vaibhav sutrave	manifolds 2
1/13/2021	week 2 presentation

**P** Sj, 2.23.i. One of the greatest advances in theoretical physics of the nineteenth century was Maxwell's formulation of the equations of electromagnetism

$\nabla \times E = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}$	(Faraday's law)
$\nabla \times H = \frac{4\pi}{c}J + \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial D}{\partial t}$	(Ampere's law)
$\nabla \cdot D = 4\pi\rho$	(Gauss' law)
$\nabla \cdot B = 0$	(no magnetic monopoles).

Here:

*c* : speed of light *E* : electric field H : magnetic field *J* : current density  $\rho$  : charge density *B* : magnetic induction D : dielectric displacement

*E*, *H*, *J*, *B*, *D* are vector fields and  $\rho$  is a function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . All depend on time *t*.

In space-time  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , with coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ , where  $x_4 := ct$ , we introduce forms

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= (E_1 dx_1 + E_2 dx_2 + E_3 dx_3) dx_4 + B_1 dx_2 dx_3 + B_2 dx_3 dx_1 + B_3 dx_1 dx_2, \\ \beta &= -(H_1 dx_1 + H_2 dx_2 + H_3 dx_3) dx_4 + D_1 dx_2 dx_3 + D_2 dx_3 dx_1 + D_3 dx_1 dx_2, \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{c} (J_1 dx_2 dx_3 + J_2 dx_3 dx_1 + J_3 dx_1 dx_2) dx_4 - \rho dx_1 dx_2 dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

Show Maxwell's equations are equivalent to

$$d\alpha = 0,$$
  
$$d\beta + 4\pi\gamma = 0.$$

A: The differential in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  is pretty straightforward. For a basic k-form  $f dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k} \in$  $\Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^4)$ , the differential is a (k+1)-form defined to be:

$$d(f dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k}.$$

ie. take the partials with each coordinate, and tack the corresponding  $dx_i \wedge -$  to the wedges.

We can see that each  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  have electric and magnetic pieces:

$$\alpha = \underbrace{(E_1 dx_1 + E_2 dx_2 + E_3 dx_3) dx_4}_{\text{electric}} + \underbrace{B_1 dx_2 dx_3 + B_2 dx_3 dx_1 + B_3 dx_1 dx_2}_{\text{magnetic}},$$

$$\beta = \underbrace{-(H_1 dx_1 + H_2 dx_2 + H_3 dx_3) dx_4}_{\text{electric}} + \underbrace{D_1 dx_2 dx_3 + D_2 dx_3 dx_1 + D_3 dx_1 dx_2}_{\text{magnetic}}.$$

magnetic

\_ \_

vaibhav sutrave	manifolds 2
1/13/2021	week 2 presentation

Let's start small. Since the differential is linear, we can look at summands of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  individually and generalize. The first summand of  $\alpha$  is  $E_1 dx_1 \wedge dx_4$ . Then the differential

$$d(E_1 dx_1 \wedge dx_4) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\partial E_1}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_4$$
  
=  $\frac{\partial E_1}{\partial x_2} dx_2 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_4 + \frac{\partial E_1}{\partial x_3} dx_3 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_4$   
=  $-\frac{\partial E_1}{\partial x_2} dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_4 - \frac{\partial E_1}{\partial x_3} dx_1 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4$ .

after getting rid of terms with repeated indices and rearranging them in increasing order. We can infer the other two (they look similar, with different permutations of i = 1, 2, 3). That's the electric field part of  $\alpha$ .

The first summand of the magnetic part of  $\alpha$  is  $B_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3$ . This is straightforward by now:

$$d(B_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$$
$$= \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_1} dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_4} dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4$$

The other two terms will also be permutations of the indices of the above.

Putting them all together, we emerge with

$$d\alpha_{\text{electric}} = \left(\frac{\partial E_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial E_1}{\partial x_2}\right) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_4 + \left(\frac{\partial E_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial E_3}{\partial x_1}\right) dx_3 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_4 + \left(\frac{\partial E_3}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial E_2}{\partial x_3}\right) dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4.$$

The curl(*E*) term is palpable here. We can see each component of the curl in each expression above. We can rewrite the above more explicitly as  $\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\nabla \times E)_i d\hat{x}_i$ , where  $(\nabla \times E)_i$  is the *i*<sup>th</sup> component of the curl, and  $d\hat{x}_i := dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\hat{x}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_4$ .

Calculating the magnetic part:

$$d\alpha_{\text{magnetic}} = \left(\frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial B_3}{\partial x_3}\right) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$$
$$+ \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x_4} dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_4$$
$$+ \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial x_4} dx_3 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_4$$
$$+ \frac{\partial B_3}{\partial x_4} dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_4.$$

vaibhav sutrave	manifolds 2
1/13/2021	week 2 presentation

The first term above we recognize as  $(\nabla \cdot B) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$ . Since this is the only  $dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$  term, linearly independent with all the others, saying that  $d\alpha = 0$  implies that  $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ , i.e. no magnetic monopoles.

 $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ , ie. no magnetic monopoles. Since  $x_4 = ct \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} = \frac{dx_4}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ , we can rewrite the magnetic part as a sum:  $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial t} d\hat{x}_i$ .

Altogether, we have

$$d\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( (\nabla \times E)_i + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial t} \right) \hat{dx_i} = 0,$$

Since  $d\hat{x}_i$  area all linearly independent, this means each coefficient is 0, ie.

$$(\nabla \times E)_i = \frac{-1}{c} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial t},$$

for i = 1, 2, 3. This is just Faraday's law in each component.

The second is a similar computation; we'll skip it.