

ON SURJECTIVITY IN TENSOR TRIANGULAR GEOMETRY

TOBIAS BARTHEL, NATÀLIA CASTELLANA, DREW HEARD, AND BEREN SANDERS

ABSTRACT. We prove that a jointly conservative family of geometric functors between rigidly-compactly generated tensor triangulated categories induces a surjective map on spectra. From this we deduce a fiberwise criterion for Balmer’s comparison map to be a homeomorphism. This gives short alternative proofs of the Hopkins–Neeman theorem and Lau’s theorem for the trivial action.

Throughout this note, we work in the context of rigidly-compactly generated tensor triangulated (*tt*) categories, usually denoted by \mathcal{S} or \mathcal{T} . We write $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c)$ for the associated Balmer spectrum of compact (=dualizable) objects and freely use basic constructions from *tt*-geometry [Bal05, Bal10]. A coproduct-preserving tensor triangulated functor $f^* : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is called a *geometric functor*. Such a functor preserves compact objects and hence induces a continuous map $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{S}^c) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c)$. Following terminology introduced in [Bal20a, CSY22], a *weak ring* in \mathcal{T} is an object $R \in \mathcal{T}$ equipped with a map $\eta : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow R$ from the unit object such that the induced map $R \otimes \eta : R \rightarrow R \otimes R$ is a split monomorphism.

1.1. *Definition.* Suppose $\{f_i^* : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of geometric functors between rigidly-compactly generated *tt*-categories. We say the family is

- *jointly conservative* if for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $f_i^*(t) = 0$ for all $i \in I$ implies $t = 0$;
- *jointly nil-conservative* if for any weak ring $R \in \mathcal{T}$, $f_i^*(R) = 0$ for all $i \in I$ implies $R = 0$.

Note that any jointly conservative family is in particular jointly nil-conservative. The converse does not hold:

1.2. *Example.* The Morava K -theories $\{K(n) \otimes - : \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(K(n))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}}$ are jointly nil-conservative as a consequence of the nilpotence theorem [HS98, Theorem 3] but they are not jointly conservative since they all annihilate the Brown–Comenetz dual of the sphere [HS99, Corollary B.12].

1.3. **Theorem.** *If $\{f_i^* : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a jointly nil-conservative family of geometric functors, then the induced map¹*

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi : \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{S}_i^c) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c)$$

is surjective.

TB is supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under Horizon Europe (grant No. 101042990). NC is partially supported by Spanish State Research Agency project PID2020-116481GB-I00, the Severo Ochoa and María de Maeztu Program for Centers and Units of Excellence in R&D (CEX2020-001084-M), and the CERCA Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya. DH is supported by grant number TMS2020TMT02 from the Trond Mohn Foundation. BS is supported by NSF grant DMS-1903429.

¹Throughout this paper, coproducts are taken in the category of topological spaces (as opposed to the category of spectral spaces).

1.5. *Remark.* If the family is finite, then this result can be deduced from the criterion [Bal18, Theorem 1.3] by first proving that the geometric functor $\prod_i f_i^*$ detects tensor nilpotence of morphisms with dualizable source, as in [BCH⁺23, Section 2.3]. For an infinite family, such an argument cannot work directly, because $\mathrm{Spc}(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i^c) \neq \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{S}_i^c)$ whenever infinitely many of the \mathcal{S}_i are non-trivial. Indeed, the spectrum $\mathrm{Spc}(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i^c)$ is a spectral space and in particular quasi-compact, while an infinite coproduct of non-empty spaces cannot be quasi-compact. Here we use implicitly that $(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i)^c \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i^c$; see for example the proof of [Lur09, Proposition 5.5.7.6] combined with [Lur09, Proposition 5.5.7.8] for the corresponding ∞ -categorical statement.

1.6. *Example.* If $\{k_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of fields, then the Zariski spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(\prod_{i \in I} k_i)$ is homeomorphic to the Stone–Čech compactification of I .

1.7. *Remark.* Using the Balmer–Favi support [BF11] and the techniques of [BCHS23], it is possible to prove Theorem 1.3 for arbitrary indexing sets I under the additional hypothesis that $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c)$ is weakly noetherian. However, since the construction of a surjective map as in (1.4) is often the first step in understanding $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c)$, making any assumption on its topology is not desirable. Consequently, our proof relies on a suitable support theory for big objects which exists unconditionally without any point-set assumptions on $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c)$. Such a theory is provided by the homological residue fields developed in [BKS19, Bal20b, Bal20a], from which we will draw freely. Indeed, we will derive Theorem 1.3 as a corollary of the following more complete statement:

1.8. **Theorem.** *A family $\{f_i^* : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_i\}_{i \in I}$ of geometric functors is jointly nil-conservative if and only if the induced map on homological spectra*

$$(1.9) \quad \varphi^h : \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spc}^h(\mathcal{S}_i^c) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}^h(\mathcal{T}^c)$$

is surjective.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Let $\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Spc}^h(\mathcal{T}^c)$ be a homological prime and consider the associated weak ring $E_{\mathcal{B}} \neq 0$; see [BKS19, Section 3]. By assumption, there exists some $i \in I$ such that $f_i^*(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \neq 0$. For simplicity, write $f^* := f_i^*$ and f_* for its right adjoint. By the unit-counit identity and the projection formula [BDS16, (2.16)], we deduce

$$f_*(\mathbb{1}) \otimes E_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq f_* f^*(E_{\mathcal{B}}) \neq 0.$$

Note that as a right adjoint to a tt-functor, f_* is lax symmetric monoidal, hence $f_*(\mathbb{1})$ is a weak ring in \mathcal{T} . Since the homological support coincides with the naive homological support for weak rings [Bal20a, Theorem 4.7], this implies that $\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Supp}^h(f_*(\mathbb{1}))$. By [Bal20a, Theorem 5.12], we conclude that

$$\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Supp}^h(f_*(\mathbb{1})) = \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Spc}^h(f_*)),$$

thereby verifying that (1.9) is surjective.

(\Leftarrow): If $R \in \mathcal{T}$ is a nonzero weak ring, then $\mathrm{Supp}^h(R) \neq \emptyset$ by [Bal20a, Thm. 1.8]. Hence, if (1.9) is surjective then there exists an $i \in I$ such that

$$\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Spc}^h(f_i^*)) \cap \mathrm{Supp}^h(R) \neq \emptyset.$$

By [Bal20a, Theorem 1.2(d) and Theorem 1.9], this implies $\mathrm{Supp}^h(f_{i*} f_i^*(R)) = \mathrm{Supp}^h(f_{i*}(\mathbb{1}) \otimes R) = \mathrm{Supp}^h(f_{i*}(\mathbb{1})) \cap \mathrm{Supp}^h(R) \neq \emptyset$ so that $f_i^*(R) \neq 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. In order to deduce Theorem 1.3 from Theorem 1.8, we employ the naturality of the homological comparison map ϕ from [Bal20a, Theorem 5.10], resulting in a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spc}^h(\mathcal{S}_i^c) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^h} & \mathrm{Spc}^h(\mathcal{T}^c) \\ \sqcup \phi_{\mathcal{S}_i} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{\mathcal{T}} \\ \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{S}_i^c) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c). \end{array}$$

By [Bal20b, Corollary 3.9], the vertical maps are surjective, and so is the top horizontal map by Theorem 1.8. It follows that φ is also surjective. \square

1.10. *Remark.* It is an open question whether the converse to Theorem 1.3 holds, that is, whether the surjectivity of φ in (1.4) implies that the family $\{f_i^*\}_{i \in I}$ is jointly nil-conservative. It is known that the family need not be jointly conservative (see [BCHS23, Example 14.26]). In light of Theorem 1.8, the converse of Theorem 1.3 would follow from Balmer’s ‘Nerves of Steel’ Conjecture that the homological and tensor triangular spectra always coincide; see [BHS21a].

1.11. *Remark.* While Theorem 1.3 is in general not enough to determine the topology on $\mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c)$ even when φ is a bijection (see for instance [BHS21b, Remark 15.12]), there are cases in which it can be used to compute the topology. Recall that Balmer [Bal10] constructs a natural *comparison map*

$$\rho_{\mathcal{T}}: \mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}^h(\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(\mathbb{1}))$$

between the tensor triangular spectrum and the Zariski spectrum of the graded endomorphism ring of the unit object. If \mathcal{T} is *noetherian* in the sense that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(C)$ is noetherian as an $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(\mathbb{1})$ -module for each $C \in \mathcal{T}^c$, then $\rho_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a homeomorphism if and only if it is a bijection [Lau21, Corollary 2.8]. The following result provides a ‘fiberwise’ criterion for Balmer’s comparison map to be a homeomorphism:

1.12. **Corollary.** *Let \mathcal{T} be a noetherian rigidly-compactly generated tt-category and consider a family of geometric tt-functors $\{f_i^*: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (a) *the family $\{f_i^*\}_{i \in I}$ is jointly nil-conservative;*
- (b) *$\rho_{\mathcal{S}_i}$ is a bijection for all $i \in I$;*
- (c) *the induced map on Zariski spectra*

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spec}^h(\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{S}_i}^*(\mathbb{1})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}^h(\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(\mathbb{1}))$$

is a bijection.

Then $\rho_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof. Naturality of the comparison map yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{S}_i^c) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathrm{Spc}(\mathcal{T}^c) \\ \sqcup \rho_{\mathcal{S}_i} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathcal{T}} \\ \bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spec}^h(\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{S}_i}^*(\mathbb{1})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}^h(\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}}^*(\mathbb{1})). \end{array}$$

On the one hand, by assumption, both the left vertical and the bottom horizontal maps are bijections, so φ has to be injective. On the other hand, [Theorem 1.3](#) implies that φ is also surjective, hence bijective. It follows that $\rho_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a bijection and thus a homeomorphism because \mathcal{T} is noetherian. \square

1.13. *Remark.* [Corollary 1.12](#) offers an alternative perspective on the Hopkins–Neeman theorem [[Hop87](#), [Nee92](#)] for noetherian commutative rings:

1.14. *Example.* Let $D(R)$ be the derived category of a noetherian commutative ring R . For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, consider the residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$, constructed as the quotient field of R/\mathfrak{p} , and write $f_{\mathfrak{p}}^*: D(R) \rightarrow D(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}))$ for the associated base-change functor. We claim that the family $\{f_{\mathfrak{p}}^*\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)}$ satisfies the assumptions of [Corollary 1.12](#). Indeed, (b) and (c) are immediate: since $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is a field, $\rho_{D(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}))}$ is a bijection (between singletons), while (c) holds by construction. Finally, the family $\{f_{\mathfrak{p}}^*\}$ is jointly conservative by [[Nee92](#), Lemma 2.12]¹, which verifies (a). Therefore, the comparison map

$$\rho_{D(R)}: \text{Spc}(D(R)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R)$$

is a homeomorphism. \square

1.15. *Remark.* The extension to arbitrary commutative rings follows by absolute noetherian approximation as in Thomason’s work [[Tho97](#)]; cf. [[Lau21](#), Lemma 2.12].

1.16. *Example.* Let G be a finite group and let R be a noetherian commutative ring equipped with trivial G -action. We write $\text{Rep}(G, R)$ for the tt-category of R -linear derived representations of G introduced in [[Bar21](#)]. This category is noetherian and rigidly-compactly generated with subcategory of compact objects given by $D^b(\text{mod}(G, R))$, the bounded derived category of $R[G]$ -modules whose underlying complex of R -modules is perfect. If k is a field, then $\text{Rep}(G, k)$ coincides with the homotopy category of unbounded chain complexes of injective $k[G]$ -modules studied in [[BK08](#)]; for an extension of this homological model to coefficients in R , see [[BBI⁺23](#)].

For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, there is a geometric fiber-functor

$$F_{\mathfrak{p}}^*: \text{Rep}(G, R) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G, \kappa(\mathfrak{p})),$$

which is induced by base-change along the canonical map $R \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. We claim that the family $\{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^*\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)}$ satisfies the conditions of [Corollary 1.12](#). Indeed, the joint conservativity of the family is the content of [[BBI⁺23](#), Proposition 3.23], while $\rho_{D^b(k[G])}$ is a homeomorphism by [[BCR97](#)] for any field k . It remains to verify condition (c). To this end, note that the map on Zariski spectra induced by $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^*$ identifies with the composite

$$\text{Spec}^h(H^*(G, \kappa(\mathfrak{p}))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}^h(H^*(G, R) \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}^h(H^*(G, R)).$$

The first map is a homeomorphism by [[Lau21](#), Corollary 8.29]; see also [[BIKP22](#), Corollary 5.6]. Varying the second map over $\text{Spec}(R)$ assembles into a bijection

$$\bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \text{Spec}^h(H^*(G, R) \otimes_R \kappa(\mathfrak{p})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}^h(H^*(G, R)),$$

which verifies (c) of [Corollary 1.12](#) for $\{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^*\}_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)}$. We conclude that $\rho_{D^b(\text{mod}(G, R))}$ is a homeomorphism. \square

¹Note that the proof of this lemma does not rely on the nilpotence theorem or the thick subcategory theorem for $D(R)$.

1.17. *Remark.* Example 1.16 recovers the main theorem of [Lau21] for rings equipped with trivial G -action — modulo the straightforward reduction from the general case to the case where R is noetherian, as explained at the beginning of the proof of [Lau21, Theorem 11.1]. We remark that the key input to our proof is the joint conservativity of the functors $\{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^*\}$ on the ‘big’ categories $\text{Rep}(G, R)$ and emphasize that the proof of this does not rely on the stratification of $\text{Rep}(G, k)$.

1.18. *Remark.* The previous example extends to any finite flat group scheme over a noetherian commutative ring; cf. [BBI⁺23]. The key input is the recent generalization of the Friedlander–Suslin theorem [FS97] due to van der Kallen [vdK22].

Acknowledgements. We readily thank Paul Balmer for helpful conversations and Julia Pevtsova for raising the question of whether ‘fiberwise phenomena’ admit a general tt-geometric explanation. We would also like to thank the Max Planck Institute and the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics for their hospitality in the context of the Trimester program *Spectral Methods in Algebra, Geometry, and Topology* funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy – EXC-2047/1 – 390685813.

REFERENCES

- [Bal05] Paul Balmer. The spectrum of prime ideals in tensor triangulated categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 588:149–168, 2005.
- [Bal10] Paul Balmer. Spectra, spectra, spectra – tensor triangular spectra versus Zariski spectra of endomorphism rings. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 10(3):1521–1563, 2010.
- [Bal18] Paul Balmer. On the surjectivity of the map of spectra associated to a tensor-triangulated functor. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 50(3):487–495, 2018.
- [Bal20a] Paul Balmer. Homological support of big objects in tensor-triangulated categories. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.*, 7:1069–1088, 2020.
- [Bal20b] Paul Balmer. Nilpotence theorems via homological residue fields. *Tunis. J. Math.*, 2(2):359–378, 2020.
- [BDS16] Paul Balmer, Ivo Dell’Ambrogio, and Beren Sanders. Grothendieck–Neeman duality and the Wirthmüller isomorphism. *Compos. Math.*, 152(8):1740–1776, 2016.
- [BF11] Paul Balmer and Giordano Favi. Generalized tensor idempotents and the telescope conjecture. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 102(6):1161–1185, 2011.
- [BKS19] Paul Balmer, Henning Krause, and Greg Stevenson. Tensor-triangular fields: ruminations. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 25(1):Paper No. 13, 36, 2019.
- [Bar21] Tobias Barthel. Stratifying integral representations of finite groups. Preprint, 36 pages, available online at [arXiv:2109.08135](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.08135), 2021.
- [BBI⁺23] Tobias Barthel, Dave Benson, Srikanth B. Iyengar, Henning Krause, and Julia Pevtsova. Lattices over group algebras and stratification. In preparation, 2023.
- [BCH⁺23] Tobias Barthel, Natàlia Castellana, Drew Heard, Niko Naumann, and Luca Pol. Quillen stratification in equivariant homotopy theory. Preprint, 56 pages, available online at [arXiv:2301.02212](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.02212), 2023.
- [BCHS23] Tobias Barthel, Natalia Castellana, Drew Heard, and Beren Sanders. Cosupport in tensor triangular geometry. Preprint, 87 pages, available online at [arXiv:2303.13480](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.13480), 2023.
- [BHS21a] Tobias Barthel, Drew Heard, and Beren Sanders. Stratification and the comparison between homological and tensor triangular support. Preprint, 18 pages, available online at [arXiv:2106.16011](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.16011). To appear in *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 2021.
- [BHS21b] Tobias Barthel, Drew Heard, and Beren Sanders. Stratification in tensor triangular geometry with applications to spectral Mackey functors. Preprint, 60 pages, available online at [arXiv:2106.15540](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.15540). To appear in *Cambridge Journal of Mathematics*, 2021.
- [BCR97] Dave Benson, Jon F. Carlson, and Jeremy Rickard. Thick subcategories of the stable module category. *Fund. Math.*, 153(1):59–80, 1997.

- [BIKP22] Dave Benson, Srikanth B. Iyengar, Henning Krause, and Julia Pevtsova. Fibre-wise stratification of group representations. Preprint, 26 pages, available online at [arXiv:2204.10431](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.10431), 2022.
- [BK08] David John Benson and Henning Krause. Complexes of injective kG -modules. *Algebra Number Theory*, 2(1):1–30, 2008.
- [CSY22] Shachar Carmeli, Tomer M. Schlank, and Lior Yanovski. Ambidexterity in chromatic homotopy theory. *Invent. Math.*, 228(3):1145–1254, 2022.
- [FS97] Eric M. Friedlander and Andrei Suslin. Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field. *Invent. Math.*, 127(2):209–270, 1997.
- [Hop87] Michael J. Hopkins. Global methods in homotopy theory. In *Homotopy theory (Durham, 1985)*, volume 117 of *LMS Lect. Note*, pages 73–96. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.
- [HS98] Michael J. Hopkins and Jeffrey H. Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 148(1):1–49, 1998.
- [HS99] Mark Hovey and Neil P. Strickland. Morava K -theories and localisation. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(666):viii+100, 1999.
- [Lau21] Eike Lau. The Balmer spectrum of certain Deligne-Mumford stacks. Preprint, 34 pages, available online at [arXiv:2101.01446](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.01446), 2021.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [Nee92] Amnon Neeman. The chromatic tower for $D(R)$. *Topology*, 31(3):519–532, 1992.
- [Tho97] R. W. Thomason. The classification of triangulated subcategories. *Compositio Math.*, 105(1):1–27, 1997.
- [vdK22] Wilberd van der Kallen. A Friedlander-Suslin theorem over a noetherian base ring. Preprint, 3 pages, available online at [arXiv:2212.14600](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.14600), 2022.

TOBIAS BARTHEL, MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY

Email address: tbarthel@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/tobiasbarthel/home>

NATÀLIA CASTELLANA, DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193 BELLATERRA, SPAIN, AND CENTRE DE RECERCA MATEMÀTICA

Email address: Natalia.Castellana@uab.cat

URL: <http://mat.uab.cat/~natalia>

DREW HEARD, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, TRONDHEIM

Email address: drew.k.heard@ntnu.no

URL: <https://folk.ntnu.no/drewkh/>

BEREN SANDERS, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UC SANTA CRUZ, 95064 CA, USA

Email address: beren@ucsc.edu

URL: <http://people.ucsc.edu/~beren/>