

Lecture 4 - Borel measures on the real line.

§1.5: Borel measures on the real line

- **Def:** a Borel measure is a measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$.
- A finite Borel measure gives rise to an increasing, right-continuous function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $F(x) := \mu((-\infty, x])$ such that for any $a < b$, $\mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$.
- Can we turn this process around? Given F increasing and right-continuous, can one construct a Borel measure μ_F such that $\mu_F((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$. (the case $F(x) = x$ is called the Lebesgue measure).
- Construction of a premeasure: define an **h-interval** to be an interval of the form $(a, b]$, (a, ∞) or \emptyset for any $-\infty \leq a < b < \infty$, and let \mathcal{A} the set of finite disjoint unions of h-intervals. Then \mathcal{A} is an algebra and by Prop. 1.2, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- **Prop 1.15:** Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ increasing and right-continuous, and define $\mu_0 \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (a_j, b_j] \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n (F(b_j) - F(a_j))$ for any disjoint union of h-intervals, and $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$. Then μ_0 is a premeasure on \mathcal{A} .
- proof: Check it's well-defined since there is more than one way to represent an element of \mathcal{A} . conditional subadditivity: base case of $(a, b] = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j] [\dots]$
- **Thm 1.16:** If $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing and right-continuous, (i) there exists a unique Borel measure μ_F such that $\mu_F(a, b] = F(b) - F(a)$ for all $a < b$. If G is another function, then $\mu_F = \mu_G$ iff $F - G$ is constant.
(ii) Conversely, given a Borel measure μ , finite on all Borel sets, then F defined by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \mu((0, x]), & x > 0, \\ 0, & x = 0, \\ -\mu((-x, 0]), & x < 0, \end{cases}$$

is increasing, right-continuous, and $\mu = \mu_F$.

- proof: (i) by prop. 1.15, F induces a premeasure on \mathcal{A} , σ -finite since $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k, k + 1]$. F induce the same premeasure iff $F - G$ is constant. By thm 1.14, these measures extend uniquely to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and are still equal iff $F - G$ is constant. (ii) monotonicity of F comes from monotonicity of μ . right-continuity comes from continuity of μ from above and below. Finally, $\mu = \mu_F$ on \mathcal{A} thus by uniqueness in Th. 1.14, $\mu = \mu_F$ on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- **Remarks:**
 - One could also develop the theory using left-cont. functions and right-open intervals.
 - When μ is finite, can also take $F(x) = \mu((-\infty, x])$ (the “cumulative distribution”).
 - In fact, one gets more than a Borel measure μ_F but rather a complete measure $\overline{\mu_F}$ on a larger σ -algebra, the **Lebesgue-Stieljes** measure of F .

- Properties of the LS measure: let \mathcal{M}_μ the domain of a fixed LS measure $\mu = \mu_F$. For all $E \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$,

$$\mu(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{(F(b_j) - F(a_j))}_{\mu((a_j, b_j))} : E \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j] \right\}.$$

- **Lemma 1.17:** $\forall E \in \mathcal{M}_\mu, \mu(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu((a_j, b_j)) : E \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j] \right\}$.
- proof: call $\nu(E)$ the rhs. $\mu(E) \leq \nu(E)$: use that for any cover by open intervals, each interval is a countable union of h-intervals. $\nu(E) \leq \mu(E) + \varepsilon$: use $(a_j, b_j] \subset (a_j, b_j + \delta_j)$ for δ_j such that $F(b_j + \delta_j) \leq F(b_j) + \varepsilon 2^{-j}$.
- **Thm 1.18:** For all $E \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$

$$\mu(E) \stackrel{(i)}{=} \inf \{ \mu(U) : E \subset U, U \text{ open} \} \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \inf \{ \mu(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact} \}.$$

- proof: (i) is obvious from Lemma 1.17. As for (ii): suppose E bounded; if E is closed, then E is compact thus easy. Otherwise, there is U open such that $U \supset \overline{E} \setminus E$ and $\mu(U) \leq \mu(\overline{E} \setminus E) + \varepsilon$. Check that $K = \overline{E} \setminus U$ does the trick. If E is unbounded, define $E_j = E \cap (j, j + 1]$ and use compacts K_j within $\varepsilon 2^{-j}$ measure to E_j .
- **Thm 1.19:** If $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, then (a) $E \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$ iff (b) $(E = V \setminus N_1$ for some G_δ set V and a μ -null set N_1) iff (c) $(E = H \cup N_2$ for some F_σ set H and a μ -null set N_2).
- proof: completeness gives (b) implies (a) and (c) implies (a). Conversely, use Thm 1.18 to produce G_δ and F_σ . Do $\mu(E) < \infty$ and leave the infinite case as exercise.
- Comment: this makes measurable sets relatively simple-looking up to null-sets...

- Study of the Lebesgue measure $m = \overline{\mu_F}$ for $F(x) = x$ with domain $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}$.

- **Thm 1.21:** m is invariant under translation and scales linearly with dilations.
- Facts:
 - m -null sets include singletons, hence countable sets.
 - Construct an open dense subset of measure ε in $[0, 1]$. The complement is a closed, nowhere dense set of measure $1 - \varepsilon$.
 - Also contain uncountable sets: the Cantor middle-third set: it's compact nowhere dense, totally disconnected with no isolated points, of measure zero and cardinality \mathfrak{c} .
 - Cantor-Lebesgue function: for $x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j 3^{-j} \in \mathcal{C}$, let $f(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{2} 2^{-j}$, extended by constancy on $[0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{C}$.
 - $\text{card}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{card}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})) > \mathfrak{c}$ and $\text{card}(\mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{c}$.

References

- [F] *Real Analysis, modern techniques and their applications*, G. Folland.