

## Merge Sort

Sort an array  $A$ .

notation:  $A[p \dots q]$  is the subarray

if  $p \leq q$ :  $(A[p], A[p+1], \dots, A[q])$

if  $p > q$ :  $\emptyset$  empty array

$$\text{length}(A[p \dots q]) = q - p + 1$$

$$\text{length}(\underbrace{A[1 \dots n]}_{\text{full array}}) = n - 1 + 1 = n$$

To sort  $A[p \dots r]$

$A[p \dots r]$  unsorted

split ↓

$A[p \dots q]$        $A[q+1 \dots r]$  unsorted

recur ↓

$A[p \dots q]$        $A[q+1 \dots r]$  sorted

merge ↓

$A[p \dots r]$

Top level call : MergeSort( $A, 1, n$ )

| <u>MergeSort(A, p, r) Pre: <math>p \leq r</math></u> |                                     | <u>Cost</u>                      |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1.                                                   | if $p < r$                          | 0                                |
| 2.                                                   | $q = \lfloor \frac{p+r}{2} \rfloor$ | 0                                |
| 3.                                                   | MergeSort(A, p, q)                  | $T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil)$   |
| 4.                                                   | MergeSort(A, q+1, r)                | $T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$ |
| 5.                                                   | Merge(A, p, q, r)                   | $n-1$                            |

### Theorem:

Assuming the correctness of Merge(),  
 MergeSort(A, p, r) correctly sorts  
 the subarray  $A[p \dots r]$ .

Proof

Induction on  $m = r - p + 1$ .

I. if  $m = 1$ , then  $r - p + 1 = 1 \therefore r = p$ .

$\therefore$  condition on line 1 is false,  
so 2-5 are skipped. Indeed a sub-  
array of length 1 is already sorted.

II. let  $m > 1$ . Assume MergeSort() correctly sorts any subarray of length less than  $m$ . we must show MergeSort() correctly sorts

$$A[p \dots r]$$

where  $m = r - p + 1$ .

observe  $m > 1$  implies  $r - p + 1 > 1$ ,  
 $\therefore r - p > 0 \therefore r > p$ , so cond. on  
 line 1 is true. Thus lines  
 2-5 are executed. also

$$p < r \Rightarrow p < \frac{p+r}{2} < r \Rightarrow p \leq \left\lfloor \frac{p+r}{2} \right\rfloor < r$$

$$\Rightarrow p \leq q < r$$

Thus

$$\text{length}(A[p..q]) = q - p + 1 < r - p + 1 = m$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(A[q+1..r]) &= r - (q+1) + 1 = r - q < r - q + 1 \\ &\leq r - p + 1 = m \end{aligned}$$

The ind. hyp. implies that lines 3  
 and 4 result in  $A[p..q] \hat{=} A[q+1..r]$   
 being sorted.

By our assumption on Merge(),  
line 5 results in  $A[p \dots r]$   
being sorted.

what about Merge( $A, p, q, r$ ) ?

sorted  
 $A[p \dots q]$

sorted  
 $A[q+1 \dots r]$

copy ↓

↓ copy

$L[1 \dots (q-p+1)]$   
↑  
①

$R[1 \dots (r-q)]$   
↑  
②

$A[p \dots r]$   
↑  
③

Exercise :

write pseudo-code for Merge( $A, p, q, r$ ).

(or see sec. 2.3 of CLRS.)

To sort  $A[1 \dots n]$ , call

MergeSort( $A, 1, n$ )

Runtime of MergeSort()

- Pick a basic operation and count # of times it is executed on input of size  $n$ .

• in sorting algorithms:

basic op. = comparison of array 2 elements

• we count # comparisons in

- best case
- \* - worst case  $\leftarrow$  mostly this
- average case  $\leftarrow$  occasionally.

Let  $T(n)$  = worst case # of comparisons by M.S. on  $A[1 \dots n]$ .

Let  $p=1, r=n$ , so  $q = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$



Exercise: show that

$$\bullet \text{ length}(A[p..q]) = q - p + 1 = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$$

$$\bullet \text{ length}(A[q+1..r]) = r - q = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$$

Note:

worst case # of comparisons  
by Merge( $A, p, q, r$ ) is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{len}(A[p..r]) - 1 &= (r - p + 1) - 1 \\ &= r - p \end{aligned}$$

since  $p=1, r=n$ ,  $\# \text{ comp} = n - 1$

so  $T(n)$  satisfies

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n=1 \\ T(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + (n-1) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Simplify for master theorem:

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

compare:  $n$  to  $n^{\log_2 2} = n$

By case 2:

$$T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$$

Suppose  $n = \text{exact pow of } 2$

so  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil = \frac{n}{2}$  at all levels of recursion.

Simplify to

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & n=1 \\ 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + (n-1) & n \geq 2, \text{ pow of } 2 \end{cases}$$

Iteration method

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= (n-1) + 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \\ &= (n-1) + 2\left(\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) + 2T\left(\frac{n/2}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= (n-1) + (n-2) + 2^2 T\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right) \\ &= (n-1) + (n-2) + 2^2\left(\left(\frac{n}{2^2}-1\right) + 2T\left(\frac{n/2^2}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= (n-1) + (n-2) + (n-2^2) + 2^3 T\left(\frac{n}{2^3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (n - 2^i) + 2^k \overline{T}\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^i + 2^k \overline{T}\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right)$$

$$= kn - \frac{2^k - 1}{2 - 1} + 2^k \overline{T}\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right)$$

$$= kn - 2^k + 1 + 2^k \cdot \overline{T}\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right)$$

stop when  $\frac{n}{2^k} = 1 \Rightarrow n = 2^k \Rightarrow \boxed{k = \lg(n)}$

$$\therefore \boxed{\overline{T}(n) = n \lg(n) - n + 1}$$

exact soln  
in special case  
 $n = \text{Pow. of } 2,$

Idea: instead of splitting

$A[l \dots r]$  into 2 sub-arrays,

try 3.

Recursion:

$$T(n) = 3T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + \Theta(n)$$

By master-thm:

compare:  $n$  to  $n^{\log_3 3} = n$

still  $T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$

## Exercise

write an algorithm (based on Merge sort) that just checks to see if array is sorted.

↑  
 $A[p \dots r]$

- Prove its correctness by ind.
- analyse runtime (count # comparisons.)

Answer:  $\Theta(n)$

## Iterative version

$A_1 \quad A_2 \quad A_3 \quad A_4 \quad \dots \quad A_{n-1} \quad A_n$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \checkmark \quad \quad \checkmark \quad \quad \checkmark \quad \quad \checkmark$

# Comp =  $n-1 = \Theta(n)$ .

Exercisedistinct  
elements  
↓

Given  $A = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ , a pair  $(i, j)$  of indices is called an inversion iff

$$\bullet i < j$$

$$\text{and } \bullet A_i > A_j$$

write an algorithm (Based on merge sort) that counts # inversions.

note: # Pairs  $(i, j)$  with  $i < j$

$$is \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2},$$

- write algorithm
- prove correctness
- analyze runtime

2 Poss. runtimes!

—  $\Theta(n^2)$

—  $\Theta(n \log n)$  better.