## Quiz 1

## Solutions

1. (10 Points) Prove that the exclusive-or operator is associative, $(p \oplus q) \oplus r \equiv p \oplus(q \oplus r)$, by filling in the truth table below.

## Solution:

| $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $p \oplus q$ | $(p \oplus q) \oplus r$ | $q \oplus r$ | $p \oplus(q \oplus r)$ | $(p \oplus q) \oplus r \leftrightarrow p \oplus(q \oplus r)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Since $(p \oplus q) \oplus r \leftrightarrow p \oplus(q \oplus r)$ is a tautology, $(p \oplus q) \oplus r \equiv p \oplus(q \oplus r)$.
2. (10 Points) Let $K(x, y)$ be the statement " $x$ knows $y$ ", where the domain for both $x$ and $y$ is the set of all people. Assume it is possible for $x$ to know $y$ while $y$ does not know $x$, and hence $K(x, y)$ and $K(y, x)$ are different statements. Translate the following expression from first order logic into English.

$$
\exists x \exists y[(x \neq y) \wedge K(x, y) \wedge K(y, x) \wedge \forall z((z \neq x) \wedge(z \neq y) \rightarrow(\neg K(x, z) \wedge \neg K(y, z)))]
$$

Solution: 'There are two distinct persons who know each other, and no one else.'
3. (20 Points) Show that $[(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p] \rightarrow q$ is a tautology without using truth tables. Justify each step in your proof by referring to the logical equivalences listed on the attached page.

Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p] \rightarrow q } & \equiv \neg[(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p] \vee q & & \text { Table7\#1 } \\
& \equiv[\neg(p \vee q) \vee \neg \neg p] \vee q & & \text { DeMorgan } \\
& \equiv[\neg(p \vee q) \vee p] \vee q & & \text { Double Negation } \\
& \equiv \neg(p \vee q) \vee(p \vee q) & & \text { Associative } \\
& \equiv T & & \text { Negation }
\end{aligned}
$$

4. (10 Points) Prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $n^{3}+5$ is even, then $n$ is odd. (Hint: use contraposition.)

## Proof:

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Following the hint, we prove that if $n$ is even, then $n^{3}+5$ is odd. Assume $n=2 k$ for some integer $k$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{3}+5 & =(2 k)^{3}+5 \\
& =8 k^{3}+5 \\
& =\left(8 k^{3}+4\right)+1 \\
& =2\left(4 k^{3}+2\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

which is odd, since $4 k^{3}+2$ is an integer. Therefore $n^{3}+5$ is odd. By contraposition, we have shown that if $n^{3}+5$ is even, then $n$ is odd.

