

## CSE 201

### Final Review Problems

Be sure to review all prior homework assignments, midterm exams, and their solutions. Review all examples covered in class.

1. Suppose  $T(n)$  satisfies the recurrence  $T(n) = 3T(n/4) + F(n)$ , where  $F(n)$  itself satisfies the recurrence  $F(n) = 5F(n/9) + n^{3/4}$ . Find a tight asymptotic bound for  $T(n)$ . Be sure to fully justify each use of the Master Theorem. (Hint:  $\log_9(5) < 3/4 < \log_4(3)$ .)
2. Recall that  $\{0, 1\}^*$  denotes the set of all bit-strings of any finite length. A language  $L$  is a collection of bit-strings, i.e. a subset  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ . Let  $A(x)$  be an algorithm whose input is a bit-string  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , and whose output is 0 or 1.
  - a. Define what it means for a language  $L$  to be *accepted* by  $A$ .
  - b. Define what it means for a language  $L$  to be *decided* by  $A$ .
3. Show that any polynomial time algorithm for the optimization problem SP (SHORTEST-PATH) can be converted to a polynomial time algorithm for the decision problem PATH. (Input: a graph  $G$ , two vertices  $u, v$  and an integer  $k$ . Output: Yes if  $G$  contains a  $u$ - $v$  path of length at most  $k$ , No otherwise.) Also show how to convert in the other direction, i.e. starting with a polynomial time algorithm for PATH, construct a polynomial time algorithm for SP.
4. Recall the decision problems HAMILTONIAN-CYCLE (HC) and TRAVELING-SALESMAN-PROBLEM (TSP).

HC: Given a graph  $G$ , determine whether or not  $G$  contains a Hamiltonian cycle (a cycle that visits every vertex in  $G$ ).

TSP: Given a complete graph  $K_n$ , a weight function  $d: E(K_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and a bound  $b \geq 0$ , determine whether or not  $K_n$  contains a Hamiltonian cycle of total weight no more than  $b$ .

Recall also the mapping  $f: \text{HC} \rightarrow \text{TSP}$  that takes instances of HC to instances of TSP, defined as follows. Given a graph  $G$  with  $|V(G)| = n$ , identify  $V(G)$  with  $V(K_n)$ , define  $d: E(K_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$d(u, v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{u, v\} \in E(G) \\ 2 & \text{if } \{u, v\} \notin E(G), \end{cases}$$

and let  $b = n$ .

- a. Prove that if  $G$  is a Yes instance of HC, then  $f(G)$  is a Yes instance of TSP.
  - b. Prove that if  $f(G)$  is a Yes instance of TSP, then  $G$  is a Yes instance of HC.
  - c. Explain how  $f(G)$  can be computed in polynomial time. (Make some assumption as to how  $G$  will be represented, such as adjacency-list, adjacency-matrix, or incidence-matrix.)
5. Suppose we are given 4 gold bars (labeled 1, 2, 3, 4), one of which *may* be counterfeit: gold-plated tin (lighter than gold) or gold-plated lead (heavier than gold). Again the problem is to determine which bar, if any, is counterfeit and what it is made of. The only tool at your disposal is a balance scale, each use of which produces one of three outcomes: tilt left, balance, or tilt right.

- a. Use a decision tree argument to prove that at least 2 weighings must be performed (in worst case) by any algorithm that solves this problem. Carefully enumerate the set of possible verdicts.
  - b. Determine an algorithm that solves this problem using 3 weighings (in worst case). Express your algorithm as a decision tree.
  - c. Find an adversary argument that proves 3 weighings are necessary (in worst case), and therefore the algorithm you found in (b) is best possible. (Hint: study the adversary argument for the min-max problem discussed in class to gain some insight into this problem. Further hint: put some marks on the 4 bars and design an adversary strategy that, on each weighing, removes the fewest possible marks, then show that if the balance scale is only used 2 times, not enough marks will be removed.)
6. (This is Problem 34.1-6 page 1061 of CLRS, see pages 1057-58 for definitions.) Show that the class  $P$ , viewed as a set of languages, is closed under union, intersection, concatenation, complement, and Kleene star. That is, if  $L_1, L_2 \in P$ , then  $L_1 \cup L_2 \in P$ ,  $L_1 \cap L_2 \in P$ ,  $L_1 L_2 \in P$ ,  $\overline{L_1} \in P$ , and  $L_1^* \in P$ .
7. Recall the coin changing problem again. Given denominations  $d = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$  and an amount  $N$ , determine the number of coins in each denomination necessary to disburse  $N$  units using the fewest possible coins. Assume that there is an unlimited supply of coins in each denomination. Prove that the greedy strategy works for any amount  $N$  with the coin system  $d = (1, 5, 10, 25)$ .
8. **Scheduling to Minimize Average Completion Time:** (This is problem 16-2a on page 402 of CLRS.) Suppose you are given a set  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  of tasks, where task  $a_i$  requires  $p_i$  units of processing time to complete, once it has started. You have one computer on which to run these tasks, and the computer can run only one task at a time. Let  $c_i$  be the *completion time* of task  $a_i$ , that is, the time at which task  $a_i$  completes processing. Your goal is to minimize the average completion time, that is to minimize the quantity  $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$ . For example, suppose there are two tasks,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , with  $p_1 = 3$  and  $p_2 = 5$ , and consider the schedule in which  $a_2$  runs first, followed by  $a_1$ . Then  $c_2 = 5$ ,  $c_1 = 8$ , and the average completion time is  $(5 + 8)/2 = 6.5$ .

Give an algorithm that schedules the tasks so as to minimize the average completion time. Each task must run non-preemptively, that is, once task  $a_i$  is started, it must run continuously for  $p_i$  units of time. Prove that your algorithm minimizes the average completion time, and state the running time of your algorithm.

9. Let  $B = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$  be a bit string of length  $n$ . Consider the following problem: determine whether or not  $B$  contains 3 consecutive 1's, i.e. whether  $B$  contains the substring "111". Consider algorithms that solve this problem whose only allowable operation is to peek at a bit.
- a. Suppose  $n = 4$ . Obviously 4 peeks are sufficient. Give an adversary argument showing that in general, 4 peeks are also necessary. (Hint: this is similar to problem 5 on hw7, and has a similar solution.)
  - b. Suppose  $n \geq 5$ . Give an adversary argument showing that  $4 \cdot \lfloor n/5 \rfloor$  peeks are necessary. (Hint: divide  $B$  into  $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$  4-bit blocks separated by 1-bit gaps between them. Thus bits 1-4 form the first block, and bit 5 is the first gap. Bits 6-9 form the next block and bit 10 is the next gap, etc.. Any leftover bits form a separate block. Now run the adversary from part (a) on each of the 4-bit blocks.)