INTRODUCTION

Excess nutrient loading from anthropogenic activities has altered the oxygen budgets of many coastal ecosystems such that hypoxia [dissolved oxygen (DO) ≤2 mg l⁻¹ or ≤30% saturation] has increased in severity, spatial extent, and temporal duration (Cloern 2001, Diaz 2001, Diaz & Rosenberg 2008). Hypoxia arises when excess organic matter is subject to aerobic decomposition in bottom waters that are isolated from reaeration, typically by thermohaline stratification. The spatial and temporal dynamics of hypoxia are highly variable among systems, but a common feature is the typical confinement of low oxygen to bottom waters, with rare extension either fully to surface waters or to the shoreline. Thus, some amount of oxygenated refuge habitat is typically available to mobile organisms capable of behaviorally avoiding hypoxia. While hypoxic areas occur naturally in several marine ecosystems and sometimes harbor diverse resident communities (e.g. deep ocean oxygen minimum zones; Rogers 2000, Helly & Levin 2004), hypoxia due to anthropogenic nutrient loading in shallow coastal environments is increasing and can limit the available benthic habitat (Diaz 2001, Rabalais et al. 2002). A better understanding of behavioral avoidance responses to hypoxia is needed because indirect effects mediated by these avoidance behaviors and associated shifts in
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spatial distribution are thought to be a major pathway by which hypoxia can impact mobile species (Craig et al. 2001, Breitburg 2002, Craig et al. 2005).

Mobile fishes and invertebrates typically have well developed sensory systems that allow detection and avoidance of water with low DO (Wannamaker & Rice 2000, Wu 2002). Sensitivity to hypoxia as well as the capacity to avoid it can vary among species (Bell & Eggleston 2005), developmental stages (Breitburg et al. 1997), between sexes, with reproductive state (Breitburg 1992), and with body size (Burleson et al. 2001). When exposed to hypoxia, organisms may move horizontally to oxygenated refuges that often occur in shallow habitats where mixing is sufficient to aerate the water (Eby & Crowder 2002, Bell & Eggleston 2005, Stierhoff et al. 2006), or to deeper offshore habitats beyond the influence of the stratification and nutrients necessary for hypoxia to develop (Craig & Crowder 2005). For example, based on fishery-independent trawl surveys, Craig & Crowder (2005) showed that brown shrimp and Atlantic croaker on the northwestern Gulf of Mexico shelf avoid hypoxic water and occur at high densities in nearby oxygenated edge habitats, with abundance declining rapidly with increasing distance from the edge. Alternatively, because hypoxia is typically constrained to the bottom portion of the water column by density stratification, organisms may also move vertically to avoid the low-oxygen water near the bottom (Patriquin 1967, Aku et al. 1997, Keister et al. 2000, Taylor & Rand 2003). Shifts in spatial distribution that result from behavioral avoidance of hypoxia often have implications for foraging (Brandt & Mason 2003), growth (Eby et al. 2005, Stierhoff et al. 2006), and exposure to predators (Lenihan et al. 2001, Domenici et al. 2007). These effects may differ between organisms that move vertically and those that move horizontally to avoid low oxygen.

The continental shelf of the northwestern Gulf of Mexico currently experiences the largest seasonal hypoxic zone in the western hemisphere (Rabalais et al. 2002, Turner et al. 2008). Hypoxia in the Gulf is a coastal phenomenon associated with high freshwater and nutrient inputs from the Mississippi–Atchafalaya river system, which drains about 41% of the continental United States. It has been documented as early as February and can persist until October, but typically occurs from mid-May to mid-September (Rabalais et al. 2002). Since the early 1980s, annual hydrographic surveys have shown that the spatial extent of the hypoxic zone can range from 40 km² to over 20,000 km² in some years (Rabalais et al. 2002, Craig et al. 2005). Hypoxia typically occurs between depths of 5 to 30 m on the inner Louisiana shelf, with oxygenated habitat both inshore and offshore of the hypoxic region. It typically extends vertically over the bottom 1 to 3 m of the water column, but has been documented to occupy up to 80% of the water column (Rabalais et al. 2001).

The 3D distribution of fish is difficult to quantify using traditional tools such as trawls. Fisheries acoustics is a minimally invasive technique for quantifying both the horizontal and vertical distribution of biomass in the water column at relatively small spatial scales (Simmonds & MacLennan 2005). Combining acoustics with net sampling can provide information on species composition as well as on the fine-scale vertical and horizontal distribution of biomass. We used this approach on the northwestern Gulf of Mexico shelf to test the 3 following hypotheses: (1) the mean density of fish in the water column is lower within the hypoxic zone compared to adjacent normoxic waters, consistent with horizontal displacement of organisms within the water column from areas with hypoxic bottom water; (2) the horizontal distribution of fish biomass within the water column is highest near the hypoxic edge (1.9 to 2.1 mg \text{O}_2 \text{l}^{-1}) and declines with increasing distance from the edge, as found by Craig & Crowder (2005) for the bottom meter of the water column based on trawl surveys at large spatial scales; and (3) the vertical distribution of fish biomass is more aggregated in areas overlying hypoxic bottom waters compared to areas where the entire water column is normoxic, consistent with vertical shifts in distribution in response to bottom water hypoxia. We used hydrographic, acoustic, and bottom trawl data collected in July 2004 from the northwestern Gulf of Mexico shelf to test these hypotheses.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Acoustic, trawl, and hydrographic surveys.** We used fisheries acoustics in conjunction with a bottom trawl and hydrographic survey on the Louisiana continental shelf (Fig. 1) from July 20 to August 1, 2004 aboard the RV ‘Tommy Munro’ (30 m vessel length). A towed body with a 120 kHz SIMRAD EK-60 transducer was suspended from a J-frame ~3 m from the port side of the vessel. We measured echo intensity at a frequency of 10 pings s⁻¹ and pulse width of 256 µs during the 20 min trawl duration at a subset of stations (see below). The echosounder was calibrated with a 23 mm copper sphere of known target strength (~40.4 dB at 120 kHz; Foote 1982) before and after the 13 d survey. Acoustic data were collected at a threshold of ~90 dB to exclude smaller targets and were post-processed using SIMRAD’s Sonar5 Pro (Balk 2001). The bottom was automatically detected and corrected by hand using a backstep of 0.5 m. Acoustic and trawl data were collected synoptically at discrete stations from 12:00 to 18:00 h (day) and from 00:00 to 06:00 h (night) daily from July 20 to August 1. Acoustic data from each sta-
Trawl surveys were conducted at each station using a 12.8 m shrimp trawl and a 12.8 m mongoose trawl towed in tandem from either side of the vessel. Each trawl was comprised of 5.1 cm webbing in the body and 4.1 cm webbing at the cod end, and fitted with mud rollers, 2.4 × 1 m wooden chained doors, and a tickler chain. The mongoose trawl had a bib attached to a third bridle cable to sample a larger portion of the water column (3 to 4 m from the footrope to the top of the bib), but was otherwise identical to the shrimp trawl. The height of the shrimp trawl was limited to ~1 m from the footrope to the headrope by the height of the doors. Trawl tows were conducted parallel to depth contours for 20 min at a vessel speed of ~3 knots. The beginning and ending locations for each trawl tow were recorded with a handheld GPS. For some stations, trawl catches were randomly subsampled based on weight (23% of stations); otherwise, the entire catch was processed. All species in the catch or the subsample were identified, measured (total length to the nearest millimeter), and counted. Catches were adjusted to the total weight of the catch (if subsampled) and to a standard tow length of 1.6 km (the median tow distance) based on the straight-line distance between the beginning and ending trawl locations.

A CTD was used to profile physical characteristics of the water column at the beginning and end of each trawl tow. Profiles were taken with a SeaBird 911-Plus (SeaBird Electronics) equipped with an SBE 43 DO sensor. DO (mg l⁻¹, % saturation), temperature (°C), and salinity were binned into 1 m depth bins from the bottom to the surface prior to analysis. We used average values from the bottom meter of the profile to characterize bottom conditions.

We sampled 2 study sites on the western Louisiana shelf (Fig. 1). Site A off Terrebonne and Timbalier Bays is heavily influenced by the Mississippi river and has experienced severe bottom-water hypoxia in ≥75% of years since 1985 based on shelfwide mapping surveys in July (Rabalais et al. 2002); we completed acoustics, trawls and CTDs at 24 stations at this site. We also sampled a second region further west that is heavily influenced by water from Atchafalaya Bay and has experienced bottom-water hypoxia in ≥50% of years since 1985; here we completed acoustics, trawls and
CTDs at 17 stations. Stations were chosen by randomly sampling a nested grid designed to map the general distribution of hypoxia in the region (10 km² grid cells) as well as the locations of the inshore and offshore hypoxic edges (2.5 km² grid cells). Stations were sampled in an east to west direction by repeatedly traversing the inshore–offshore distribution of hypoxia across a depth range of 2 to 35 m. Acoustics were used at a subsample of the stations (shown in Fig. 1) during fixed daytime and nighttime intervals (12:00 to 18:00 h and 00:00 to 06:00 h).

**Analysis.** Mean volume backscatter strength (MVBS or Sᵥ) was measured in logarithmic units of decibels where increasing negative values correspond to lower biomass. For statistical analysis, means and variances were calculated from linear units of backscatter, the volume backscatter coefficient (Sᵥ = 10^sv/10), and converted to decibels for display. We did not convert data from acoustic backscatter to absolute biomass because catch data were only available for the bottom few meters and correlations between trawl catches and acoustic backscatter were relatively weak. For consistency, we use the term backscatter (MVBS or Sᵥ) to refer to the thresholded and binned acoustic data (see MacLennan et al. 2002), but use biomass to refer to the organisms.

We investigated relationships between acoustic biomass and the following environmental variables: DO (mg l⁻¹), temperature (°C), and salinity (all averaged over 1 m vertical depth bins of the water column), thickness of the bottom hypoxic layer (m), time of day (categorical; day = 12:00 to 18:00 h, night = 00:00 to 06:00 h), vertical depth bin within the water column (m), distance from the hypoxic edge (m), and absolute bottom depth (m). The hypoxic edge was defined as the band where DO concentration averaged over the bottom meter was between 1.9 and 2.1 mg l⁻¹ based on the 2.0 mg l⁻¹ oxycline averaged between the beginning and ending CTD profiles. We also used Mantel’s test to investigate the significance of relationships between backscatter and the environmental variables (Mantel 1967). Mantel’s test is a nonparametric partial regression approach based on dissimilarity matrices of predictor and response variables rather than the actual variables themselves. One advantage of Mantel’s test over traditional regression approaches is that it explicitly accounts for spatial autocorrelation in both predictor and response variables as well as intercorrelations among predictor variables. Unlike GAMs, Mantel’s test assumes a linear relationship among dissimilarity matrices. Mantel’s tests were performed using the ecodist package (1.1.3, Goslee & Urban 2007) in S-Plus version 7.0 (Insightful, 2004).

RESULTS

Of the 41 stations sampled with acoustics, 22 were outside the hypoxic zone in depths of 3 to 31 m and bottom oxygen levels of 2.0 to 8.7 mg l⁻¹. Nineteen stations were inside the hypoxic zone in depths of 5 to
24 m and bottom oxygen levels of 0.2 to 1.9 mg l\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 1). Although the number of acoustic stations sampled at day (22) and night (19) was similar, there were more hypoxic than normoxic stations sampled at night (12 vs. 7). The thickness of the bottom hypoxic layer was between 0.5 and 6 m with a mean of 3.3 m, and extended over up to 48% of the water column (mean percentage of the water column = 15.5). There was no significant difference in biomass density (dB m\(^{-1}\) of water column) between hypoxic (mean: −59.5 dB) and normoxic stations (mean: −56.6 dB; ANOVA, \(F_{1,40} = 2.37, p = 0.13\)).

Species composition in trawl catches varied among acoustic stations but was typically dominated by Atlantic bumper *Chloroscombrus chrysurus*, and Atlantic croaker *Micropogonias undulatus* (Fig. 2). These 2 species combined accounted for 71 and 67% (by number) of total catches for hypoxic and for normoxic stations, respectively. Overall catch per unit effort (CPUE) was greater at night than during the day, particularly at hypoxic stations. Other commonly caught fish species in order of abundance included striped, dusky, and bay anchovy (*Anchoa hepsetus, A. lyolepis, A. mitchilli*), silver and white sea trout (*Cynoscion nothus, C. arenarius*), spot, banded, and star drum (*Leiostomus xanthurus, Larimus fasciatus, Stellifer lanceolatus*), and butterfish *Peprilus burti*. Atlantic bumper were on average ~1.5× more abundant than croaker particularly inshore (<4 km, 68% of total catch), while croaker were more abundant offshore (>4 km, 44% of total catch). Species composition at normoxic stations was typically more diverse but was dominated by bumper (59% of total catch) and croaker (24%). Anchovies were particularly abundant at some of the normoxic stations relative to hypoxic stations (5 vs. 0.3%). Backscatter in the bottom 3 m of the water column was positively but weakly correlated with CPUE from the trawls; the relationship was significant for the flat trawl (\(r^2 = 0.12, p = 0.009, n = 41\)) and marginally nonsignificant for the mongoose trawl (\(r^2 = 0.032, p = 0.09, n = 41\)).

To investigate the relationship between acoustically detected biomass and environmental variables, GAMMs were fitted separately for stations with hypoxic bottom waters and those with normoxic bottom waters (Table 1, Fig. 3). At both normoxic and hypoxic stations, backscatter increased monotonically from the lowest DO levels (hypoxic stations: 0.46 mg l\(^{-1}\), normoxic stations: 2.37 mg l\(^{-1}\)) up to 4–6 mg l\(^{-1}\), and then leveled off at higher DO (Fig. 3). At normoxic stations, biomass was relatively evenly distributed

### Table 1. Test statistics (\(F, t\)-value) and probability values for the nonlinear and linear effects of each environmental variable on acoustic backscatter from the Generalized Additive Mixed Model (GAMM) for normoxic stations and hypoxic stations. Statistically significant values (\(p < 0.05\)) are shown in bold. NA: not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonlinear</th>
<th>Linear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(F)</td>
<td>(t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normoxic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_2) (mg l(^{-1}))</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>−0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>−0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>−1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night/day</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to edge (m)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathymetry (m)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypoxic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_2) (mg l(^{-1}))</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (m)</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>−2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night/day</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to edge (m)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathymetry (m)</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxia thickness (m)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3. Generalized additive mixed model (GAMM) for (a) normoxic, and (b) hypoxic stations. Plots show the effects of dissolved oxygen ($O_2$), depth bin within the water column (Depth), temperature, salinity, distance to the hypoxic edge, absolute water depth (Bathymetry), thickness of the bottom hypoxic layer (Hypoxia thickness; for hypoxic stations only), and time of day on acoustic backscatter. Degrees of freedom for each spline(s) smooth are given on the y-axis. Dotted lines are 2 SEs of the mean response. Rugplot on the x-axis indicates sample sizes. Station was entered into the model as a random variable with a first-order autocorrelation term to account for correlation among adjacent depth bins.
throughout the water column although there was a nonsignificant trend toward higher biomass near the surface. In contrast, when bottom waters were hypoxic, backscatter was highest near the surface, declined down to ~10 m depth, and then leveled off or increased slightly toward the bottom. Relationships with temperature, salinity, and depth were relatively weak and generally not significant, particularly for normoxic stations. Similarly, there was no significant relationship between backscatter and the thickness of the bottom hypoxic layer or distance to the hypoxic edge. Backscatter was significantly higher at night than during the day at both hypoxic and normoxic stations.

Results from Mantel’s tests were consistent with those from GAMMs (Table 2, Fig. 4). All of the environmental variables were spatially autocorrelated, with the strength of the autocorrelation varying by an order of magnitude ($r = 0.012$ to 0.11). Simple pairwise cross-correlations (Pearson correlation coefficients) showed variability in correlation among the measured environmental variables ($r^2 = 0.01$ to 0.79). There were particularly strong negative correlations between temperature and salinity ($r^2 = 0.79$), positive correlations between DO and temperature ($r^2 = 0.66$), and a weak negative correlation between hypoxia thickness and DO ($r^2 = 0.09$). After accounting for spatial autocorrelation and intercorrelations among variables, DO, depth bin within the water column, and time of day had significant effects on backscatter (Fig. 4, Table 2). Pure partial correlations with DO and depth bin, however, were about twice as strong as the pure partial effect of the variable on acoustic backscatter after accounting for the effects of spatial autocorrelation and intercorrelation among variables. Arrow thickness is proportional to the strength of the relationship ($r$). The bottom arrow shows residual spatial structure in acoustic backscatter that is not accounted for by the environmental variables.

For illustration purposes, we chose 2 representative stations that had similar bottom depths (6 vs. 7 m) and were sampled at similar times (16:00 vs. 13:00 h), but experienced contrasting bottom oxygen conditions. Stn 55 was located at the hypoxic edge as indicated by bottom DO of 1.7 mg l–1 (1 m thick) at the beginning location and bottom DO of 4.2 mg l –1 at the end of the station (20 min duration). In contrast, Stn 38 was located in well oxygenated bottom water (mean of 5.8 mg l–1). The acoustic echogram for the normoxic station (Stn 38) showed large schools of fish near the surface as well as near the bottom (Fig. 5a). The catch was almost exclusively Atlantic bumper (>99%). The hypoxic edge station (Stn 55) showed high biomass at

![Fig. 4. Mantel path diagram depicting the relationship between acoustic backscatter, environmental variables, and spatial location. Lines indicate significant simple and partial correlations ($p < 0.05$). Numbers above each line are Mantel $r$ correlation coefficients. Arrows originating from ‘space’ show the spatial autocorrelation within each environmental variable and in acoustic backscatter. Arrows originating from the environmental variables show the pure partial effect of the variable on acoustic backscatter after accounting for the effects of spatial autocorrelation and intercorrelation among variables. Arrow thickness is proportional to the strength of the relationship ($r$). The bottom arrow shows residual spatial structure in acoustic backscatter that is not accounted for by the environmental variables.](image-url)
the surface, with high backscatter in the middle of the water column with little biomass below (Fig. 5b); only one fish (immature cobia *Rachycentron canadum*) was caught at this station. The oxygen profile at the normoxic station was nearly uniform, as was acoustic biomass (Fig. 5c). The oxygen profile at the hypoxic edge station declined precipitously at ~4 m depth, from 6.3 mg l⁻¹ at the surface to 1.7 mg l⁻¹ at the bottom, and was mirrored by a similar decline in acoustic biomass with depth (Fig. 5d).

To further investigate the effects of hypoxia on the vertical structure of acoustic biomass, we compared the absolute and relative depth distribution of acoustic backscatter between hypoxic and normoxic stations. At hypoxic stations, average acoustic biomass within the upper 7 m of the water column was greater than the mean of all stations (hypoxic and normoxic combined), while the biomass in the lower 13 m of the water column was lower than the mean of all stations combined (Fig. 6a). The opposite pattern occurred at normoxic stations, with greater than average acoustic biomass in the lower water column (>7 m depth) and lower than average acoustic biomass in the upper water column (<7 m depth) compared to all stations combined.

Because these differences in vertical structure may be partly influenced by the absolute depths that were sampled, we also calculated the relative accumulation of biomass over the relative volume of vertical habitat available, and compared these cumulative distributions between normoxic and hypoxic stations. Acoustic biomass accumulated more rapidly with increasing depth (surface to bottom) at hypoxic stations compared to normoxic stations (Fig. 6b). The near-linear relationship between cumulative backscatter and depth within the water column at the normoxic stations indicates a
relatively even vertical distribution of biomass, although biomass was slightly greater at the surface than at the bottom (55% of the biomass was within the upper 40% of the water column). In contrast, 75% of the biomass at hypoxic stations accumulated within the upper 40% of the water column, consistent with vertical aggregation above the hypoxic bottom layer. The biomass ratio above and below the pycnocline was 2.5x higher at hypoxic stations (3.90 ± 2.8 SD) compared to normoxic stations (1.60 ± 1.17 SD; ANOVA, $F_{1,24} = 11.03, p = 0.0029$), further supporting the hypothesis that bottom water hypoxia leads to the aggregation of biomass in the upper water column.

**DISCUSSION**

Prior studies have shown that low-oxygen avoidance behavior modifies the horizontal distribution of mobile organisms (Eby & Crowder 2002, Bell & Eggleston 2005, Craig & Crowder 2005, Tyler & Targett 2007). Abundance typically declines sharply when bottom DO levels are below 2.0 mg l$^{-1}$. Field studies indicate that low-oxygen thresholds eliciting horizontal avoidance responses vary among species (Pihl et al. 1991), among life stages within species (Breitburg 1992), among systems (Decker et al. 2003), and with the spatial and temporal scale of the investigation (Bell & Eggleston 2005). In addition, laboratory experiments suggest that some species may exhibit graded rather than threshold avoidance responses to low DO (Wannamaker & Rice 2000, Stierhoff et al. 2006).

However, organisms can also respond to bottom water hypoxia by modifying their vertical distribution in the water column (Breitburg 1994, Aku et al. 1997, Schurmann et al. 1998, Keister et al. 2000, Taylor & Rand 2003). Hydroacoustics offers the opportunity to characterize hypoxia-induced shifts in both horizontal and vertical distributions at fine spatial and temporal scales.

Acoustically detected biomass was not statistically different inside and outside the hypoxic zone ($\leq 2.0$ mg l$^{-1}$ DO). There was a trend toward lower biomass within the hypoxic zone but the high variability in density among stations resulted in low statistical power to detect differences between hypoxic and normoxic waters ($1 - \beta < 0.8$). Nonetheless, these results indicate that organisms remain within the geographic boundaries of the hypoxic zone and potentially interact with the bottom low-oxygen layer. Bottom trawl studies in the northwestern Gulf have reported low catches in hypoxic bottom waters (Pavela et al. 1983, Leming & Stuntz 1984, Renaud 1986). For example, based on large-scale, fishery-independent trawl surveys, demersal biomass as well as abundance of particular species were low within hypoxic bottom waters but high in nearby oxygenated waters (Craig & Crowder 2005, Craig et al. 2001, 2005). Craig & Crowder (2005) demonstrated that Atlantic croaker aggregate at high densities in normoxic waters within 10 km of the hypoxic edge, and suggested that these hypoxia-induced shifts in horizontal distribution and aggregation have consequences for both trophic and fishery interactions.

From the acoustic data alone, it is not clear to what extent the biomass within the boundaries of the hypoxic zone is comprised of species avoiding the low-oxygen bottom layer or of species that are naturally abundant in the upper water column. However, a previous study in the region showed that biomass at an oil
rig on the Louisiana shelf was greater in the upper water column during hypoxic compared to normoxic conditions despite similar total fish abundance, indicating vertical avoidance of low-oxygen bottom waters (Stanley & Wilson 2004). Hence, hypoxia may have implications for bioenergetic processes and trophic interactions in the pelagic habitat mediated by avoidance of the bottom low-oxygen layer.

The high species richness (mean = 9 species) in bottom trawl samples collected synoptically with the acoustic data make it difficult to unequivocally identify the species comprising the acoustically detected biomass. Overall, Atlantic bumper, Atlantic croaker, and anchovies comprised the majority of the trawl catches, but other species such as longspine porgy and sea trout were sometimes abundant. Bumper often occurred as large monospecific catches while croaker typically occurred as part of a more species-rich demersal assemblage. Since bumper are pelagic planktivores that undertake diel vertical migrations (Chaves & Umbria 2003), they may respond to hypoxia primarily via vertical avoidance, and may thus comprise a large portion of the acoustically detected biomass above the hypoxic zone. However, other species such as anchovies and herring are also abundant in this region but may not be effectively sampled by bottom trawls. Croaker are benthtivores that are strongly associated with the bottom, hence it was somewhat surprising to find large croaker numbers in areas with hypoxic bottom waters. Bell & Eggleson (2005) found no relationship between the abundance of croaker and DO down to levels of ~1 mg l⁻¹. Since many of our sampled hypoxic stations were near the hypoxic edge and had <1 mg DO l⁻¹, the high croaker abundance at hypoxic stations may also reflect a greater tolerance for oxygen levels <2.0 mg l⁻¹. The correlation between backscatter in the bottom 3 m of the water column and trawl CPUE was significant, indicating that trawl species composition could be used to help determine the species composition of acoustically detected biomass, although a large amount of unexplained variability remained. Discrepancies between acoustic backscatter and trawl CPUE might be caused by variation in trawl catchability among species, size classes, oxygen conditions, and with time of day (Michalsen et al. 1996, Casey & Myers 1998), as well as variation in acoustic target strength (Foote 1980, Hazen & Horne 2003, 2004).

We did not find a significant relationship between acoustic biomass and distance from the hypoxic edge. This differs from findings based on bottom trawl surveys that showed large aggregations of Atlantic croaker within 0 to 10 km of the hypoxic edge (Craig & Crowder 2005). The horizontal aggregation relative to hypoxia seems to be restricted to the bottom layer rather than the entire water column—an effect that acoustics may miss, since the bottom half-meter is lost due to bottom interference. Because acoustic backscatter integrates the behavioral responses of multiple species that differ in DO tolerance and degree of aggregation in hypoxic edge habitats, trawl results could show different species-specific patterns. This study is also at a finer scale than that of Craig & Crowder (2005), with maximum distances of only 12 km (vs. 100 km in Craig & Crowder [2006]) from the hypoxic edge and a lower proportion of offshore stations, which may also explain some of the differences in findings. Furthermore, normoxic stations were comprised of a mix of inshore, offshore, and interior shoals surrounded by low oxygen that might each constitute different types of ‘edge’ habitat. Additional research, including midwater trawls and more targeted sampling near hypoxic edges, is needed to better understand the relative importance of horizontal and vertical avoidance behavior in response to bottom water hypoxia.

Two lines of evidence suggest that fish do not simply occupy the pelagic habitat above the low-oxygen bottom layer, but that hypoxia alters the vertical distribution of fishes in the water column. First, the vertical distribution of biomass was strikingly different between hypoxic and normoxic stations and did not simply reflect the loss of the bottom hypoxic layer. The amount of acoustic biomass in the upper water column was higher at hypoxic than at normoxic stations on both absolute and relative scales, consistent with the displacement of organisms in the bottom layer to higher in the water column. Similarly, the ratio of biomass above to biomass below the pycnocline was considerably higher at hypoxic compared to normoxic stations, also consistent with vertical avoidance. These results are in agreement with other studies of pelagic species that have demonstrated vertical avoidance of low-oxygen bottom water (Aku et al. 1997, Keister et al. 2000, Wanink et al. 2001, Prince & Goodyear 2006). Additional effects of vertical avoidance behavior have also been demonstrated, including disruption of diel patterns of vertical migration (Schurmann et al. 1998), altered schooling behavior (Domenici et al. 2000, 2002), and changes in patch structure in overlying normoxic waters (Taylor & Rand 2003). Further research is needed to determine the consequences of vertical avoidance of bottom water hypoxia for these other aspects of spatial distribution for fishes in the Gulf of Mexico.

Behavioral avoidance of hypoxia and associated shifts in vertical distribution may have implications for trophic interactions, although the direction and magnitude of these effects are difficult to predict. Low-oxygen bottom waters may serve as a refuge for hypoxia-tolerant zooplankton, leading to reduced for-
aging efficiency of planktivorous fishes that cannot occupy the bottom hypoxic layer (Taylor & Rand 2003, Klumb et al. 2004). Alternatively, both zooplankton and pelagic planktivores may be concentrated in surface waters as a result of behavioral avoidance of bottom water hypoxia, presumably leading to enhanced encounter rates and increased foraging efficiency by pelagic planktivores (Roman et al. 1993, Keister et al. 2000). Similar, alternative responses to bottom water hypoxia have been suggested for interactions between piscivorous fishes and planktivores (Wanink et al. 2001, Neuenfeldt & Beyer 2006). Hypoxia-induced increases in aggregation and foraging efficiency may lead to increased growth rates of pelagic predators (Prince & Goodyear 2006), but decreased growth due to density-dependent effects (Aku et al. 1997) or high surface water temperatures (Coutant 1985). Although outside the scope of this study, the synergistic effects of hypoxia and bycatch of Atlantic croaker and other gulf species from shrimp fisheries need to be considered in fisheries management as increased aggregations or catchability near hypoxic edges could make detection of population declines difficult.

Since the frequency and severity of hypoxic zones are increasing globally (Diaz & Rosenberg 2008), it is becoming increasingly important to understand the linkages between low DO, behavioral responses of mobile organisms, and associated effects on trophic interactions and energy flow in coastal ecosystems.

Our results indicate that fish are abundant within the geographic bounds of the hypoxic zone above the bottom hypoxic layer. Hypoxia in the Gulf is typically limited to bottom waters such that a considerable amount of the overlying water column (on average, 85% in our study) remains available as habitat. We have shown that hypoxia alters the vertical distribution of organisms, potentially altering, and perhaps intensifying, trophic interactions in the overlying pelagic habitat. Such vertical shifts in distribution have been shown to have both positive and negative effects on individual species interactions that can span multiple trophic levels. Although not well known for most marine environments, shifts in trophic interactions can also have important implications for biogeochemical processes and nutrient cycling (Schindler et al. 1997, Carpenter et al. 2001). The spatial extent of bottom water hypoxia in the Gulf has spanned an area of <5000 to >20,000 km² in recent years (Turner et al. 2008), suggesting even higher variability in the volume of overlying normoxic water. This suggests that hypoxia-induced shifts in the vertical distribution of fishes and associated effects on trophic interactions in the pelagic habitat could have important implications for energy flow and nutrient cycling in this system.
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