

Remark: The choice of an ordered basis $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ and a list of vectors $c_1, \dots, c_n \in W$ amounts to the choice of a function

$$f: B \rightarrow W \quad (\text{namely } f(b_i) = c_i)$$

There is another function $i: B \xrightarrow{\text{eq}} V$. The theorem can be restated as follows: for any function $f: B \rightarrow W$, there exists a unique linear map $L: V \rightarrow W$ such that $L \circ i = f$, i.e., the diagram

$$\begin{CD} B @>f>> W \\ @V i VV @. \\ V @>>L>> W \end{CD} \quad L \left(\sum_{b \in B} \alpha_b b \right) = \sum_{b \in B} \alpha_b L(b)$$

commutes. Equivalently, the theorem establishes a bijection of sets $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{linear maps} \\ L: V \rightarrow W \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{functions} \\ f: B \rightarrow W \end{array} \right\} = W^B$

2.3.2 Proposition Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space w/ basis $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ and let $L: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Then

- (a) L is injective iff $L(B) = \{L(b_1), \dots, L(b_n)\}$ is independent in W
- (b) L is surjective iff $L(B)$ spans W
- (c) L is an isomorphism iff $L(B)$ is a basis for W .

Proof. Clearly, (c) follows from (a) and (b). (a) For any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ we have $\sum \alpha_i L(b_i) = L(\sum \alpha_i b_i)$. If L is injective and LHS = 0, then RHS = 0. Hence, $\sum \alpha_i b_i \in \ker L = \{0\}$. So $\sum \alpha_i b_i = 0$. But B is independent, so $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$. OTDK if $L(B)$ is independent and RHS = 0, then LHS = 0. By independence, $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$. So $\sum \alpha_i b_i = 0$. This implies that $\ker L = \{0\}$ so that L is injective.

(b) (\Rightarrow) Let $w \in W$. Choose $v = \sum \alpha_i b_i$ s.t. $L(v) = w$. Then $w = L(v) = \sum \alpha_i L(b_i) \in \text{span}(L(B))$. Hence, $W = \text{span}(L(B))$. (\Leftarrow) Let $w \in W$. Since $L(B)$ spans W , we can write $w = \sum \alpha_i L(b_i) = L(\sum \alpha_i b_i)$. Set $v = \sum \alpha_i b_i$. Then $L(v) = w$. So L is surj. \square

Remark: one can also prove that if $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ spans V , then $L(S)$ spans $\text{im}(L)$. \square

2.3.3 Corollary Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces w/ $\dim V = \dim W$. Let $L: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. The following are equivalent:

- (a) L is injective
- (b) L is surjective
- (c) L is an isomorphism.

Proof. Clearly, (a) and (b) \Leftrightarrow (c). Let B be a basis for V . If L is injective, then $L(B)$ is independent by Prop 2.3.2(a). So $|L(B)| = |B| = \dim V = \dim W$. By Prop 1.4.15(a), $L(B)$ is a basis for W . By Prop 2.3.2(b), L is surjective. If L is surjective, then $L(B)$ spans W and has cardinality equal to $\dim W$. So $L(B)$ is a basis by 1.4.15(b). Hence, L is injective by Prop 2.3.2(a). \square

2.3.4 Theorem Two finite-dimensional vector spaces are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension. Proof. Let V and W be f.d. vector spaces. (\Rightarrow) If $L: V \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism and B is a basis for V , then $L(B)$ is a basis for W . Hence $\dim V = |B| = |L(B)| = \dim W$. (\Leftarrow) Suppose $\dim V = \dim W$. Then $V \cong F^{\dim V}$ and $W \cong F^{\dim W}$. Hence $V \cong W$ since $F^{\dim V} = F^{\dim W}$. \square

Remark: • Finite dimensional vector spaces are classified up to isomorphism by their dimension. • Each is isomorphic to F^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So why do we bother studying abstract f.d. vector spaces at all? Why not just study F^n ? The reason is that choosing an isomorphism $V \xrightarrow{\sim} F^n$ amounts to choosing an ordered basis for V . If $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is a basis for V , then an iso is given by $V \xrightarrow{\sim} F^n$
 $v \longmapsto \sum v_j b_j$
 $b_i \longmapsto e_i$

One can think of this isomorphism as assigning a coordinate system to V . However, a different choice of basis will result in a different coordinate system. But given an arbitrary vector space V , there is no natural/canonical/standard choice of basis. \square

2.4 Change of Basis

Recall: An $n \times n$ matrix $A \in F^{n \times n}$ is invertible if there exists a (unique) matrix $A^{-1} \in F^{n \times n}$ such that $AA^{-1} = I_n = A^{-1}A$ where $I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Recall: let F be field. In Prop 2.1.2, we proved that there is a bijection $\text{End}(F^n) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{linear maps} \\ L: F^n \rightarrow F^n \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{nan matrices} \\ \text{over } F \end{array} \right\} = F^{n \times n}$

The bijection was defined by sending $L: F^n \rightarrow F^n$ to the matrix $[L]_B = ([L(e_1) \mid \dots \mid L(e_n)])$. The inverse sends $A \in F^{n \times n}$ to the linear map $A \cdot: F^n \rightarrow F^n$.

Observe: $L: F^n \rightarrow F^n$ is an isomorphism $\Leftrightarrow [L]$ is invertible

$L(e_1), \dots, L(e_n)$ basis for $F^n \Leftrightarrow$ columns of $[L]$ basis for F^n

$L(e_1), \dots, L(e_n)$ indep in $F^n \Leftrightarrow$ columns of $[L]$ independent in F^n

$L(e_1), \dots, L(e_n)$ span $F^n \Leftrightarrow$ columns of $[L]$ span F^n

Thus, the bijection restricts to a bijection from isomorphisms to invertible matrices. \square

Recall: Choosing a basis $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ for a vector space V over F determines an isomorphism $V \xrightarrow{\sim} F^n$
 $v \longmapsto \sum v_j b_j$

Suppose $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ is another basis for V . Consider the composition $F^n \xrightarrow{\sim} V \xrightarrow{\sim} F^n$
 $\sum v_j b_j \longmapsto v \longmapsto \sum v_j c_j$
 $e_i \longmapsto b_i \longmapsto \sum v_j c_j$

This is an isomorphism $F^n \rightarrow F^n$. Therefore it is given by an invertible matrix: \square

2.4.1 Definition Let $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ and $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ be ordered bases for V . The transition matrix (or change of basis matrix) from B to C is the $n \times n$ matrix $T_B^C \in F^{n \times n}$ whose i th column is $[\sum v_j c_j]_C$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. I.e., $T_B^C = ([\sum v_j c_j \mid \dots \mid \sum v_j c_j])$. \square

2.4.2 Proposition Let $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ and $C = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ be ordered bases for V . Then

- (a) $T_B^C \in F^{n \times n}$ is the unique $n \times n$ matrix satisfying $T_B^C [v]_B = [v]_C$ for all $v \in V$. In other words, T_B^C is the unique matrix making the diagram commute.
- (b) T_B^C is invertible with $(T_B^C)^{-1} = T_C^B$
- (c) (change of basis is transitive) If $D = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is a third basis for V , then $T_B^D = T_C^D T_B^C$.

Proof. (a) Let $v \in V$. Then $T_B^C [v]_B = [v]_C$ by definition. Suppose $A \in F^{n \times n}$ satisfying $A [v]_B = [v]_C$ for all $v \in V$. Then i th column of $A = A e_i = A [\sum v_j b_j]_B = [\sum v_j c_j]_C$ = i th column of T_B^C .

(b) We already know T_B^C is invertible because it is the matrix representing the isomorphism $F^n \xrightarrow{\sim} F^n$. Let $v \in V$. Then using (a), $[v]_C = T_B^C [v]_B = T_C^B T_B^C [v]_B \Rightarrow T_C^B T_B^C = I_n$
 $[v]_C = T_B^C [v]_B = T_B^C T_C^B [v]_C \Rightarrow T_B^C T_C^B = I_n$
Thus, $(T_B^C)^{-1} = T_C^B$ by uniqueness.

(c) Let $v \in V$. Then $T_C^D T_B^C [v]_B = T_C^D [v]_C = [v]_D$. By part (a), T_B^D is the unique matrix w/ this property. Thus, $T_C^D T_B^C = T_B^D$.

Alternative proof: Meditate upon the diagram

Note: part (c) is useful for computing T_B^D if V has a standard basis E . If E is standard, then T_B^E and $(T_B^E)^{-1}$ are easy to compute and $T_B^D = (T_B^E)^{-1} T_C^E$.

2.4.3 Example $E = ((1,0), (0,1))$, $B = ((1,2), (3,4))$, $C = ((-1,1), (1,2))$ are bases for \mathbb{R}^2 . Compute T_B^C . Use part (c). $(-1,2) = \alpha(1,0) + \beta(0,1)$
 $T_B^E = ([\sum v_j e_j]_E) = ([\sum v_j e_j]_E)^{-1}$
 $T_C^E = ([\sum v_j e_j]_E) = ([\sum v_j e_j]_E)^{-1}$
 $T_B^C = (T_C^E)^{-1} T_C^B$
 $T_B^C = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

2.5 Algebra of Linear Maps

Notation: Let V and W be vector spaces over F . The set of all linear maps $L: V \rightarrow W$ is denoted by $\text{Hom}_F(V, W) \subseteq \text{Maps}(V, W) = W^V$. One writes $\text{End}_F(V) := \text{Hom}_F(V, V)$.

Recall: Since W is a vector space, W^V is a vector space w/ the operations $(f+g)(v) := f(v) + g(v)$ and $(\alpha f)(v) := \alpha f(v)$ $\forall f, g \in W^V, \alpha \in F$.

2.5.1 Theorem Let V and W be vector spaces over F . Then the set $\text{Hom}_F(V, W)$ of linear maps from V to W is a subspace of the vector space $W^V = \text{Maps}(V, W)$ of all functions from V to W . Proof. Clearly, $0: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear map. It is easy to check that the sum of two linear maps is linear. Similarly, the scalar multiple of a linear map is linear. \square

Remark: When $V=W$, we can define a binary product on $\text{End}(V)$: for $L, K: V \rightarrow V$, the product of L and K is defined as follows: $KL := K \circ L$. We already proved that $KL \in \text{End}(V)$ in Prop 2.1.11. \square

2.5.2 Proposition Let $\alpha \in F$ and $L, S, T \in \text{End}(V)$. Then

- (a) $L(ST) = (LS)T$
- (b) $L(S+T) = LS + LT$
- (c) $(S+T)L = SL + TL$
- (d) $\alpha(ST) = (\alpha S)T = S(\alpha T)$.

Proof. Exercise. \square

Remark: So $\text{End}(V)$ is an F -vector space, but it also has a way to "multiply" vectors and this operation is compatible with addition and scalar multipl. in $\text{End}(V)$. Such an algebraic structure is called an algebra. \square

2.5.3 Example (algebras)

- (a) $\text{End}(V)$ over F
- (b) F over F
- (c) $F[x]$ over F (using matrix multiplication)
- (d) If $K \subseteq F$ is a subfield, then F is a K -algebra. e.g. \mathbb{C} over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q} .
- (e) $F[x]$ over F (using ordinary multiplication of polynomials)

Note: $F[x]$ is not an algebra over F since multiplication increases degree. \square