sum_{e:e_+=v} \alpha(e) - \sum_{e:e_-=v} \alpha(e)$$

Alternatively

$$(d^*\alpha)(v) = \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} M_{e,v} \alpha(e).$$

with M as above.

Exercise. Verify that the matrix D of  $d^*$  and the matrix M of d are related by  $M = D^T$ .

**Definition 4.** The Laplacian on the graph is the linear map

 $\Delta = d^* d : C^0(\Gamma) \to C^0(\Gamma).$ 

Exercise. Let DEG be the diagonal matrix whose entries are the degrees of the vertices:  $DEG_{vv} = deg(v)$ ;  $DEG_{vw} = 0, v \neq w$ . Show that

$$\Delta = DEG - A(\Gamma)$$

where  $A(\Gamma)$  is the adjacency matrix described earlier.

TEXTS: prop 4.8, p. 27, Biggs. Bollabas, Theorem 6, p. 38. Biggs writes  $\Delta$  for our DEG.

(Montgomery) MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA *E-mail address*: rmont@ucsc.edu

4