

Exercise

Write the $\leq$ Parenthesization
of $A \cdot B \cdot C \cdot D$.

find # of Pares. of $\leq$
factors.

Exercise

Let

$\rightarrow P(n) = \#$ of Parenthesization
of $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$

Show $P(n)$ satisfies!

$$P(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n=1 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P(k) \cdot P(n-k) & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

check: $P(1) = 1$

$$P(2) = P(1) \cdot P(1) = 1$$

$$P(3) = P(1) \cdot P(2) + P(2) \cdot P(1) = 2$$

$$P(4) = P(1) \cdot P(3) + P(2) \cdot P(2) + P(3) \cdot P(1)$$

$$= 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 = 5$$

Difficult exercise: show

$$P(n) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n-2}{n-1}$$

solves the recurrence

we have

$$P(n) = \Theta\left(\frac{4^n}{n^{3/2}}\right)$$

Problem

Given $n \geq 1$, $A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdots A_n$ where

A_i is of size $p_{i-1} \times p_i$, find
($1 \leq i \leq n$)

Paren. of $A_1 \cdots A_n$ with min
of real multiplications

As usual, 2 Problems:

- min # of basic ops, necessary (value of opt. soln.)
- optimal parenthesization of $A_1 \cdots A_n$

observe: any Parenthesization
 of $A_i \cdots A_j$ ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$) has
 a top level multiplication:

$$A_i \cdots A_j = (A_i \cdots A_k) \cdot (A_{k+1} \cdots A_j)$$

↑
"split point"

where $i \leq k < j$.

claim

If $A_i \cdots A_j$ is optimally Parenthesized,
 then so are both factors $(A_i \cdots A_k)$
 and $(A_{k+1} \cdots A_j)$

"Split Point" = k

LS

i.e.

$$\underbrace{A_i \dots A_j}_{\substack{P_i \times P_j \\ \text{if optimal}}} = \underbrace{(A_i \dots A_k)}_{\substack{P_i \times P_k \\ \text{optimal}}} \cdot \underbrace{(A_{k+1} \dots A_j)}_{\substack{P_k \times P_j \\ \text{optimal}}}$$

then both factors
are optimal

(converse is false: exercise)

Proof.

Assume, to get a $\cdot \hat{X}$, that
 $(A_i \dots A_k)$ is not optimally paren.
Then some other Parenthesization
of $(A_i \dots A_k)$ yields lower cost
than the paren. inherited from
the opt. paren. of $A_i \dots A_j$.

By replacing $(A_i \dots A_k)$ with the better Parenthesization, we obtain a Paren. of $A_i \dots A_j$ of total cost less than the optimal one, which is impossible. ~~■~~

notation

$m[i, j] = \min \# \text{ of real multiplications}$
 necessary to compute
 $A_i \dots A_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n)$

initialize: $m[i, i] = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$

Val. of opt. soln = $m[1, n]$

By above proof

$$m[i, j] = m[i, k] + m[k+1, j] + p_{i-1} p_k p_j$$

Problem: don't know split point,

Recurrence formula

$$m[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & i = j \\ \min_{i \leq k < j} (m[i, k] + m[k+1, j] + p_{i-1} p_k p_j) & i < j \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$m[i, i] = 0$$

$$m[i, i+1] = \cancel{m[i, i]} + \cancel{m[i+1, i+1]} + p_{i-1} \cdot p_i \cdot p_{i+1}$$

$$m[i, i+2] = \min \begin{cases} \cancel{m[i, i]} + m[i+1, i+2] + p_{i-1} \cdot p_i \cdot p_{i+2} \\ m[i, i+1] + \cancel{m[i+1, i+2]} + p_{i-1} \cdot p_{i+1} \cdot p_{i+2} \end{cases}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$m[i, i+q] = \min(\dots q \text{ numbers} \dots)$$

Exercise

write algorithm taking input
 $p[0 \dots n]$, that fills table $m[i, j]$
 & return $m[1, n]$.

let $s[i, j]$ be the k -value
(i.e. split-point) that produce
min in computation of $m[i, j]$

modify previous algorithm
so as to fill in $s[i, j]$ for
 $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Exercise

write a recursive algorithm
that backtracks through

$s[i, j]$ to

Print out opt. Paren
of $A_1, \dots, A_n$.

more Problems!

- All Pairs shortest Paths (25.2)
(3-dim. table)
- longest Com. Subseq. (15.4)
- Opt. Binary Search Tree (15.5)

Greedy Algorithms

Ex. Continuous Knapsack

• maximize : $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot v_i$

• subject to : $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot w_i \leq W$

where now : $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$.

Feasible soln. is $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot w_i \leq W$

Greedy strategy : make a locally optimal choice, reduce to sub-instance, iterate on that sub-instance

i.e. rank objects from "best" to "worst", steal them in that order.

Exercise

Write Pseudo-code, where "best" function $f(i)$ is part of code.

choices for $f(i)$:

- $f(i) = v_i$ (value of i^{th} obj)
- $f(i) = \frac{1}{w_i}$ (inverse weight of i^{th} obj)
- $f(i) = \frac{v_i}{w_i}$ (val. per unit weight of obj i .)

Knapsack (v, w, W)

1.) $n \leftarrow \text{length}[v]$

2.) for $i \leftarrow 1$ to n

3.) $x[i] \leftarrow 0$

4.) $\text{weight} \leftarrow 0$

5.) while $\text{weight} < W$

* 6.) $i \leftarrow \text{the "BEST" REMAINING OBJECT}$

7.) if $\text{weight} + w[i] \leq W$

8.) $x[i] \leftarrow 1$

9.) $\text{weight} \leftarrow \text{weight} + w[i]$

10.) mark i as included.

11.) else

12.) $x[i] \leftarrow \frac{W - \text{weight}}{w[i]}$

13.) $\text{weight} \leftarrow W$

14.) Return x

GREEDY
loop

THE "BEST" OBJECT in (6) CAN BE INTERPRETED IN SEVERAL WAYS.

IN GENERAL WE DEFINE A SELECTION FUNCTION $f(i)$ WHICH ENCODES THE DESIRABILITY OF OBJECT i . LINE (6) THEN MAXIMIZES f OVER ALL REMAINING (UNMARKED) OBJECTS.

Ex. $n=5$, $W=10$

i	1	2	3	4	5	order
v_i	2	3	6.6	4	6	3, 5, 4, 2, 1
w_i	1	2	3	4	5	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
$\frac{v_i}{w_i}$	2	1.5	2.2	1	1.2	3, 1, 2, 5, 4

$f(i)$	x					value
v_i	0	0	1	.5	1	14.6
$1/w_i$	1	1	1	1	0	15.6
v_i/w_i	1	1	1	0	.8	16.4

Theorem

If we maximize v_i/w_i on
line 6, then $\text{Knapsack}(v, w, W)$
returns an optimal solution.