

cost of multiplying 2 $n \times n$ matrices
where $n = 2^k$:

A

B

C

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

recurrence

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=1 \\ 8T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 1 & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

book has $\Theta(n^2)$.
since they count
additions.

Master thm : $T(n) = 8T(\frac{n}{2}) + 1$

Compare : $1 = n^0$ to $n^{\log_2 8} = n^3$

Case 1 : Pick any ϵ st. $0 < \epsilon \leq 3$

$$1 = n^0 = O(n^{\log_2 8 - \epsilon}) \quad \checkmark$$

$$\text{so } T(n) = \Theta(n^3)$$

No improvement over std. iterative algorithm :

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

In 1969, Volker Strassen discovered a way to do this with only 7 matrix multiplications.

recurrence

$$T(n) = 7T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 1$$

book has $\Theta(n^2)$ here.

master-then:

compare $1 = n^0$ to $n^{\log_2 7}$

case 1! Pick $\epsilon > 0$ and $\epsilon \leq \log_2 7$

$$n^0 = O(n^{\log_2 7 - \epsilon}) \quad \checkmark$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore T(n) &= \Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = \Theta(n^{2.8073...}) \\ &= o(n^3) \end{aligned}$$

what if n is not an exact power of 2? Idea: Pad A, B with 0's until its size is N , an exact power of 2.

Exercise

Let N be the smallest power of 2 greater than or equal to n (i.e. $N = 2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil}$) define

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} \overset{n}{A} & \overset{N-n}{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{---} & \text{---} \\ \underset{0}{\text{---}} & \underset{0}{\text{---}} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times N} \quad B' = \begin{pmatrix} \overset{n}{B} & \overset{N-n}{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \text{---} & \text{---} \\ \underset{0}{\text{---}} & \underset{0}{\text{---}} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times N}$$

where A', B' are $N \times N$.

show that: $N^{\lg_2(7)} = \Theta(n^{\lg(7)})$.

Exercise

show how to do this even if A and B are not square !!

$$\begin{matrix} A & \cdot & B & = & C \\ p \times q & & q \times r & & p \times r \end{matrix}$$

cheap q:

new Problem: find both min and max of an array, return the pair (min, max).

$$\begin{aligned} & A[1 \dots n] \\ & = (A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n) \end{aligned}$$

Iterative solution: $\text{cost} = 2(n-1) = 2n-2 = \Theta(n)$

define

(basic op = comp)
cost

min(x, y)

1. return (x < y ? x : y)

1

max(x, y)

1. return (x < y ? y : x)

1

MinMax(A, p, r) (pre: $p \leq r$)

1. if $p = r$

2. return (A[p], A[p])

3. else

4. $q = \lfloor \frac{p+r}{2} \rfloor$

5. $(m_1, M_1) = \text{MinMax}(A, p, q)$

6. $(m_2, M_2) = \text{MinMax}(A, q+1, r)$

7. return (min(m₁, m₂), max(M₁, M₂))

Exercise:

Prove correctness (induction on $m = r - p + 1$)

Runtime:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & n=1 \quad \checkmark \\ T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + 2 & n \geq 2 \quad \checkmark \end{cases}$$

exact solution: $T(n) = 2n - 2 \sim 2n$

check: $RHS = T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + 2$

$$= (2\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 2) + (2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 2) + 2$$

$$= 2(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) - 2$$

$$= 2n - 2$$

$$= T(n) = LHS.$$

equivalently: The i^{th} order statistic⁹ is the unique element in $A[1 \dots n]$ that is greater than exactly $(i-1)$ other elements. ($1 \leq i \leq n$)

$i=1$ gives minimum

$i=n$ gives maximum.

also: greater than or equal to exactly i elements.

Problem

Given $A[1 \dots n]$, distinct elements, and $1 \leq i \leq n$, determine the i^{th} order statistic.

solution

sort the array, and return $A[i]$

cost of sort = $\Omega(n \log n)$

can find i^{th} O.S. without sorting

RandSelect(A, p, r, i) $\begin{cases} \text{Pre: } 1 \leq i \leq r-p+1 \\ \text{Pre: distinct ele.} \end{cases}$

1. if $p = r$
2. return $A[p]$
3. $q = \text{RandPartition}(A, p, r)$
4. $k = q - p + 1$ // length of $A[p \dots q]$
5. if $k = i$
6. return $A[q]$
7. else if $i < k$
8. return $\text{RandSelect}(A, p, q-1, i)$
9. else // $k < i$
10. return $\text{RandSelect}(A, q+1, r, i-k)$

Recall RandPartition(A, p, r) does III

$$A[p \dots (q-1)] < A[q] < A[(q+1) \dots r]$$

Exercise Prove correctness.

RandSelect() is similar

- Quicksort()
- BinarySearch()

next time: Runtime.

answer: $\Theta(n)$ in avg. case.

- Exercise: write non-random version
- Exercise: analyze runtime of non-random version in worst case.