

continue

II.d. $\forall n > 2: P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n-1) \rightarrow P(n)$

let $n > 2$ be arbitrary. Assume
 for any k in range $1 \leq k < n$ that

$$T(k) \leq k^2.$$

we must show: $T(n) \leq n^2$.

so

$$T(n) = 4T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor\right) + n \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{by rec. relation} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\leq 4 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor^2 + n \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{by ind. hyp. and} \\ 1 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor < n \end{array} \right.$$

$$\leq 4 \left(\frac{n}{3}\right)^2 + n \quad \left\{ \text{since } \lfloor x \rfloor \leq x \right.$$

$$= \frac{4}{9} n^2 + n \leq n^2$$



want: true since

$$n > 2 \rightarrow \frac{9}{5} \leq n \rightarrow n \leq \frac{5}{9} n^2 \rightarrow \frac{4}{9} n^2 + n \leq n^2$$

∴ $T(n) \leq n^2$ for all $n \geq 1$ by

2nd PMI.

note

n	①	②	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	...
$\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	..

Example C : induction fallacy

Show : All horses are of the same color.

$P(n)$
↓

show $\forall n \geq 1$: if S is a set of n horses, then all horses in S have same color

Proof (invalid !!)

I. $P(1)$ says ⁱⁿ "any set consisting of 1 horse, All horses have same color,

$\text{I} \text{I} a. \forall n > 1 : P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$

Let $n > 1$ be arbitrary. Assume:

if T is a set of n horses,
then, all horses in T have
same color —

we must show!

if S is a set of $n+1$ horses,
all horses _{in S} have same color.

Let S be any set of $n+1$
horses.

Say

$$S = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_{n+1}\}$$

Define

$$S' = S - \{h_1\}$$

$$S'' = S - \{h_2\}$$

Since both S' and S'' are sets of n horses, all horses in S' have same color, and likewise for S'' . notice

$$h_2 \in S' \cap S''$$

($n > 1 \rightarrow n \geq 2 \rightarrow n+1 \geq 3$, so h_3 exists.)

so all horses in S^1 have same color as h_3 , as do all horses in S'' , so all horses in

$$S^1 \cup S'' = S$$

have same color.



why is Induction valid?

1st Principle of Mathematical Ind.

for any Prop.fcn. $P(n)$:

$$\left(P(1) \wedge \left[\forall n > 1 : P(n-1) \rightarrow P(n) \right] \right) \rightarrow \forall n \geq 1 : P(n)$$

2nd PMI

for any Prop. fcn $Q(n)$:

$$\left(Q(1) \wedge \left[\forall n > 1 : Q(1) \wedge \dots \wedge Q(n-1) \rightarrow Q(n) \right] \right) \rightarrow \forall n \geq 1 : Q(n)$$

18

Well ordering Property of \mathbb{Z}^+ (WOP)

Any non-empty subset of \mathbb{Z}^+
contains a least element.

Theorem

1st PMI, 2nd PMI, and WOP
are logically equivalent.

1st PMI \Leftrightarrow 2nd PMI \Leftrightarrow WOP.

HW#6: 1st PMI \Rightarrow 2nd PMI.

we prove that

$$WOP \Rightarrow 1^{st} PMI$$

Proof. Assume WOP holds.

Let $P(n)$ be any Propositional function. Assume

$$(1) P(1)$$

and

$$(2) \forall n > 1 : P(n-1) \rightarrow P(n)$$

we must show

$$(3) \forall n \geq 1 : P(n)$$

Let $S = \{n \geq 1 : P(n) \text{ is false}\}$.

It is suff. to show $S = \emptyset$,
for then (\exists) is true.

Assume, to get a \times , that
 $S \neq \emptyset$. Then S is a
non-empty subset of \mathbb{N}^+ .

By the WOP, S contains
a least element, call it
 m . Thus

$$m \in S \text{ and } k < m \rightarrow k \notin S.$$


By (1), $P(1)$ is true, so
 $1 \notin S$ so $m \neq 1$. $\therefore m > 1$,
 and hence $m-1 \geq 1$. Since
 $m-1 < m$ we have $m-1 \notin S$,
 so $\boxed{P(m-1) \text{ is true}}$. By

(2) with $n = m$, we have

$\boxed{P(m-1) \rightarrow P(m) \text{ is true}}$.

Hence $P(m)$ is also true
 by Modus Ponens, and
 therefore $m \notin S$. This
 contradicts that $m \in S$.

This $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ shows our

our assumption was
 false, hence $S = \emptyset$
 and (3) holds. 

hint on hw #6: 1st PMI \Rightarrow 2nd PMI.

Assume 1st PMI: $\dots P(n) \dots$

Let $Q(n)$ be any prop.fcn.

Assume

(1) $Q(1)$

and

(2) $\forall n > 1: Q(1) \wedge \dots \wedge Q(n-1) \Rightarrow Q(n)$

show

$$(3) \forall n \geq 1: Q(n)$$

Define

$$P(n) = \dots Q(\cdot) \dots$$

If you choose $P(n)$ correctly,

$$P(1) = Q(1)$$

$$P(2) = ?$$

$$P(3) = ?$$

⋮

$$P(n) = ?$$

Recurrence Relations

Goal: analyze recurrences of the form

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} c & n=1 \\ T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + n \cdot d & n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

3 methods

- o Substitution
- o Recursion-tree / Iteration
- o Master Theorem.