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## Exercise 1.

Proof. Let $\theta$ be a non-vanishing form such that $\theta \wedge d \theta=0$ everywhere. Then, we know that $d \theta=\theta \wedge \alpha$ for some one-form $\alpha$. This is because of the following (let $X$ be a vector):

$$
\iota_{X}(\theta \wedge d \theta)=\left(\iota_{X} \theta\right) \wedge d \theta-\theta \wedge\left(\iota_{X} d \theta\right)=\theta(X) \wedge d \theta-\theta \wedge\left(\iota_{X} d \theta\right)
$$

where $\iota_{X}(\theta)=\theta(X)$. Now, $\iota_{X}(\theta \wedge d \theta)=\iota_{X}(0)=0$ and so we choose $X$ to be dual to $\theta$ and we get

$$
0=d \theta-\theta \wedge \alpha
$$

where $\alpha=\iota_{X}(d \theta)$ and so $d \theta=\theta \wedge \alpha$.
We note that $\operatorname{ker}(\theta)$ defines a smooth distribution by the 1 -form Criterion for Smooth Distributions (this means that if we have an $n$-dimensional manifold, then we have a rank $k$ distribution $D$ if and only if there are $n-k$ one-forms such that $\left.D_{q}=\left.\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-k} \operatorname{ker} \omega^{i}\right|_{q}\right)$. We further note that
$d \theta(X, Y)=X(\theta(Y))-Y(\theta(X))-\theta([X, Y])=X(0)-Y(0)-\theta([X, Y])=-\theta([X, Y])$.
We also have $d \theta(X, Y)=\theta \wedge \alpha(X, Y)=\theta(X) \alpha(Y)-\theta(Y) \alpha(X)=0-0=0$. Thus, we have $\theta([X, Y])=0$ and so our distribution is involutive and therefore completely integrable by the Frobenius Theorem.

Since $D$ is completely integrable, by definition, for all $q \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, there exists a smooth coordinate chart $(U, \varphi)$ such that $\varphi(U)$ is a cube in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and for all $q \in U$, $D_{q}$ is spanned by $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$. So, $T_{q} \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is spanned by $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)$, where $z$ is given by $\varphi(q)=(x(q), y(q), z(q))$. Now, $d z_{q}$ has the same kernel as $\theta_{q}$. Note that since they are both linear functionals on $T_{q} \mathbb{R}^{3}$, they are multiples of each other.

Let $\left\{U_{q}, \varphi_{q}\right\}$ be the flat charts that cover $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and let $\left\{\rho_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\left\{U_{q}\right\}$. On each coordinate chart, let $g_{q}=z_{q}$, so $d g_{q}=d z_{q}$. Further, let $\omega=\sum \rho_{\alpha} d g_{q}$. We have

$$
d\left(\sum \rho_{\alpha} d g_{q}\right)=\sum\left(d \rho_{\alpha}\right) \wedge d z_{q}=d\left(\sum \rho_{\alpha}\right) \wedge d z_{q}=d(1) \wedge d z_{q}=0
$$

We have linearity, because at each point $q$, the sum is a finite sum. So, we see that $\omega$ is a closed form and as $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is trivial, that means $\omega$ is an exact form, therefore, there exists a $g$ such that $\omega=d g$.

Now, we have to show that $\omega$ and $\theta$ have the same kernel, they they are multiples of each other (again, because at a point they are linear functionals) and this will mean that $\theta=f d g$.

Now, it's clear that $\operatorname{ker} \theta \subset \operatorname{ker} \omega$, by construction of $\omega$.
So, we must show that $\operatorname{ker} \omega \subset \operatorname{ker} \theta$. So, to this end, we want to show if we have two charts, $\left(U_{p}, \varphi_{p}\right)$ and $\left(U_{q}, \varphi_{q}\right)$ containing points $p$ and $q$, respectively and such that $U_{p} \cap U_{q} \neq \varnothing$, then $d z_{q}=\lambda d z_{p}$, where $\lambda \neq 0$ is positive. But we can do this, because of the orientability of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Therefore, there exists, $f, g \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ such that $\theta=f d g$.

## Exercise 2.

Proof. The proof outlined above will not work if the manifold is not orientable or there exists a closed one-form that is not exact, i.e. the first de Rham cohomology group is not trivial.

## Exercise 3.

Proof. We did not use the dimension in the argument for Exercise 1, so the proof will carry over to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, because it is orientable and $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=0$.

## Exercise 4.

Proof. This will not work for the same reasons we outlined in Exercise 2.

