

-
- Final Exam: Thur. Dec. 9
 - hw7: Due Thur Dec. 2
 - Final Review Problems?

Continue: guessing game
adversary argument

Notation

$S_i = \{\text{remaining candidates for } x$
after i^{th} question has been
asked and answered. $\}$

$$S_0 = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$$

note: $S_0 \supseteq S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq S_3 \supseteq \dots$

i^{th} question : Q_i ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots$)

$A_i(x)$ = true answer (yes/no) to Q_i if mystery number is x .

Ex. $Q_1 = \text{'is } x \leq 50 \text{'}$

$A_1(40) = \text{'yes'}$

$A_1(60) = \text{'no'}$

$$Y_i = \{x \in S_{i-1} \mid A_i(x) = \text{yes}\}$$

$$N_i = \{x \in S_{i-1} \mid A_i(x) = \text{no}\}$$

Ex. $Q = \text{'is } x \leq 50 \text{'}$

$$Y_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, 50\}$$

$$N_1 = \{51, 52, \dots, 100\}$$

observe $Y_i \cap N_i = \emptyset$ and $Y_i \cup N_i = S_{i-1}$

$$\therefore |Y_i| + |N_i| = |S_{i-1}|$$

$\therefore$ at least one of Y_i or N_i must contain at least $\left\lceil \frac{|S_{i-1}|}{2} \right\rceil$ elements

A's strategy (A is the 'adversary' or 'demon')

always Q_i so that S_i is the larger of Y_i or N_i . Thus

$$S_i = \begin{cases} Y_i & \text{if } |Y_i| \geq |N_i| \\ N_i & \text{if } |Y_i| < |N_i| \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore |S_i| \geq \left\lceil \frac{|S_{i-1}|}{2} \right\rceil$$

Let $b_i = |\Sigma_i|$, so $b_i \geq \lceil \frac{b_{i-1}}{2} \rceil$. Then

$$b_0 = n$$

$$b_1 \geq \lceil \frac{b_0}{2} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$$

$$b_2 \geq \lceil \frac{b_1}{2} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{2} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n}{2^2} \rceil$$

$$b_3 \geq \dots \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2^3} \rceil$$

$\vdots$

$$b_i \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2^i} \rceil$$

This ends when $b_i = 1$, i.e.

$$\lceil \frac{n}{2^i} \rceil = 1$$

$$\therefore 0 < \frac{n}{2^i} \leq 1$$

$$\therefore n \leq 2^i$$

$$\therefore \lg n \leq i$$

Since i is smallest such integer,

$$i = \lceil \lg(n) \rceil$$

Exercise: check that

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil}} \right\rceil = 1 \quad \text{but} \quad \left\lceil \frac{n}{2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil - 1}} \right\rceil = 2$$

Thus if B claims to know the mystery number after asking no more than $\lceil \lg n \rceil - 1$ questions, then A can claim at least one other number as his true pick, without contradicting any prior answer. $\therefore$ the algorithm playing part of B cannot be correct.

∴ any correct algorithm for $\mathcal{P}$ must ask at least $\lceil \lg n \rceil$ questions.

Exercise

modify above argument to get a lower bound of $\lceil \log_k(n) \rceil$ questions if $\mathcal{P}$ is allowed to ask k -ary questions.

Note: these are the same answers we got with decision trees.

Summary of Adversary method :

1. Suppose algorithm is run against an adversary, that simulates an instance of Problem $\mathcal{P}$ of size n .
2. whenever algorithm probes the data, adversary answers according to some strategy. This strategy must be specified as an algorithm, and must be consistent: there always must be at least one instance of $\mathcal{P}$ that would elicit the adversary's sequence of answers.

3. Prove there is a $h(n)$ of input size n , with the property: If the algorithm after at most $h(n) - 1$ probes, then there exists at least one instance of P (of size n) consistent with all prior answers, but whose correct solution is different from algorithm output.
4. Conclude $h(n)$ is a lower bound for worst case # of probes performed by any algorithm solving P .

Ex. Given an array $A[1 \dots n]$ of numbers, determine its maximum entry, and index where it occurs.

FindMax (A, n)

1. $\text{max} = A[1]$
 2. $\text{imax} = 1$
 3. for $i = 2$ to n
 4. if $A[i] > \text{max}$ 
 5. $\text{max} = A[i]$
 6. $\text{imax} = i$
 7. return $(\text{max}, \text{imax})$
- basic of.

Runtime: $(n-1)$ comparisons.

Exercise do a decision tree lower bound, to get: $\lceil \lg(n) \rceil$.

Exercise

draw a decision tree for above algorithm in case $n=4$. observe that its height is $\geq$ not $\lceil \log_2 4 \rceil = 2$. (you'll have multiple leaves with same verdict.)

Adversary argument:

Given any algorithm for this problem, run it against the following adversary that simulates an array of length n .

Strategy: answer any question (comparison) as if $A = (1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$, i.e.

$$A[i] = i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

111

i.e. daemon answers " $A[i] < A[j] ?$ "

$\begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } i < j \text{ (i lost comparison)} \\ \text{false} & \text{if } j < i \text{ (j lost comparison)} \end{cases}$

Now assume algorithm halts after doing fewer than $h(n) = n-1$ comparisons, i.e. at most $h(n)-1 = n-2$ comparisons. Suppose algorithm output is $(A[k], k)$.

Let i be an integer satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } i \neq k \text{ and} \\ i \text{ has not lost any comparisons} \end{cases}$$

Such a i exists since at most $n-2$ comparisons were performed, and each comp. produces at most 1 new loser.

so there are at most $n-2$ losers, hence at least $n-(n-2)=2$ indices that have lost no comparisons. At this point, adversary claims that

$$A[i] = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i \neq j \\ n+1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Then this array is consistent with all the adversary's answers, but $A[k] = k$ is not maximum in this array. Such an algorithm is incorrect.

Thus any correct algorithm must do at least $\ln(n) = n-1$ comparisons.

Ex. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with $|V| \geq 2$. ($n = |V|$)

Problem: determine whether or not G is connected.

consider algorithms that only ask questions of form: "is u adjacent to v ?" we call these edge probes, or adjacency questions.

i.e. ask: $\{u, v\} \in E$ or $\{u, v\} \notin E$.

Decision tree lower bound:

$$k = \# \text{ outcomes per question} = 2$$

$$f(n) = \# \text{ verdicts} = 2$$

$$\text{lower bound: } \lceil \log_2 2 \rceil = 1$$

i.e. at least 1 adjacency question must be asked.