

ch. 4 : Direct Proof

To Prove $P \Rightarrow Q$

- Assume P is true
- Show as a consequence that Q is also true

use :- laws of logic

- previously Proved facts
- definitions
- axioms

- conclude that $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true.

Defn

let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. we say that a
divides b iff there exists
 $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$b = ak$$

notation: $a \mid b$ "a divides b"

Also say: "a is a divisor of b"

"b is a multiple of a"

"a is a factor of b"

Remark: we did not define $a \mid b$ to mean

$$\frac{b}{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

even though this is equivalent.

Theorem

for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $a|b$ and $b|c$,
then $a|c$.

Proof:

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume $a|b$ and
 $b|c$. Then

$a|b \Rightarrow b = k_1 a$ for some $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$
and
 $b|c \Rightarrow c = k_2 b$ " " $k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore $c = k_2 b = k_2(k_1 a) = (k_2 k_1) a$.

Since $k_2 k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that

$$a|c.$$

Note:

we used some facts from algebra

- equals can be substituted for equals
- associative law for $\mathbb{Z}$: $k_1(k_2a) = (k_1k_2)a$
- closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ under multiplication:

$$k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow k_1k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall: (Axiom)

well ordering Property of $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$:

Every non-empty subset of $\mathbb{N}$ contains a least element.

Theorem (division algorithm)

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b > 0$, there exist unique $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a = qb + r \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq r < b$$

we used W.O.T. to prove

(1) existence of $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

left as exercise

(2) uniqueness of $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of (2) uniqueness:

Assume

$$a = q_1 b + r_1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq r_1 < b$$

and

$$a = q_2 b + r_2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq r_2 < b$$

we must show that

$$r_1 = r_2$$

$$\text{and} \quad q_1 = q_2.$$

these two equations imply

□

$$q_1 b + r_1 = q_2 b + r_2$$

$$\therefore (q_1 - q_2)b = (r_2 - r_1)$$

If $r_1 < r_2$, then $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < b$, hence

$$0 < (r_2 - r_1) < b$$

$$\therefore 0 < (q_1 - q_2)b < b$$

i.e. $(q_1 - q_2)b$ is a positive multiple of b less than b , which is impossible.

$$\therefore r_1 \neq r_2 \therefore r_1 \geq r_2.$$

A similar argument shows $r_2 \geq r_1$,

whence $r_1 = r_2$.

$$\therefore (q_1 - q_2)b = r_2 - r_1 = 0$$

$$\therefore q_1 - q_2 = 0 \quad (\text{since } b > 0)$$

$$\therefore q_1 = q_2$$



Ex.

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist unique k and r such that

$$n = 2k + r \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq r < 2$$

Thus $r = 0$ or $r = 1$. Thus every integer is of one of the two forms:

$$\bullet n = 2k \quad (\text{called } \underline{\text{even}})$$

or

$$\bullet n = 2k + 1 \quad (\text{called } \underline{\text{odd}})$$

note: uniqueness says no integer is both even and odd.

let

$$E = \{2k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

$$O = \{2k+1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

we have $E \cap O = \emptyset$ (uniqueness)

and $E \cup O = \mathbb{Z}$ (existence).

$\therefore E, O$ form a partition of $\mathbb{Z}$,

Ex take $b=3$ in division

algorithm. we get a Partition
of $\mathbb{Z}$ into 3 sets

$$\{3k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = [0]_3$$

$$\{3k+1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = [1]_3$$

$$\{3k+2 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = [2]_3$$

Rmk we can replace 2, 3 by
any positive integer m .

Defn

11

Two integers a, b are said to have same Parity iff

they have same remainder on div. by 2.

we can define an arithmetic on the Parities $\{\text{Even, Odd}\}$

a	b	+	x
E	E	E	E
E	O	O	E
O	E	O	E
O	O	E	O

Exercise

show this arithmetic is consistent
 for instance, suppose $a \in O$ and
 $b \in E$. Then

$$a = 2k_1 + 1 \text{ and } b = 2k_2$$

for some $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$a + b = (2k_1 + 1) + 2k_2 = 2(k_1 + k_2) + 1 \in O$$

$$a \cdot b = (2k_1 + 1) \cdot 2k_2 = 2(k_2 \cdot (2k_1 + 1)) \in E$$

since $k_1 + k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_2(2k_1 + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

note: all of this follows from
 uniqueness of Div. Alg.

Special Case:

Theorem

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

- (1) if n is even, then n^2 is even
and
(2) if n is odd, then n^2 is odd.

Actually the converses are also true.

Recall an implication is equivalent to its contrapositive:

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) = (\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P).$$