Lecture 4 - Survival Models

- Survival Models
  - Definition and Hazards
  - Kaplan Meier
  - Proportional Hazards Model
- Estimation of Survival in R

GLM Extensions: Survival Models

- Survival Models are a common and incredibly useful extension of the generalized linear model.
  - They are linked on a basic level to Poisson arrivals, which as we learned earlier, yield an exponential distribution of arrival times.
- Survival models are used across many fields
  - Medicine and biostatistics: Many drugs are used to prolong life in the face of serious illness.
  - Firm survival and death. How long do businesses live? Eg: conditional on entering a market (or new market) today, what is the probability of bankruptcy in 12 months?
  - One can imagine survival being used to model time spent on webpages, shopping, Facebook, etc...
- In this part of the course, we'll learn the basics of survival models using the GLM methodology, and then discuss extensions.

GLM Extensions: Survival Models

- Let y be survival time, and $f(y)$ be the pdf of survival times.
- Probability of surviving less than y is:

$$F(y) = \Pr(Y < y) = \int_0^y f(t)dt$$

- By the property of complements, the probability of surviving longer then y is the *survivor function*

$$S(y) = 1 - F(y)$$

- The *hazard function*, $h(y)$ is the probability of death within a small period between y and δy, *given they have survived until* t.

$$\begin{aligned} h(y) &= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{F(y+\delta y) - F(y)}{\delta y} \cdot \frac{1}{S(y)} \\ &= \frac{f(y)}{S(y)} \end{aligned}$$

- This is essentially a conditional probability. Conditional on surviving up to y or later, S(y), what is the instantaneous probability of death?

GLM Extensions: Survival Models

- For a few more definitions, it is straightforward to show that the hazard function is linked to the survivor function:

$$h(y) = -\frac{d}{dy}\log(S(y)) = -\frac{\frac{dS(y)}{dy}}{S(y)} = \frac{f(y)}{S(y)}$$

- Finally, the cumulative hazard function, $H(y)$ is written as

$$H(y) = -\log(S(y))$$

- Example: Exponential Distribution

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= \theta \exp(-\theta y) \\ F(y) &= \int_0^y \theta \exp(-\theta t)\, dt = (-\exp(-\theta t)\Big|_0^y = 1 - \exp(-\theta y) \end{aligned}$$

- Exponential Survivor function and Hazard:

$$S(y) = \exp(-\theta y) \quad , \quad h(y) = \theta$$

- Note that the hazard does not depend on age. Thus, the exponential distribution is "*memoryless*". When is this a good or bad property?

GLM Extensions: Survival Models

- The memoryless property makes the exponential distribution unsuitable for a number of applications.
- The Weibull distribution nests the exponential distribution.

$$f(y) = \lambda\phi y^{\lambda-1}\exp\left(-\phi y^{\lambda}\right)$$

- Under what condition is this identical to the exponential distribution?
- The survival function of Weibull:

$$\begin{aligned} S(y) &= \int_{t}^{\infty} \lambda\phi t^{\lambda-1}\exp\left(-\phi t^{\lambda}\right)dt \\ &= \exp\left(-\phi y^{\lambda}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- Hence, the hazard is written as:

$$h(y) = \lambda\phi y^{\lambda-1}$$

- The link between y and the hazard may be either positive of negative. What are some economic examples of each?

Simple Estimation: Survival Models

- One way to estimate survival models is to construct a *Kaplan-Meier* estimate of the survivor function
- For this, individuals are ordered by time of death from 1 to n
  - $y_{(1)} \leq y_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq y_{(k)}$, where n_j is the number of individuals alive just before $y_{(j)}$ and, d_j the number of deaths that occur at time $y_{(j)}$
- First, consider the probability of survival just before $y_{(1)}$.

$$\widehat{S}\left(y \in [0, y_{(1)})\right) = 1$$

- Next, probability of survival just before $y_{(2)}$.

$$\widehat{S}\left(y \in [y_{(1)}, y_{(2)})\right) = 1 \times \frac{n_1 - d_1}{n_1}$$

- Next, probability of survival just before $y_{(3)}$.

$$\widehat{S}\left(y \in [y_{(2)}, y_{(3)})\right) = 1 \times \frac{n_1 - d_1}{n_1} \times \frac{n_2 - d_2}{n_2}$$

Simple Estimation: Survival Models

- In general, the Kaplan-Meier estimate of the survivor function at time $y_{(s)}$ is the following:

$$\widehat{S}\left(y_{(s)}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(\frac{n_j - d_j}{n_j}\right)$$

- This can be compared to the survivor function for Exponential and Weibull distributions

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Exponential}: \quad S(y) &= \exp(-\theta y) \\ \textit{Weibull}: \quad S(y) &= \exp\left(-\phi y^{\lambda}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- How do we choose between the two distributions?

- Take logs of the survivor functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Exponential}: \quad \log(S(y)) &= -\theta y \\ \textit{Weibull}: \quad \log(S(y)) &= -\phi y^{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

- Log of KM estimate should be approximately linear for exponential, non-linear for Weibull

Example: Kaplan-Meier

- To study survival models, we will use an influential study, the "Gehan-Freirich" Survival Data
  - Data available on course website in stata format
- The data show the length of remission in weeks for two groups of leukemia patients, treated and control
  - **weeks**: Weeks in remission (effectively survival)
  - **relapse**: 1 if a relapse observed, 0 otherwise (this is censoring)
  - **group**: 1 if respondent was in treatment group, 0 if in control
- The library "survival" contains many function that were useful for survival models.
- To construct Kaplan-Meier Estimates:

```
fit <- survfit(Surv(weeks, relapse)~group, data = g)
plot(fit, lty = 2:3)
legend(23, 1, c("Control", "Treatment"), lty = 2:3)
```

Estimation: Survival Models

- The importance of the survival function and hazard function become apparent when estimating rigorously by maximum likelihood.
- For survival analysis, the data are recorded by subject j
  - y_j is the survival time of individual j
  - $\delta_j = 1$ is a variable identifying uncensored observations, $\delta_j = 0$ if censored.
  - $\mathbf{x}_j$ a vector of explanatory variables for j.
  - Order j such that $j = 1..r$ are uncensored, and $j = r+1..n$ are censored
- Censored individuals are still "surviving" at the end of the data collection. We do not observe when censored individuals actually die.
- For uncensored data, the likelihood function is written as:

$$L = \prod_{j=1}^{n} f\left(y_j\right)$$

Estimation: Survival Models

- With censored data, the likelihood function is written as:

$$L = \prod_{j=1}^{r} f\left(y_j\right) \prod_{j=r+1}^{n} S\left(y_j\right)$$

- $f\left(y_j\right)$ is the pdf at y_j, which is appropriate for uncensored data.
- $S\left(y_j\right)$ is the probability that we observe y_j or greater, which is the appropriate likelihood to consider for censored observations.
  - We know that a censored individual j survives y_j or longer, so the likelihood of this event is $S\left(y_j\right)$
- Rearranging the likelihood function, we get:

$$L = \prod_{j=1}^{n} f\left(y_j\right)^{\delta_j} S\left(y_j\right)^{1-\delta_j}$$

- We can now place this in log-likelihood form, and impose the distributional assumptions.

Estimation: Survival Models

- In log-likelihood form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\delta_j \log\left(f\left(y_j\right)\right) + \left(1-\delta_j\right) \log\left(S\left(y_j\right)\right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\delta_j \log\left(f\left(y_j\right)\right) + \log\left(S\left(y_j\right)\right) - \delta_j \log\left(S\left(y_j\right)\right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\delta_j \left(\log\left(f\left(y_j\right)\right) - \log\left(S\left(y_j\right)\right)\right) + \log\left(S\left(y_j\right)\right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\delta_j \log\left(h\left(y_j\right)\right) + \log\left(S\left(y_j\right)\right) \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Intuition:
  - All individuals survive until y_j. This is accounted for in $\log\left(S\left(y_j\right)\right)$
  - For individuals with $\delta_j = 1$, they die at y_j. So, we account for this within the likelihood function using the hazard function, $\log\left(h\left(y_j\right)\right)$

Estimation: Exponential Survival

- The exponential distribution has convenient forms for $h\left(y_j\right)$ and $S(y_j)$.

$$h\left(y_j\right) = \theta \quad , \quad S(y_j) = \exp\left(-\theta y_j\right)$$

- Thus, log-likelihood is:

$$l = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\delta_j \log\left(\theta_j\right) - \theta_j y_j \right)$$

- This looks *a lot* like a Poisson likelihood function, with δ_j as the dependent variable. To get it even closer, write:

$$l = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\delta_j \log\left(\theta_j y_j\right) - \theta_j y_j - \delta_j \log\left(y_j\right) \right)$$

- Defining $\mu_j = \theta_j y_j$, we have

$$l = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\delta_j \log\left(\mu_j\right) - \mu_j - \delta_j \log\left(y_j\right) \right)$$

- We choose μ_j to maximize the log-likelihood.

Estimation: Exponential Survival

- Often, we assume a *proportional hazards model*, where the hazard function is related to observables, $\theta_j = \exp(\mathbf{x}\beta)$
  - While exponential is memoryless, the probability of dying at y is a function of observables (treatment vs control, for example).
- Thus, substituting into $\mu_j = \theta_j y_j$, we have

$$\mu_j = \exp(\mathbf{x}\beta) y_j$$

- Taking logs:

$$\log(\mu_j) = \mathbf{x}\beta + \log(y_j)$$

- Exponential with proportional hazards can be estimated by
  - glm in R, Poisson as family
  - log link (μ to $x\beta$)
  - Offset (of the log mean) by $\log(y_j)$

Estimation: Proportional Hazards Model in R

- Estimated the simple exponential survival model using R

```
form<-as.formula(relapse~group+offset(log(weeks))
haz_glm<-glm(form,family=poisson("log"),data=g)
summary(haz_glm)
```

- To interpret, note that the hazard is estimated as:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{treat} &= \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 Treat) \\ &= \exp(\beta_0)\exp(\beta_1 Treat)\end{aligned}$$

- Note that $\theta_{control} = \exp(\beta_0)$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{treat} &= \theta_{control}\exp(\beta_1 Treat) \\ \frac{\theta_{treat}}{\theta_{control}} &= \exp(\beta_1) \\ \frac{\theta_{treat} - \theta_{control}}{\theta_{control}} &= \exp(\beta_1) - 1 = \exp(-1.53) - 1 = -0.783\end{aligned}$$

- 78% reduction in the hazard of relapse relative to control.