

## CSE 102

### Introduction to Analysis of Algorithms

Winter 2020

### Midterm Exam 2

### Solutions

1. (25 Points) Let  $k$  be an integer in the range  $9 < k < 27$ , and assume there exists a method for multiplying two  $3 \times 3$  matrices by performing sums and products of the matrix elements, and in which only  $k$  of the operations are products (and which product is not assumed to be commutative.)

a. (10 Points) Explain how this method can be used to recursively multiply two  $n \times n$  real matrices, where  $n$  is an exact power of 3. (You need not write pseudo-code, a verbal description will suffice.)

**Solution:**

Regard an  $n \times n$  square matrix (where  $n$  is a power of 3) as a  $3 \times 3$  matrix, each of whose 9 elements is a square submatrix of size  $\frac{n}{3} \times \frac{n}{3}$ . To multiply two  $n \times n$  square matrices, we multiply two  $3 \times 3$  matrices of matrices. We suppose this multiplication can be done by performing only  $k$  multiplications of the underlying  $\frac{n}{3} \times \frac{n}{3}$  matrices (which are non-commutative operations). Since  $n$  is a power of 3, we can recur on this process down to matrices of size  $1 \times 1$ , where the recursion halts. At each level, there are  $k$  recursive multiplications of 9 matrices whose size is  $1/3^{\text{rd}}$  that of the current level. ■

b. (5 Points) Write a recurrence relation for the running time  $T(n)$  of the algorithm you described in (a). (Note that this recurrence will contain  $k$  as a parameter.)

**Solution:**

We can write this as either  $T(n) = kT(n/3) + \Theta(1)$  or  $T(n) = kT(n/3) + \Theta(n^2)$ . The first term is the cost of the  $k$  recursive calls. In the first recurrence,  $\Theta(1)$  is the overhead cost of the current recursive invocation. In the second recurrence,  $\Theta(n^2)$  is the cost of the real number additions needed to compute the product. (Note to grader: consider either recurrence to be correct.) ■

c. (5 Points) Use the Master Theorem to find an asymptotic solution to the recurrence you found in (b). (Note your answer will again depend on  $k$ .)

**Solution:**

$$T(n) = kT(n/3) + \Theta(1):$$

Compare  $1 = n^0$  to  $n^{\log_3(k)}$ . Let  $\epsilon = \log_3(k) - 0$ . Then  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $1 = O(n^{\log_3(k) - \epsilon})$ , so by case 1 we have  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_3(k)})$ .

$$T(n) = kT(n/3) + \Theta(n^2):$$

Compare  $n^2$  to  $n^{\log_3(k)}$ . Let  $\epsilon = \log_3(k) - 2$ . Then  $\epsilon > 0$  since  $k > 9$ , and so  $n^2 = O(n^{\log_3(k) - \epsilon})$ . Again by case 1 we have  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_3(k)})$ . ■

d. (5 Points) Determine the largest integer  $k$  for which  $T(n) = o(n^{\log_2(7)})$ , making your algorithm in (a) better than Strassen's.

**Solution:**

We seek the largest integer  $k$  such that  $n^{\log_3(k)} = o(n^{\log_2(7)})$ , or equivalently  $\log_3(k) < \log_2(7)$ . Therefore  $k < 3^{\log_2(7)}$ , and hence  $k = \lfloor 3^{\log_2(7)} \rfloor = 21$ . ■

2. (25 Points) Let  $G$  be a graph, let  $x$  and  $y$  be vertices in  $G$ , and let

$$p: x = v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k = y$$

be a shortest  $x$ - $y$  path in  $G$ . Show that any subsequence of  $p$  is also a shortest path joining its two ends. In other words, if  $r = v_i$  and  $s = v_j$  are any two intermediate vertices with  $0 \leq i < j \leq k$ , then the subsequence  $r = v_i, \dots, v_j = s$  is a shortest  $r$ - $s$  path in  $G$ .

**Proof:**

Let the subsequences  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  of  $p$  be defined by

$$p_1: x = v_0, \dots, v_i = r$$

$$p_2: r = v_i, \dots, v_j = s$$

$$p_3: s = v_j, \dots, v_k$$

We must show that  $p_2$  is a shortest  $r$ - $s$  path. Assume, to get a contradiction, that  $G$  contains an  $r$ - $s$  path shorter than  $p_2$ , call it  $p'$ . Then  $\text{length}(p') < \text{length}(p_2) = j - i$ , and hence the walk obtained by concatenating  $p_1$ ,  $p'$  and  $p_3$  has length

$$\text{length}(p_1) + \text{length}(p') + \text{length}(p_3) < i + (j - i) + (k - j) = k = \text{length}(p),$$

contradicting that  $p$  is a shortest  $x$ - $y$  path. This contradiction shows that  $p_2$  is a shortest  $r$ - $s$  path in  $G$ , as required. ■

3. (25 Points) Suppose we are given an unlimited number of coins in each of the denominations  $d = (1, 2, 5, 7, 9)$ . We wish to pay  $N = 14$  monetary units using the least number of coins. Let  $C[i, j]$  denote the minimum number of coins needed to pay  $j$  units using only coins in the denominations  $(d_1, \dots, d_i)$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 5$  and  $0 \leq j \leq 14$ .

a. (10 Points) Write a recursive formula for  $C[i, j]$ . Carefully define boundary values and out-of-bounds values in such a way that  $C[i, j]$  is defined for all  $i$  and  $j$ .

**Solution:**

$$C[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & i \geq 1 \text{ and } j = 0 \\ \min(C[i-1, j], 1 + C[i, j - d_i]) & i \geq 1 \text{ and } j > 0 \\ \infty & i \leq 0 \text{ or } j < 0 \end{cases}$$

b. (10 Points) Fill in the following table containing the values of  $C[i, j]$ .

		$j$														
$i$	$d$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
2	2	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	7
3	5	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	3	3	2	3	3	4	4
4	7	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	3	2	3	2
5	9	0	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	3	2

c. (5 Points) Use this table to determine *two* optimal solutions to this problem, i.e. two different ways to pay 14 monetary units using the least number of possible coins. Express your solutions by giving a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$  for which  $\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i d_i = 14$ .

Optimal Solution 1:  $x = (0, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ , one 5 unit coin, and one 9 unit coin.

Optimal Solution 2:  $x = (0, 0, 0, 2, 0)$ , two 7 unit coins.

4. (25 Points) A thief wishes to steal objects  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , having values  $v[1 \dots 6] = (5, 5, 9, 4, 4, 12)$  and weights  $w[1 \dots 6] = (1, 4, 3, 4, 1, 6)$ , where it is permissible to steal a fraction of an object. His goal is to maximize the total value of the goods stolen  $\sum_{i=1}^6 x_i v_i$ , where  $x_i$  denotes the fraction of object  $i$  to be stolen ( $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ ). The total weight of the stolen goods  $\sum_{i=1}^6 x_i w_i$  must not exceed the capacity of his knapsack:  $W = 9$ . Determine an optimal solution to this problem using a greedy strategy, with selection function  $f(i) = v_i/w_i$ , i.e. order the objects by decreasing value-to-weight ratios, then steal as much of each object as is possible, in that order, never exceeding the capacity of the knapsack. Express your solution as the vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$ , and give the value of this optimal solution.

**Solution:**

The value to weight ratios are:  $(5, 1.25, 3, 1, 4, 2)$ . Thus the thief should steal, in order

- All of object 1 (value 5 and weight 1)
- All of object 5 (value 4 and weight 1)
- All of object 3 (value 9 and weight 3)
- $2/3$  of object 6 (value 8 and weight 4)

The solution vector is therefore  $x = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2/3)$ , with total weight 9 and total value 26. ■