

Ellipsis, Minimality, and Movement in Bahasa Mandar

Dan Brodkin Universitas Katolik Atma Jaya / August 4, 2023

Introduction

Dan

- I'm a Ph.D student in linguistics at the University of California, Santa Cruz
- I work with syntax and phonology, in the paradigm of generative linguistics
- My research is focused on a regional language of Sulawesi: Bahasa Mandar
- Since 2019: working with Jupri Talib

UC Santa Cruz





Bahasa Mandar

77

E P.C.S

here

The Generative Program

- My work contributes to generative linguistic theory.
- Generative linguistics is a program that aims to:
 - find abstract similarities between languages,
 - build a theory to describe those similarities,
 - and try to explain why we find them.
 - Why do languages do specific things?
 - What is the nature of our linguistic competence?

The Generative Program

- There are two goals within this enterprise:
 - Descriptive Adequacy:
 The theory must capture patterns in language
 ... and capture differences between languages
 - Explanatory Adequacy:
 - The theory must explain why these patterns exist
 ... and make predictions about what cannot exist.

The Generative Program

- Occasionally, there is tension between these goals:
 - The need for Explanation:
 - can lead to theories which are English-specific
 - ... and make it harder to analyze other languages
 - The need for Description:
 - can lead to theories which are not very deep
 - ... and do not allow us to make predictions
 - Our objective: to meet both goals + enrich the theory.

Today's Project

- The goal of my talk today: to use generative theory to understand a phonological pattern in Bahasa Mandar.
- > The pattern involves alternations in phonological size:
 - There are demonstratives that are one syllable long.
 - Under some condutions, they stay small
 - Under others, they are forced to expand

Today's Project

- > The normal demonstrative:
 - (1) bengang a' **do** buku o give me that book there
- If the demonstrative is alone: sometimes it expands
 - (2) bengangi di'o ____ guru o
 give that ____ teacher there
 `Give that to the teacher.'
- but under other circumstances, it does not.

(3)	bengangi	do	 guru-mu	0
	give	that	 teacher-your	there

8

Today's Project

- > This humble pattern is similar to something in English.
- > This similarity will lead us to a cross-linguistic theory
 - ... which explains when demonstratives can be small
 - ... and when they will be forced to expand.
- Our investigation will lead us to questions of depth:
 - on the nature of word-building,
 - on the interaction of syntax and phonology,
 - and ultimately: on the status of movement.

Roadmap



Background

- Our investigation begins with the theory of sentences.
- When we produce a sentence, it contains three parts:
 - Words (lexical items, like nouns and verbs)
 Syntax (an order and organization of words)
 Phonology (a rhythm and pronunciation)

- Generative theory: our internal knowledge of language contains different components that host these things.
- There is a LEXICON, which contains lexical items (roots, like "dog" and "play")
- There is a SYNTAX, which organizes lexical items into phrases and then sentences, and
- There is a PHONOLOGY, which places rhythm on the sentence and prepares its pronunciation.

- Generative Theory: every sentence is built in stages
 - Numeration: we select lexical items. (Chomsky 2000)
 - **Syntax:** we place the lexical items into a structure, one by one, gradually building clauses. (Chomsky 2000)
 - **Phonology:** we then figure out the pronunciation of the whole sentence all at once. (Prince & Smolensky 1993)

- The Derivational Hypothesis (Chomsky 1995)
 - The three stages are strictly ordered
 Once we select roots, we move to syntax
 - Once the syntax is finished, we move to phonology
 - There is no moving backwards.



- > The Derivational Hypothesis raises a question of **Timing**.
- > The syntax is resposible for operations like movement.



(Movement: Chomsky 1965; Ross 1967: McCloskey 2001)

- Movement is only sensitive to syntactic information.
 - The nouns that move in English are a syntactic class: they are all question words, like "who, how, why"
 - We can sketch the rule like this:
 - (6) Question-Displacement Rule
 "Syntactic elements that bear the feature [+Question] must move to the left edge of the clause." (cf. Chomsky 2000)

- Syntactic movement rules are not sensitive to phonology.
 - They may refer to syntactic features like [+Question]
 - But they cannot refer to properies like "starts with "w""



 The Principle of Phonology-Free Syntax (Zwicky & Pullum 1986)

- The Principle of Phonology-Free Syntax can be explained through the Derivational Hypothesis in terms of timing.
 - Syntax precedes phonology.
 - In the syntax, phonological information is absent.
 - When the syntax looks at "what", it just sees [+Q]
 - It does not know anything about pronunciation.

The hypothesis: phonological information only appears after the syntax is complete, right here.



This is known as the Theory of Late Insertion.
 (Bonet 1991; Halle & Marantz 1993)

- \triangleright Late Insertion \rightarrow words receive phonological content after syntax
- This means that the syntax doesn't contain phonological content. Terminals just have syntactic features, so for "what did you buy":



- > The Theory of Late Insertion raises a puzzle about the Word.
- Words are often internally complex: English verbs
 - (8) walk-ed, laugh-ed, explain-ed, request-ed V-T.past V-T.past V-T.past V-T.past
- These words must be assembled in the syntax, because their component parts can be separated (Halle & Marantz 1993)
 (9) Did they walk?
 (10) They did not walk.

- Usually, the syntax combines the verb with tense:
 - Through more movement;
 Chomsky 1956, 2001
 Harizanov & Gribabova 2018



- And then it sets up specific instructions for pronunciation:
 - "With this verb, T.past is pronounced as "-ed".
 - The verb and T.past form a single phonological word (McCarthy & Prince 1993; Selkirk 1995; 2009)

- Within this system, the form of T.past raises a mystery.
- English past tense is exponed in many different ways:

(11)	Vowel change:	run	ran	fall	fell
(12)	Vowel change + t:	sleep	slept	buy	bought
(13)	No change at all:	hit	hit	spit	spit

- These patterns suggest that [T.past] can have four shapes:
 o /-ed/
 - [Vowel change]
 - [Vowel change] + /-t/
 - 0 /Ø/
- > The alternation between these forms is called **Allomorphy**.
 - It is a matter of rich research in modern generative work (Bonet 1991, Embick 2010, Nevins 2011, Bobaljik 2012)

- Most cases of allomorphy are not sensitive to phonology.
 - The shape of tense does not depend on the shape of V.
 - (14) ride slide glide(15) rode slid glided
 - Rather: the choice of allomorph is generally arbitrary (16) $[T.past] \rightarrow o / ride_, \rightarrow i / slide, \rightarrow -ed / glide_$

- > The Derivational Hypothesis: this suggests a fact of timing.
 - Allomorph selection occurs before there is phonology.
 - The syntax sees a tree like this:
 - And forces one allomorph to appear.

(17) Realization Rules (Halle & Marantz 1993) [T.past] $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ [-ed] / GLIDE [T.past] $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ [-Ø] / RIDE [RIDE] $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ [rode] / T.past



- > This hypothesis: allomorphy does not care about phonology.
 - In every case where an element has multiple allomorphs,
 - \circ $\,$ The choice between the two
 - Cannot be sensitive to phonology
 - Cannot be driven by phonological constraints.
 - Rather: the syntax gives instructions that are sensitive to
 - Syntactic features (Tense.Past), and
 - The lexical identity of roots (Glide, Ride, Slide)

- Puzzle: there are exceptions to this pattern.
 - (18) ber-jalan ber-usaha
 (19) be-pergian be-kerja
 - (20) omah-e (rumah-nya; bahasa Jawa)
 (21) kanca-ne (teman-nya; bahasa Jawa)
 - (22) kiring-ang (kirim-kan; bahasa Mandar)
 (23) baca-ngang (baca-kan; bahasa Mandar)

> These exceptions suggest that the phonology can play a role.

A phonological account:

- The syntax attaches the affix "ber" to a verb.
- It doesn't say anything about its pronunciation.
- The syntax of "bekerja" looks like this:

PrefixP prefix VP [WORK]

- > These exceptions suggest that the phonology can play a role.
- A phonological account:
 - The phonology looks at this and makes a choice.
 - It sees two possible allomorphs for the prefix:
 - [**be**-kerja] [**ber**-kerja]



- The phonology does not want two syllables in a row to end in "r", so
- It forces the prefix to be pronounced be-.
 (Mester 1994, Mascaró 2007, Wolff 2009, Bennett 2018)

- However: there is a large literature that rejects this idea.
 (Paster 2006, Embick 2010; Bobaljik 2012; Gouskova & Bobaljik 2022)
- > This literature says: it's about roots and features.
 - From the same starting syntax...
 - The root [WORK] contains an instruction:

(24) $[ber] \rightarrow [be] / _-[WORK]$

- The pattern of allomorphy does not refer to phonology.
- It is accidental and irrelevant that it avoids a sequence of coda r's.

PrefixP

[WORK]

prefix

- The debate between these two camps is serious and deep, and it touches on two central questions in the theory:
 - What is the role of phonology in word formation?
 - What is the division of labor between syntax and phonology?
- > The goal of this talk: to work through this mystery.



Functional Words

- We will contribute to this debate by looking into "Stranding."
 (Ross 1967; Hornstein & Weinberg 1981; Merchant 2000 Anderson 2008)
- In English, there are many strings with this shape: FunctionalP



"F" is a functional element: an auxiliary or preposition
"L" is a lexical element: a verb or a noun

Functional Words

Some examples of Functional and Lexical Projections:


Functional Words

- ▷ In English, functional heads are often phonologically small.
- Many prepositions are pronounced as a single letter.
 Many auxiliaries are also pronounced in that way.
 - (25) you NP
 (26) I C take A CUP A Coffee NP
 (26) I V D NP
 (26) I V D NP

Functional Words

- 2 prepositions can be pronounced as single segments: t' (to) and a (of)
- Many more auxiliaries show the same effect:

Form	Example	Orthography
sh'	l sh' go	should
С'	C'l get some?	could
d'	D'you already go?	did
d'	D'you wanna?	do
'd	l'd go	would
41	l'll go	will
'S	He's here	is
'S	He's done it	has
've	We've done it	have
're	You're leaving?	are
'm	I'm going to.	am

- Everything changes when we separate the sequence F-L.
- English has a process of "VP-ellipsis" which deletes the VP.
 - This construction has been extensively studied: (Hankamer 1978; Johnson 2001, 2009; Merchant 2013)
 - It also exists in Indonesian, with very similar properties (Fortin 2007)

(27) They would do it, and I would _____, too. (do it)

- ▷ VP-Ellipsis forces functional elements to appear on their own.
- In this context, many of them take different forms.

(28) [T..., ∀P, too] They'd do it, and I would /*I'd ____, too. They sh' do it, and I should /*I sh' ____, too. They c' do it, and I could / *I c' ____, too. They're here, and I am /*I'm ____, too.

This is allomorphy, not regular phonology.
 There are no identical alternations with similar words

(29)	l would	buy	wood	from there.
	ľd	buy	wood	from there
	*l'd	buy	'd	from there

▷ These alternations are similar to those with the other T:
 (30) [WOULD] → 'd / __verb
 → would / stranded

- ▷ The same kind of pattern can be seen with prepositions.
 - English allows prepositions to be stranded by movement.
 - When this happens...
 - [P NP] (31) Somebody get me a cup **a** coffee.
 - (32) What do you want a cup of ____?
 *What do you want a cup a ____?

We can summarize the changes in this system as follows.

Regular	Stranded	Regular	Stranded
sh'	should	'S	is
С'	could	'S	has
С'	can	've	have
d'	did	're	are
d'	do	'm	am
'd	would	ť	to
41	will	а	of

The analysis of this pattern is a matter of contentious debate (Selkirk 1995, Johnson 2001; Anderson 2008; Ito & Mester 2019)

- Some researchers argue that this is a syntactic effect:
 - Movement is a syntactic operation, and ellipsis is, too.
 - When these operations occur, they require a syntactic mark on the stranded functional head. (Merchant 2001)
- Syntactic accounts:
 - Allomorphy depends on the presence of this mark.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} (33) \left[\text{WOULD} \right] & \rightarrow & \text{would} & / & \left[\text{ellipsis} \right] \\ & \rightarrow & \text{'d} & \text{elsewhere} \end{array}$

(34) He won't go, but I would [+ellipsis].



- Nevertheless: there is a good case for phonology here.
- The alternation is sensitive to overt phonological content:
 o (even though that correlates with a syntactic feature)
 - (28) They'd do it, and I would / *l'd __, too.
 (32) What do you want a cup of / *a _?
- And: the alternation has a consistent phonological shape.
 Functional heads are always longer when alone.



A Similar System

- Bahasa Mandar
 is a regional language
 of West Sulawesi Province.
- Roughly 400.000 speakersHistorical lingua franca
- Data on Bahasa Mandar: gathered with Jupri Talib.



Bahasa Mandar shows similar structures to English.



- > The functional elements in these structures are also small
- Before lexical items, they are usually one syllable long.

Auxiliary	Gloss	Preposition	Gloss	Demonstrative	Gloss
ndang	tidak	de'	ke atas	de	ini
rwa	pernah	nong	ke bawah	do	itu

Like English, Bahasa Mandar has a process of VP-ellipsis.

- (35) Usanga dionging ndangi rwa napikkiri fonologi. Kupikir kemarin tidak pernah diapikkirkan fonologi
- (36)Jari tiwikke a' apa'rua iJadi terkejut aku karenapernah ia (memikirkan fonologi)

"I used to think he had never thought about phonology, So now I'm shocked, because he has."

- VP-Ellipsis forces a similar pattern of allomorphy:
 When the negator is stranded, it gets longer.
 - (37) do ande **ndam** macoa o. that food not good there. "That food is not good."
 - (38) mau macoai de andee, Though good this food here
 do ande andiang _____ o. that food not there.

- > The same pattern can be seen with preposition-stranding.
 - It is possible to strand prepositions in Bahasa Mandar,
 - And stranded prepositions are forced to expand.
 - (39) Bemmei **sung** basket-mu, It fell out basket-your `It fell out of your basket.'
 - (40) Apa nabemmei su'ung _____ ?
 What it fell out `What did it fall out of / *a?'

- > The same pattern can be seen with demonstratives.
 - It is possible to strand demonstratives in the language,
 - And stranded demonstratives are forced to expand.

- (41) Bengangi do buku guru o. give that book teacher there.`Give that book to the teacher.'
- (42) Bengangi **di'o** ____ guru o. give that ____ teacher there. `Give that to the teacher.'

- > These alternations look just like the English pattern:
 - Functional elements are small before nouns/verbs
 - But when they are stranded, they are forced to expand.
- Some alternations involve regular phonology... (sung-su'ung)
- But others look like allomorphy.

Normal	Stranded	Gloss
ndang	andiang	not
de	di'e	this
do	di'o	that

The Case for Phonology

- It seems possible to analyze this pattern in the syntax:
 - "The stranding operations require syntactic features."
 - "The allomorphy is conditioned by that."



The Case for Phonology

- But when we look further, we will find that a classical syntactic account is impossible.
- Our case will involve two separate arguments:
 - The allomorphic alternations in Mandar form part of a larger system within the phonology, so they must be localized to that system.
 - The alternations refer to information that is absent in the syntax, so there is no way to construct a syntactic account of the pattern.
- The result: this must be phonologically-driven allomorphy.



- Our starting point is an observation on phrasal phonology.
 - Sentences are organized into phonological constituent structures (Selkirk 1984; Nespor & Vogel 1986; Kubozono 1989, Elfner 2015)
 - Syntactic XPs usually form phonological phrases (φs; Selkirk 2009)

(44)	They	bought	rice
	[TP	[VP]
	(φ	(φ))

- Our starting point is an observation on phrasal phonology.
- Functional elements form phonological phrases with the nouns and verbs that follow them. (Selkirk 1995, Hall 1997)
 - They're not single words, since they can be separated
 - But they're clearly phonological units.

(44)	All a those people	might appeal	to the pr	esident
	[NP] [T'	[PP]]
	(φ) (φ	(φ))

- > This same pattern can be seen in Mandar.
 - Functional elements form phonological phrases with following Ns/Vs.
 - The right edge of the phonological phrase can also be seen in a tone.

(45)	Bengangi ^H	do buku	I _H	lo	guru-mu ^H	о ^Н .
	give	that boo	k	to	teacher-your	there.
		[DemP]	[PP]	
		(φ)	(φ)	

- > The stranding pattern is connected to this tone.
 - The sequence "dem + noun" carries the tone at its edge. This tone persists when the demonstrative is stranded.

 $(41) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \text{Bengangi}^{H} & \textbf{do} & \text{buku}^{H} & \text{guru}^{H} & \text{o}^{H}.\\ \text{give} & \text{that book} & \text{teacher there.}\\ (\phi &) & (\phi &) \end{array}$ $(42) \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \text{Bengangi} & \textbf{di'o}^{H} & __\\ \text{give} & \text{that} & __\\ (\phi &) & (\phi &) \end{array}$

> The same tone persists when other elements are stranded.

(39)		Bemmei It fell	sung out	basket-mu ^H . basket-your	
		`It fell out of	⁻ your bas	ket.'	
			(φ)	
(40)	Apa What	nabemmei it fell	su'ung[⊦] out	· 3	?
	`What o	did it fall out o	f / *a?'		
			(φ)	

> This allows us to update our generalization as below:

(46) The Stranding Generalization: When functional heads are stranded, they always form phonological phrases.

(cf. Itô & Mester 2019)



> The Stranding Generalization opens up a new hypothesis:

(47) The Prosodic Hypothesis:

The size alternation is conditioned by position in the φ . When functional elements appear at the right edge of a phonological phrase, they must be disyllabic. Otherwise, they can be monosyllabic.

Schematically:

Outside the right edge: $(\phi$ σ N/V)At the right edge: $(\phi$ $\sigma\sigma$ ___)

- > The Prosodic Hypothesis suggests that this is all phonology.
 - The motive for allomorphic alternations is not a syntactic feature.
 - Rather: it is a phonological constraint
- (48) The Positional Constraint: $(\phi \dots *\sigma)$ "The final constituent in the ϕ must be at least disyllabic."

(Itô & Mester 1992; Downing 1998, Booij 1999, de Lacy 2001, Smith 2002, Prieto 2005, Elordieta 2008, McCarthy 2011)

- Prediction: this constraint should drive other patterns too.
- (48) The Positional Constraint: $(\phi \dots *\sigma)$ "The final constituent in the ϕ must be at least disyllabic."
- Specifically: we should see similar patterns at the right edges of all phonological phrases, not just those created in this way.

(50)

- Observation 1: there's a restriction on vowel coalescence.
 - Mandar: Noun-adjective sequences form one phonological phrase.
 - In this context: many nouns appear as monosyllabic.

bau^H

(49)	bo kaiyang	g ^H to	malutta ^H	sya macoa ^H
	fish big	person	lazy	salt good
	`a big fish'	`a lazy p	erson	`good salt'

tau^H

 \circ When these nouns appear at the right edge of the $\phi,$ they expand.

67

siya^H

> These Ns show the same alternation as functional elements

(49)	bo kaiyang ^H fish big `a big fish'	to person `a lazy p	malutta lazy erson	Н	sya macoa ^H salt good `good salt'
(50)	bau ^H	tau ^H			siya ^H
Outside At the ri	the right edge: ght edge:	(φ (φ	σ σσ	Adj))

(50)

- > This alternation suggests a real phonological constraint:
 - Vowel sequences are generally reduced, when they can be.

bau^H

(49)	bo kaiyang	^H to	malutta ^H	sya macoa ^H
	fish big	person	lazy	salt good
	`a big fish'	`a lazy p	erson	`good salt'

- However, the final word in the phonological phrase must be disyllabic.
- If vowel reduction would put a monosyllabic word there, it is blocked.

tau^H

siya^H

- Observation 2: the same pattern emerges in another place.
 - Question words generally appear at the front of the sentence.
 - \circ In this context, they are always disyllabic and always form φ s.
 - (51) **Apa^H** milloa^H? what is ringing?
 - $\circ~$ But: they can be protected from the right edge of the ϕ by adverbs.
 - In that context: they also become monosyllabic.



- > This alternation has the same exact shape:
 - \circ $\,$ Wh-words are monosyllabic if protected from the right edge of the ϕ
 - (52) **A rua**^H milloa^H what still is ringing
 - However, the final word in the phonological phrase must be disyllabic.
 - If wh-words take that position, they are forced to show allomorphy.

We have thus found another pattern of allomorphy that is driven by the same phonological constraint.

Outside the right edge:	(φ	σ	Adv)
At the right edge:	(φ	σσ)

> The inventory of wh-words:

Normal	Exposed	Gloss
а	ара	what
ne	innai	who
na	inna	which
The Conspiracy

- > This kind of allomorphy must be handled in the phonology.
 - Phonological phrasing is absent in the syntax (Zwicky & Pullum 1986)
 ... so it is not possible to characterize the context of alternation.
 - The best syntactic attempt:
 "Stranded functional heads (which bear a feature),
 "plus question words that are not followed by adverbs (????)"
 - Syllables are absent too, so we can't explain the shape of the pattern.

Short	Long	Gloss	Short	Long	Gloss
а	ара	what	ndang	andiang	not
ne	innai	who	de	di'e	this
na	inna	which	do	di'o	that

The Conspiracy

- Proposal: the choice is made in phonology.
- > The syntax does not decide which allomorphs are selected
- Once the syntax ends, the phonology begins
 - It constructs phonological phrases,
 - looks at where functional elements appear,
 - and decisions about allomorphy are made here.



5. An Alternative Repair

Classical Evidence for Phonology

- This account makes a prediction about allomorphy:
 - as the choice of allomorph is handled in the phonology,
 - it might be possible for phonological factors to interfere.
- If the driving force of allomorph selection is this constraint:
 - (48) The Positional Constraint: $(\phi \dots *\sigma)$ "The final constituent in the ϕ must be at least disyllabic."
- Then we may be able to keep short allomorphs in many contexts if this constraint can be satisfied in another way.

- > As it turns out, this seems roughly correct.
 - There is a context where functional heads are stranded...
 - But where they are able to retain their monosyllabic forms.
- When they are stranded before three-syllable nouns:

(42)	Bengangi	di'o	guru o.		
	give	that	teacher there.		
	`Give that to the teacher'				
(53)	Bengangi	do	guru-mu	0.	
	give	that	teacher-your	there.	
	`Give that t	to your teacher'			

> The same pattern can be seen with prepositions.

(54) Tambusi **naung** ____ allo. go down ____ sun `The sun set.'

(55) Tambusi nong _____ allo-na. go down _____ matahari-nya `The sun set.'

It can also be seen with question words.

(56) Innai lamba? who left? `Who left?'

(57) Ne na-lamba?who will-leave?`Who will leave?

And also with regular nouns.

(58) Wannei siya peca'.
 I added salt bubur
 `I added salt to the bubur'

(59) Wannei sya waro'bo.
I added salt bubur jagung
`I added salt to the corn chowder

> The generalization that emerges from this pattern:

 (60) The Updated Generalization
 Outside the right edge: (φ At the edge, before a disyllabic word: (φ At the edge, before a trisyllabic word: (φ

σ Adv) σσ ___) σ ___)

- > This means that there is no syntactic analysis of allomorphy.
 - Stranding operations may require syntactic features,
 - But allomorphy **cannot** be conditioned by those features.



- Still: this pattern raises a huge mystery in the phonology.
- > The driving force of allomorphy is this constraint:
- How can that be squared with this?
 - (60) The Updated Generalization
 Outside the right edge:
 At the edge, before a disyllabic word:
 At the edge, before a trisyllabic word:

(φ	σ	Adv)
(φ	σσ)
(φ	σ)

- A solution falls into place when we look at the high tone.
- - (58) Wannei^H siya^H peca'^H.
 I added salt bubur
 `I added salt to the bubur'
 - (59) Wannei^H sya wa^Hro'bo^H.
 I added salt bubur jagung
 `I added salt to the corn chowder

> This suggests a change in the position of the right edge.

(58) (φ) Wannei^H siya^H peca'^H. ladded salt bubur `Ladded salt to the bubur' (φ) Wannei^H **sya** wa^Hro'bo^H. (59) ladded salt bubur jagung I added salt to the corn chowder

The same effect can be seen in all cases of "suspension":

(54) (φ) Tambusi^H **naung**^H allo^H. down go sun `The sun set.' (55)(φ) Tambusi^H **nong** al^Hlo-na. down matahari-nya go `The sun set.'

The same effect can be seen in all cases of "suspension":

(42) (φ) Bengangi^H **di'o**^H guru^H o^H. give that teacher there. `Give that to the teacher' (53) $(\phi$) Bengangi^H do gu^Hru-mu^H o^H. give that teacher-your there. `Give that to your teacher'

When we look at a pitch track, this process is easy to spot.





- > This suggests that our constraint is resolved in a new way:
 - A syllable is literally pulled across a phrase boundary
 And placed within the preceding word.

(62) Syllable Movement $(\phi \sigma \sigma) (\sigma \sigma)$

In derivational terms, this means that the phonology builds phonological phrases and then discovers a problem:

(φ σ) ...

- And then it makes a choice:
 - Resolve with allomorphy, or
 - **Resolve with movement.**

(φ σσ)(σσ) (φ σσ)(__σσ

- The result is that these patterns of allomorph selection must be resolved in an extremely sophisticated phonology:
 - One which is able to force allomorph selection
 - And weigh that against other tools like movement
 - In a calculus that is aware of word-external phonology.
- This fits with the classical theory of phonology in Prince &
 Smolensky 1994- and falsifies much later work (Embick 2010)



What have we learned?

- The most immediate consequence of this analysis is that we have to think hard about the meaning of the term "word."
- > The classical perspective:
 - Words correspond to lexical heads in the syntax (Chomsky 1956; Di Sciullo & Williams 1987 et seq)
 - And the phonological word's edges are aligned with those of the syntactic word (McCarthy & Prince 1993)
- Our results show that phonological words have a life of their own - and need not be so faithful to syntactic heads.

- At a higher level, our results deliver a decisive argument that allomorph selection can be resolved in the phonology.
- In order to choose allomorphs for these six heads,

Short	Long	Gloss	Short	Long	Gloss
а	ара	what	ndang	andiang	not
ne	innai	who	de	di'e	this
na	inna	which	do	di'o	that

It is not enough to reference the syntax: instead, we need to look at the pattern of phonological phrasing and the phonology of the following word.

- This result suggests that we are on the right track when we think about a phonological analysis of the English pattern:
 - These alternations are likely also driven by the phonology: stranded functional elements form φs, and φs have to contain phonologically licit words

Regular	Stranded	Regular	Stranded
sh'	should	'S	is
С'	could	'S	has
С'	can	've	have
d'	did	're	are
d'	do	'm	am
'd	would	t'	to
-11	will	а	of

- Finally, we arrive at a natural confirmation of the value of:
 - Generative linguistic theory,
 - Which allows us to explain remarkably fine patterns in the phonology of Mandar, and connect those to a conceptually similar set of alternations in English,
 - And research on regional languages,
 - Which show intricate and revealing patterns
 - That could not be found without this kind of work.

Thank you! Any questions?

Feel free to contact me at: ddbrodki@ucsc.edu

And my collaborator, Jupri, at jupritalib@gmail.com

References

- Bennett, R., Elfner, E., & McCloskey, J. (2016). Lightest to the right: An apparently anomalous displacement in Irish. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 47(2), 169-234.
- Chomsky, N. 1965: Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press
- Chung, S. (2003). The syntax and prosody of weak pronouns in Chamorro. *Linguistic Inquiry*, *34*(4), 547-599.
- Di Sciullo, Anna-Maria and Edwin Williams. 1987. On the Definition of Word. Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.
- Halpern, A. (1995). On the placement and morphology of clitics. Center for the Study of Language (CSLI
- Kayne, R. 1994: The Antisymmetry of Syntax. Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press
- Ross, J. R. 1967. Constraints on variables in syntax. Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.