

6.2 PROPERTIES OF DETERMINANTS

THE LAPLACE EXPANSION IS A HIGHLY IN-EFFICIENT METHOD FOR COMPUTING A DETERMINANT.

THEOREM

LET $B \in M_n$ BE THE RESULT OF PERFORMING ONE OF THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTARY ROW OPERATIONS ON $A \in M_n$.

ERO 1: MULTIPLY SOME ROW OF A BY $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
THEN $\det B = \alpha \cdot \det A$

ERO 2: SWAP TWO ROWS OF A .
THEN $\det B = -\det A$

ERO 3: ADD A MULTIPLE OF ONE ROW OF A TO ANOTHER ROW.
THEN $\det B = \det A$.

RECALL THAT WE PROVED THIS FOR 2×2 MATRICES (P. 60 OF THESE NOTES.) WE OMIT THE GENERAL PROOF.

EXERCISE

PROVE THIS THEOREM DIRECTLY FOR 3×3 MATRICES. USE THE FORMULA

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix} = aei - afh + bfg - bdi + cdh - ceg$$

THEOREM

SUPPOSE THAT, STARTING WITH $A \in M_n$, ONE PERFORMS A SEQUENCE OF EROs TO REACH A MATRIX $B \in M_n$. SPECIFICALLY SUPPOSE

- ERO 1 IS PERFORMED r TIMES: DIVIDE BY THE (NON-ZERO) CONSTANT k_1, \dots, k_r
- ERO 2 IS PERFORMED s TIMES
- ERO 3 IS PERFORMED ANY NUMBER OF TIMES.

THEN

$$\det(A) = (-1)^s \cdot k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdots k_r \cdot \det(B)$$

PROOF:

BY REPEATED APPLICATION OF THE PREVIOUS THEOREM, WE HAVE

$$\det(B) = (-1)^s \cdot \frac{1}{k_1} \cdot \frac{1}{k_2} \cdots \frac{1}{k_r} \cdot \det(A).$$

MULTIPLY THIS EQUATION BY $(-1) \cdot k_1 \cdots k_r$ TO OBTAIN THE RESULT.

///

RMK IF THE SEQUENCE OF EROs ARE SPECIFIED BY GAUSS-JORDAN ELIMINATION THEN $B = \text{RREF}(A)$, $r = \text{rank}(A)$, AND

$$\det(A) = (-1)^s \cdot k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdots k_r \det(\text{RREF}(A)).$$

(NOTE: SOME OF THE k_i MAY = 1.)

Ex. $\det(A) = (-8) \cdot \left(-\frac{27}{8}\right) = \boxed{27}$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 5 \\ -1 & 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -8 & -7 \\ 0 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{7}{8} \\ 0 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/8 \\ 0 & 0 & -27/8 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5/4 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

OBSERVE THAT THIS PROCESS NEED NOT GO ALL THE WAY TO RREF(A), i.e. JUST STOP AT SOME POINT WHERE THE DETERMINANT IS EASY TO COMPUTE.

NOW RECALL

$$\det(\text{RREF}(A)) = \begin{cases} \det(I_n) = 1 & \text{IF } A \text{ INVERTIBLE} \\ 0 & \text{IF } A \text{ NON-INVERTIBLE} \end{cases}$$

HENCE, UPON PERFORMING GAUSS-JORDAN ELIMINATION

$$\det(A) = \begin{cases} (-1)^s k_1 \cdots k_r & \text{IF } A \text{ INVERTIBLE} \\ 0 & \text{IF } A \text{ NON-INVERTIBLE} \end{cases}$$

COROLLARY

$A \in M_n$ is INVERTIBLE IF AND ONLY IF

$$\det(A) \neq 0.$$

LEMMA (6.2 PROBLEM #34)

SUPPOSE $A, B \in M_n$ AND A IS INVERTIBLE.
THEN

$$\text{RREF}(A | AB) = (\mathbf{I}_n | B)$$

PROOF

SINCE A IS INVERTIBLE $\text{RREF}(A) = \mathbf{I}_n$, AND CLEARLY THE SAME EROs THAT TRANSFORM A INTO \mathbf{I}_n ALSO TRANSFORM $(A | AB)$ INTO $(\mathbf{I}_n | C)$ FOR SOME $C \in M_n$. SINCE $(\mathbf{I}_n | C)$ IS IN RREF, WE NEED ONLY SHOW $C = B$.

OBSERVE THAT THE COLUMNS OF $\begin{pmatrix} B \\ -\mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix}$ ARE IN $\text{KER}(A | AB)$ SINCE

$$(A | AB) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B \\ -\mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} = AB + AB(-\mathbf{I}_n) = AB - AB = 0.$$

RECALL THAT THE EROs LEAVE THE KERNEL OF A MATRIX UNCHANGED, SO THE SAME COLUMNS BELONG TO $\text{KER}(\mathbf{I}_n | C)$. THUS

$$0 = (\mathbf{I}_n | C) \begin{pmatrix} B \\ -\mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{I}_n B + C(-\mathbf{I}_n) = B - C,$$

HENCE

$$C = B,$$

AS REQUIRED.

///.

THEOREM

LET $A, B \in M_n$. THEN $\det(AB) = \det A \cdot \det B$.

PROOF:

FIRST SUPPOSE A IS INVERTIBLE, THEN $\text{REF}(A) = I_n$, AND BY THE LEMMA

$$* \quad \text{REF}(A | AB) = (I_n | B).$$

SUPPOSE THAT TO TRANSFORM A INTO I_n WE SWAP s TIMES (ERO 2) AND DIVIDE BY k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r (ERO 1). THEN

$$\det(A) = (-1)^s k_1 k_2 \dots k_r.$$

BUT BY $*$, THE SAME EROs TRANSFORM AB INTO B , HENCE

$$\begin{aligned} \det(AB) &= (-1)^s k_1 k_2 \dots k_r \cdot \det B \\ &= \det A \cdot \det B. \end{aligned}$$

NOW SUPPOSE A IS NOT INVERTIBLE. THEN $\det(A) = 0$. ONE CHECKS (EXERCISE) THAT $\text{Im}(AB) \subseteq \text{Im}(A)$, WHENCE

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A) < n$$

THUS ALSO AB IS NOT INVERTIBLE, AND $\det(AB) = 0$. THEREFORE

$$\det A \cdot \det B = 0 \cdot \det B = 0 = \det(AB). \quad \text{///}$$

Corollary

IF A IS INVERTIBLE THEN

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}$$

PROOF:

WE HAVE

$$\det(A) \det(A^{-1}) = \det(AA^{-1}) = \det(I_n) = 1,$$

AND THE RESULT FOLLOWS. ///

Corollary

IF $A \sim B$ THEN $\det A = \det B$.

PROOF:

SUPPOSE $B = S^{-1}AS$, WHERE $S \in M_n$ IS INVERTIBLE. THEN

$$\det B = \det(S^{-1}) \det(A) \det(S) = \det(A)$$

SINCE $\det(S^{-1}) = (\det S)^{-1}$. ///

THIS LAST RESULT SUGGESTS HOW WE CAN DEFINE THE DETERMINANT OF A LINEAR TRANSFORMATION $T: V \rightarrow V$, WHERE V IS ANY LINEAR SPACE.

Simply Pick Any Basis \mathcal{B} of V , Form the Matrix B of T with respect to \mathcal{B} ,

THEN DEFINE

$$\det(T) = \det(R).$$

RECALL THAT IF WE PICK ANY OTHER BASIS \mathcal{Q} OF V AND FORM THE \mathcal{Q} -MATRIX A OF T , THEN $A \sim R$, WHENCE

$$\det(A) = \det(R).$$

THEREFORE THE ABOVE DEFINITION IS INDEPENDENT OF OUR CHOICE OF BASIS.

EXERCISE.

SHOW THAT

a.) $T: V \rightarrow V$ IS INVERTIBLE IFF $\det(T) \neq 0$.

b.) IF $T_1: V \rightarrow V$ AND $T_2: V \rightarrow V$, THEN

$$\det(T_2 \circ T_1) = \det(T_2) \cdot \det(T_1).$$

HW (6.2): 4, 6, 8, 10, 18, 20, 34