1 Recursion

We mentioned recursion briefly in the previous set of notes. We will now take a closer look at recursion, why it’s important to Haskell and how we can work out very concise and elegant solutions to problems by thinking recursively.

Recursion is a way of defining functions in which the function is applied inside its own definition. This should be very familiar to you: even toy phrase structure rule systems in generative grammar are recursive. Also, definitions in logic and mathematics are often given recursively – think about the way we define the syntax and semantics of propositional logic or first-order logic.

As a (purely) functional language, Haskell makes extensive use of recursion, so learning how to define recursive functions in Haskell and how to program with them will definitely increase your understanding of the notion of recursion that is at the heart of syntax and semantics in generative grammar.

1.1 A first (standard) example: A recursive definition of the Fibonacci numbers

Let’s start with a simple example: the Fibonacci sequence is defined recursively.

• first, we define the first two Fibonacci numbers non-recursively: we say that \( F(0) = 0 \) and \( F(1) = 1 \), meaning that the 0th and 1st Fibonacci numbers are 0 and 1, respectively

*Based primarily on *Learn You a Haskell for Great Good!* by Miran Lipovača, [http://learnyouahaskell.com/](http://learnyouahaskell.com/).
• then we say that for any other natural number, that Fibonacci number is the sum of the previous two Fibonacci numbers, i.e., \( F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-2) \)

E.g., the third number in the Fibonacci sequence \( F(3) = F(2) + F(1) \), which is \( (F(1) + F(0)) + F(1) \). Because we’ve now come down to only non-recursively defined Fibonacci numbers, we can compute it: \( F(3) = (1 + 0) + 1 = 2 \).

Having an element in a recursive definition defined non-recursively (like \( F(0) \) and \( F(1) \) above) is called an edge condition (or a base condition). Such conditions are important if we want our recursive functions to terminate when called with / applied to arguments.

If we hadn’t defined \( F(0) \) and \( F(1) \) non-recursively, we’d never get a solution for any number because we’d reach 0 and then we’d go into negative numbers: we’d be saying that \( F(-2000) = F(-2001) + F(-2002) \) and there still wouldn’t be an end in sight!

Recursion is really central in Haskell because unlike imperative languages, we do computations in Haskell by declaring what something is instead of declaring how to get it. There are no ‘while’ loops or ‘for’ loops in Haskell that get executed to obtain a result; we use recursion instead to declare what the result of applying the function is.

### 1.2 Recursion and pattern matching: Implementing the \textit{maximum} function

The \textit{maximum} function takes a list of things that can be ordered, i.e., instances of the \textit{Ord} typeclass, and returns the biggest of them.

Obtaining \textit{maximum} the imperative way (note that this is a procedure):

• set up a variable to hold the maximum value so far
• then loop through the elements of a list and if an element is bigger than the current maximum value, replace it with that element
• the maximum value that remains at the end is the result

Defining \textit{maximum} the recursive way (note that this is a definition):

• the edge condition: the maximum of a singleton list is equal to the only element in it
• the recursive part: for a longer list, compare the head of the list and the maximum of the tail (this is where recursion happens); the maximum of the list is the bigger of the two

So let’s write this up in Haskell. The result is as close to the above definition as it gets:

```haskell
ghci 1> let \{ maximum' :: (Ord a) \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow a;
          maximum' [] = error "maximum of empty list";
          maximum' [x] = x;
          maximum' (x:xs)
            | x > maxTail = x
            | otherwise = maxTail
            where maxTail = maximum' xs \}
```

As you can see, pattern matching goes great with recursion. Most imperative languages don’t have pattern matching so we have to make a lot of \textbf{if then else} statements to test for edge conditions. In Haskell, we simply write them out as patterns.

Let’s take a closer look at the above Haskell definition of \textit{maximum'}:

• the first edge condition says that if the list is empty, crash
• the second pattern also lays out an edge condition, which is the interesting one for our purposes: if the argument of the function is the singleton list, just give back the only element in the list

• the third pattern is where recursion happens:
  – we use pattern matching to split a list into a head and a tail; this is a very common idiom when doing recursion with lists, so get used to it
  – we use a \texttt{where} binding to define \texttt{maxTail} as the maximum of the rest of the list (the recursive call)
  – finally, we check if the head is greater than \texttt{maxTail} and if it is, we return the head; otherwise, we return \texttt{maxTail}

Let’s test this function a couple of times:

\begin{verbatim}
ghci 2> maximum' []
*** Exception: maximum of empty list
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
ghci 3> maximum' [1]
1
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
ghci 4> maximum' [2,5,1]
5
\end{verbatim}

Let’s see in detail how this works for the above list of numbers \([2, 5, 1]\):

• when we call \texttt{maximum'} on that, the first two patterns won’t match

• the third one will and the list is split into 2 and \([5, 1]\)

• the \texttt{where} clause wants to know the maximum of \([5, 1]\), so we follow that route

• this recursive application of \texttt{maximum'} matches the third pattern again and \([5, 1]\) is split into 5 and \([1]\)

• again, the \texttt{where} clause wants to know the maximum of \([1]\); because that’s an edge condition, it returns 1

• so going up one step, comparing 5 to the maximum of \([1]\) (which is 1), we obviously get back 5; so now we know that the maximum of \([5, 1]\) is 5

• we go up one step again where we had 2 and \([5, 1]\); comparing 2 with the maximum of \([5, 1]\) (which is 5), we get 5

1.3 Recursion and pattern matching ctd.: Implementing \textit{maximum} in terms of \textit{max}

An even clearer way to write this function is to use \texttt{max}. Recall that \texttt{max} is a function that takes two numbers and returns the bigger of them.

Thus, \texttt{maximum} is the generalization of \texttt{max} to lists of arbitrary length. It is always a good idea to define the general, recursive function in terms of the elementary, non-recursive one. The structure of the recursive definition is much clearer when written that way and we’re consequently much more confident that the function we \textit{actually} define is the function we \textit{wanted} to define.

Here’s how we could rewrite our definition of \texttt{maximum} by using \texttt{max}:
In essence, the maximum of a list is the max of the first element and the maximum of the tail.

1.4 Recursion and guards: Implementing replicate

We continue with the implementation of a few more recursive functions. First off, we’ll implement replicate, which takes an integer and some element and returns a list that has several repetitions of that element. For instance, replicate 3 5 returns [5, 5, 5].

Let’s think about the edge condition: if we try to replicate something 0 times, we should return an empty list, so the edge condition should be 0 or less (‘less’ because the same reasoning applies to negative numbers).

And here’s the recursive definition:
We used guards here instead of patterns because we’re testing for a boolean condition.

- if \( n \) is less than or equal to 0, return an empty list
- otherwise return a list that has \( x \) as the first element and then \( x \) replicated \( n - 1 \) times as the tail; eventually, the \( (n - 1) \) part will cause our function to reach the edge condition

Note that \( \text{Num} \) is not a subclass of \( \text{Ord} \). That means that what constitutes a number doesn’t really have to adhere to an ordering. So we have to specify both the \( \text{Num} \) and \( \text{Ord} \) class constraints when doing addition or subtraction and also comparison.

An even better type class would be \( \text{Integral} \). This is a subclass of \( \text{Ord} \) and it restricts the number of repetitions to integers.
1.5 Recursion with multiple function arguments: Implementing take

Now we’ll implement take, which takes a certain number of elements from a list. For instance, take 3 [5, 4, 3, 2, 1] will return [5, 4, 3].

There are 2 edge conditions: (i) if we try to take 0 or less elements from a list, we get an empty list; (ii) also, if we try to take anything from an empty list, we get an empty list. So let’s write it up:

```
ghci 21> let { take' :: (Integral i) ⇒ i → [a] → [a];
    take' n _| n ≤ 0 = [ ];
    take' _ [] = [ ];
    take' n (x:xs) = x : take' (n - 1) xs }
```

- the first pattern specifies that if we try to take 0 or a negative number of elements, we get an empty list; we’re using _ to match the list because we don’t really care what it is in this case
  - we use a guard, but without an otherwise part, so if n turns out to be more than 0, the matching will fall through to the next pattern
- the second pattern says that we get an empty list if we try to take anything from an empty list
- the third pattern breaks the list into a head and a tail; we state that taking n elements from a list equals a list that has x as the head prepended to a list that takes n - 1 elements from the tail

Optional homework: how does take 3 [6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1] get evaluated? Write it down in full detail.

```
ghci 22> take' 0 "hello"
"
```

```
ghci 23> take' 4 ""
"
```

```
ghci 24> take' 4 "hello"
"hell"
```

```
ghci 25> take' 4.2 "hello"
```

2 More practice examples

2.1 Implementing reverse

reverse simply reverses a list. The edge condition is the empty list: an empty list reversed equals the empty list itself. The recursive part: if we split a list into a head and a tail, the reversed list is equal to the reversed tail and then the head at the end.
### 2.2 Implementing `repeat`

`repeat` takes an element and returns an infinite list that just has that element. Here’s the recursive implementation of that:

```ghci
ghci 30> let { repeat' :: a → [a];
    repeat' x = x : repeat' x }
```

Calling `repeat' 3` will give us a list that starts with 3 and then has an infinite amount of 3’s as a tail: `repeat' 3` evaluates as `3 : repeat' 3`, which is `3 : (3 : repeat' 3)`, which is `3 : (3 : (3 : repeat' 3))` etc.

```ghci
ghci 31> take 7 (repeat' 3)
[3,3,3,3,3,3,3]
```

`repeat' 3` will never finish evaluating, whereas `take 7 (repeat' 3)` gives us a list of seven 3’s. So essentially it’s like doing `replicate 7 3`.

### 2.3 Implementing `zip`

`zip` takes two lists and zips them together. E.g., `zip [1, 2, 3] ['a', 'b']` returns `[(1, 'a'), (2, 'b')]` because it truncates the longer list to match the length of the shorter one.

How about if we zip something with an empty list? We get an empty list back. And this is our edge condition. However, `zip` takes two lists as parameters, so there are actually two edge conditions.

```ghci
ghci 32> let { zip' :: [a] → [b] → [(a,b)];
    zip' _ [] = [];
    zip' [] _ = [];
    zip' (x:xs) (y:ys) = (x,y) : zip' xs ys }
```
The first two patterns say that if the first list or second list is empty, we get an empty list. The third one says that two lists zipped are equal to pairing up their heads and then tacking on the zipped tails.

ghci 33> zip' [1..3] ['a', 'b']
[(1, 'a'), (2, 'b')]

Zipping [1, 2, 3] and ['a', 'b'] will eventually try to zip [3] with []. The edge condition patterns kick in and so the result is (1, 'a') : (2, 'b') : [], which is the same as [(1, 'a'), (2, 'b')].

2.4 Implementing \textit{elem}

Let’s implement one more standard library function: \textit{elem}. It takes an element and a list and sees if that element is in the list. The edge condition is the empty list, as it is most of the times with lists. An empty list contains no elements, so it certainly doesn’t have the element we’re looking for.

\texttt{ghci 34}\> let \{ \textit{elem'} \:: (Eq a) \Rightarrow a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow \text{Bool};
\textit{elem'} a [] = False;
\textit{elem'} a (x:xs)
| \text{a \equiv x} = True
| \text{otherwise} = a \text{'} \text{elem'} xs \}\n
If the head isn’t the element then we check the tail. If we reach an empty list, the result is False.

\texttt{ghci 35}\> \textit{elem'} 2 [1, 2, 5, 6]
\text{True}

\texttt{ghci 36}\> \textit{elem'} 'e' "hello"
\text{True}

\texttt{ghci 37}\> \textit{elem'} 'r' "hello"
\text{False}

\texttt{ghci 38}\> \textit{elem'} 2 "hello"

3 Quicksort

We have a list of items that can be sorted, i.e., their type is an instance of the \textit{Ord} typeclass, and we want to sort them. The quicksort algorithm has a very short and elegant implementation in Haskell, which is why quicksort has become somewhat of poster child for Haskell.

The type signature of our function is going to be \textit{quicksort} :: (Ord a) \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]. The edge condition is the empty list, as expected: a sorted empty list is an empty list.

And here comes the main algorithm: a sorted list has all the values smaller than or equal to the head of the list in front (and those values are sorted), followed by the head of the list and then followed by
the values bigger than the head (which are also sorted). Note that both the smaller and the bigger values need to be sorted, so we’ll have to make the recursive call twice.

How are we going to filter the list so that we get only the elements smaller than or equal to the head of our list on one hand, and only elements that are bigger on the other? We use list comprehensions.

```ghci
let { quicksort :: (Ord a) ⇒ [a] → [a];
    quicksort [] = [];
    quicksort (x:xs) =
        let {
            smaller = quicksort [a | a ← xs, a ≤ x];
            bigger = quicksort [a | a ← xs, a > x] }
    in smaller ++ [x] ++ bigger }
```

The function appears to behave correctly:

```ghci
quicksort [10, 2, 5, 3, 1, 6, 7, 4, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9]  
[1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]
```

```ghci
quicksort "the quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog"
" abcdeefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz"
```

Let’s take a closer look at what happens if we have \([5, 1, 9, 4, 6, 7, 3]\) and we want to quicksort it:

- the algorithm will first take the head, which is 5, and put it in the middle of two lists that are smaller and bigger than it; so we’ll have \([1, 4, 3]\) ++ \([5]\) ++ \([9, 6, 7]\)
- we know that once the list is sorted completely, the number 5 will stay in the fourth place since there are 3 numbers lower than it and 3 numbers higher than it
- now, if we sort \([1, 4, 3]\) and \([9, 6, 7]\), we have a sorted list – and we sort the two lists using the same function
- eventually, we’ll break things up so much that we reach empty lists and they are already sorted by virtue of being empty

```ghci
quicksort [5, 1, 9, 4, 6, 7, 3]  
[1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9]
```

Although we chose to compare all the elements to the heads, we could have used any element to compare against. In quicksort, an element that you compare against is called a pivot. We chose the head because it’s easy to get at by pattern matching.

### 4 Thinking recursively

We did quite a bit of recursion so far and as you’ve probably noticed, there’s a pattern here:

- we usually define an edge case (the base of the recursion)
- then we define a function that gets applied to both an element of the list and the result we get when we apply the same function to the rest of the list (the recursive clause / clauses)
It doesn’t matter if we apply the function to a list, a tree or any other data structure. The basic structure of a recursive definition is the same:

• a sum is the first element of a list plus the sum of the rest of the list
• a product of a list is the first element of the list times the product of the rest of the list
• the length of a list is one plus the length of the tail of the list
• etc.

The edge case is usually a situation in which a recursive application doesn’t make sense:

• when dealing with lists, the edge case is most often the empty list
• when dealing with trees, the edge case is usually a terminal node (a node without daughters)

So when trying to think of a recursive way to solve a problem:

• think of when a recursive solution doesn’t apply and see if you can use that as an edge case
• think about how you’ll break the argument(s) of the function into subparts and on which part you’ll use the recursive call

For example, a list is usually broken into a head and a tail by pattern matching and the recursive call is applied to the tail.