

css 16 6-28-22

1

(2.3) conditional operation

continue ...

Ex. $P = \text{'it is sunny today'}$

$Q = \text{'I will take you to the beach'}$

$P \rightarrow Q = \text{'if it is sunny today,
then I will take you to
the beach'}$

note: $F \rightarrow T$ is sometimes called
vacuously true.

Related Propositions to $P \rightarrow Q$:

- Converse : $Q \rightarrow P$
- Contrapositive : $\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$
- Inverse : $\sim P \rightarrow \sim Q$

Note: $\sim$ has higher precedence than $\rightarrow$
(and all other ops), $\therefore$

$\sim P \rightarrow Q$ means $(\sim P) \rightarrow Q$

and $\sim P \vee Q$ means $(\sim P) \vee Q$

(2.4) biconditional operator

Biconditional : $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ (also $P \leftrightarrow Q$)

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

true only when the
operands the same
value, false otherwise

read as:

' P if and only if Q '

' P is necessary and sufficient for Q '

' P is equivalent to Q '.

(2.5) Truth tables

• atomic statements: $P, Q, R, S, \dots$

(i.e. Propositional variables)

• compound statements:

- atomic statements, or

- built from atomic statements using logical operators.

Ex. $(P \leftrightarrow Q) \vee (\neg Q \rightarrow r)$

P	Q	r	$P \leftrightarrow Q$	$\neg Q$	$\neg Q \rightarrow r$	$(P \leftrightarrow Q) \vee (\neg Q \rightarrow r)$
0	0	0	1	1	0	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	0	1	1

Ex. $\neg(P \vee Q)$

0 = F
1 = T

P	Q	$P \vee Q$	$\neg(P \vee Q)$
0	0	0	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	1	0

Ex. $P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$

P	q	r	$q \rightarrow r$	$P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$
0	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	1
0	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1	1
1	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1

Ex. $(P \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$

P	q	r	$P \rightarrow q$	$(P \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$
0	0	0	1	0
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	0
1	1	1	1	1

$\therefore P \rightarrow q \rightarrow r$ makes no sense!!

Exercise

do both

$$\bullet (P \vee Q) \wedge R$$

$$\bullet P \vee (Q \wedge R)$$

observe $P \vee Q \wedge R$ makes no sense !!

(2.6) logical equivalenceDefn

A tautology is a compound stmt.

that is true for all assignments of truth values to its prop. variables.

Ex. $P \vee (\neg P)$

P	$\neg P$	$P \vee \neg P$
0	1	1
1	0	1

Ex. $P \rightarrow P$

P	$P \rightarrow P$
0	1
1	1

Ex. $P \rightarrow (P \vee q)$

P	q	$P \vee q$	$P \rightarrow (P \vee q)$
0	0	0	1
0	1	1	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1

Defn

A contradiction is a compound stmt. that is false for all assignments of truth values to its propositional variables.

Ex. $P \wedge (\sim P)$

P	$\sim P$	$P \wedge (\sim P)$
0	1	0
1	0	0

Defn

a statement that is not a contradiction is said to be satisfiable.
(i.e. at least one row is true).

Ex P

P
0
1

Defn

Two compound stmts. X and Y are said to be logically equivalent if the stmt

$$X \Leftrightarrow Y$$

is a tautology. In this case we write

$$X = Y$$

Remark.

equivalent defn: X and Y have same truth table.

Remark.

This is what is meant by $=$ in algebra.

for instance

$$a(b+c) = ab+ac$$

means that the number on LHS is same as number on RHS for any assignment of numerical values for a, b, c .

Ex. $P \rightarrow q = \sim P \vee q$ (implication law)

P	q	$P \rightarrow q$	$\sim P$	$\sim P \vee q$	$(P \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\sim P \vee q)$
0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	0	1	1

same

Ex $P \rightarrow Q = \sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$ (contrapositive law) ✓

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\sim Q$	$\sim P$	$\sim Q \rightarrow \sim P$
0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	1

same

Ex $P \rightarrow Q \neq Q \rightarrow P$ ✓

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow P$
0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1

not same!

Exercise: Prove all identities on p. 52 of BOP.

contrapositive law

$$P \Rightarrow Q = \sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$$

De Morgan's laws

$$\begin{aligned}\sim(P \wedge Q) &= \sim P \vee \sim Q \\ \sim(P \vee Q) &= \sim P \wedge \sim Q\end{aligned}$$

commutative laws

$$\begin{aligned}P \wedge Q &= Q \wedge P \\ P \vee Q &= Q \vee P\end{aligned}$$

Distributive laws

$$\begin{aligned}P \wedge (Q \vee R) &= (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R) \\ P \vee (Q \wedge R) &= (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)\end{aligned}$$

Associative laws

$$\begin{aligned}P \wedge (Q \wedge R) &= (P \wedge Q) \wedge R \\ P \vee (Q \vee R) &= (P \vee Q) \vee R\end{aligned}$$

Implication Law

$$P \Rightarrow Q = \sim P \vee Q$$

Pf. of 1st DeMorgan

$$\sim(P \wedge Q) = \sim P \vee \sim Q \quad \checkmark$$

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	$\sim(P \wedge Q)$	$\sim P$	$\sim Q$	$\sim P \vee \sim Q$
0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	0	0	0	0


 Same

we can use these identities to prove other identities 'algebraically'

EX. $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow R = (P \rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \rightarrow R) \quad \checkmark$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P \vee Q) \rightarrow R &= \sim(P \vee Q) \vee R \quad (\text{implication}) \\
 &= (\sim P \wedge \sim Q) \vee R \quad (\text{DeMorg.})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\neg p \vee r) \wedge (\neg q \vee r) \quad (\text{dist. \& comm.})$$

$$= (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \quad (\text{implication})$$

more identities

Domination

$$P \vee \overline{T} = \overline{T}$$

$$P \wedge F = F$$

⋮