Our reference: IJLCJ 50 P-authorquery-v8

## **AUTHOR QUERY FORM**



Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof.

| Location in article | Query / Remark: Click on the Q link to find the query's location in text Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof   |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Q1                  | Please note that the footnotes 2 and 3 have been treated as affiliations for the authors. The subsequent footnotes have been renumbered. Kindly check and correct, if necessary.   |  |  |  |  |
| Q2                  | Please check the affiliations 'a' and 'b' and also check the footnote for the author 'Zhuoyu Wang'. Kindly correct, if necessary.  |  |  |  |  |
| Q3                  | Please note that the contact details in the footnote have been treated as corresponding author's contact details, and amend if necessary.  |  |  |  |  |
| Q4                  | Citations "The Supreme Court of China, 2009; Maruta, 2004; Miyazawa, 2001; West, 2003; Anderson and Nolan, 2004; Johns, 2006; Kamiya, 2006" have not been found in the reference list. Please supply full details for this reference.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Q5                  | The citations "Niikura et al., 2004"; "Coughenour, 2002"; "The Supreme Court, 2004" have been changed to match the author name/date in the reference list. Please check.   |  |  |  |  |
| Q6                  | Uncited references: This section comprises references that occur in the reference list but not in the body of the text. Please position each reference in the text or, alternatively, delete it. Any reference not dealt with will be retained in this section. Thank you. |  |  |  |  |

Thank you for your assistance.

+ MODEL
Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



ScienceDirect

International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

International
Journal of Law,
Crime and Justice

www.elsevier.com/locate/ijlcj

## Popular legal participation in China and Japan<sup>★</sup>

Zhuoyu Wang a,1, Hiroshi Fukurai b,\*

<sup>a</sup> School of Law of Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, People's Republic of China <sup>b</sup> University of California, Santa Cruz, USA

#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to examine two contrasting styles of the mixed-court system in China and Japan and investigate the extent of their civic legal participation in both nations. In 2004, Japan passed the Quasi-Jury Act to introduce a system of popular legal participation in criminal trials, where defendants have their guilt and sentence determined by a judicial panel of both professional and lay judges. In the same year, the Standing Committee of the Chinese People's Congress also promulgated the Resolution about the Improvement of the Lay Assessor System in 2004 (the Lay Assessor Act in 2004<sup>2</sup>) to revise the system of popular participation in law. The act was designed to correct the defects of the lay assessor system that have been sharply criticized by the Western observers for their lack of institutional effectiveness, minimizing the use of lay assessors, pointing at lay assessors' participatory incompetence and passivity, and disapproving of insufficient funding, among others.

There has been, however, little study critically examining the effectiveness of the revised system under the Lay Assessor Act in 2004 in China. In Japan, the first ever quasi-jury trial began in August 2009, but its quasi-jury system suffered from a lack of publicity and insufficient public knowledge about the system, thereby creating the wide-spread public reluctance, or even strong resistance, to participate in the system.

The present research is an attempt to shed both theoretical and empirical light on the effectiveness of popular legal participation in these two powerful jurisdictions in East Asia. As both nations are working to adopt a democratic system of popular legal adjudication, this study will provide important clues to

1756-0616/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.ijlcj.2011.01.007

<sup>\*</sup> This research was supported by the University of California, Office of President, Pacific Rim Research Program (Award#: 19900-485212), Abe Fellowship from SSRC, and the Toyota Foundation Grant awarded to the second author.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 831 459 2971; fax: +1 831 459 3518.

E-mail address: hfukurai@ucsc.edu (H. Fukurai).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Former Chinese judge, Ph.D. in Law, the University of Birmingham, U.K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>According to the Constitutional Law in China, this so-called "Resolution" has the legal effect equivalent to a formal "Act". For convenience, we will name this "Resolution" as the Lay Assessor Act in 2004.

+ MODEL

 $Z.\ Wang,\ H.\ Fukurai\ /\ International\ Journal\ of\ Law,\ Crime\ and\ Justice\ xx\ (2011)\ 1-25$ 

measuring the nations' commitment, as well as the success or failure of the respective governments' efforts, to democratize their systems of popular participation in legal decision-making. © 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

#### 1. Introduction

In December 2004, Cao Jianming, then-vice president of the Supreme People's Court of China (SPCC), declared that local courts, in the process of selecting lay assessors, should give priority to citizens with "a good educational background, better career achievements, higher moral levels, and greater legal knowledge ("China issues reform on jury system", 2004). His statement followed the passage of the Lay Assessor Act which was promulgated on August 28, 2004 and revises the traditional system of popular legal participation that was formally instituted by the Chinese Communist Party in People's Republic of China in 1949. The lay assessor system was originally introduced to employ a judicial panel composed of both ordinary citizens and professional judges to adjudicate both civil and criminal cases. China's recent moves toward changing its lay participation system may be seen as a governmental response to both domestic and international criticisms of its judicial corruption, lack of judicial independence, and the closed nature of its judicial process ("China to introduce jury trials", 2004).

Japan has also moved along a similar judicial trajectory. The passage of the Quasi-Jury Act in 2004 by the Japanese government introducing the new system of popular legal participation has also originated from similar domestic and international pressures on Japan's traditionally closed system of judicial decision-making. After the Japanese Supreme Court overturned four death sentences in the 1980s, covering a series of infamous wrongful conviction cases, public outrage and pressure from the economic sector generated a demand for judicial reform, including the possible reinstatement of the Jury Act which was last suspended in 1943 by Japan's military government during WWII.

The current government instead introduced a mixed-court system, called Saiban-in Seido (hereinafter the quasi-jury system), in which a judicial panel of three professional and six lay judges determines both the verdict and sentence of a criminal case. Given the fact that Japan is the only nation without a system of popular legal participation among advanced industrial societies—including members of the UN Security Council, and at the same time, vigorously pursuing the goal of a permanent UN Security Council seat for the last 30 years—the establishment of a civic legal institution by the Japanese government was seen as a strategic political step to demonstrate its commitments to democratize its judicial system.

China's lay assessor system and Japan's quasi-jury system are both part of a so-called mixed-court or continental mixed tribunal system, in which both professional and lay judges determine the outcome of criminal trials. The mixed tribunal or mixed-court system is different from another distinct form of lay participatory system in law, called the jury system, whereby a group of citizens are empowered to determine outcomes of criminal trials, thereby effectively excluding the participation of professional judges from the deliberative process.

This paper examines the two contrasting forms of the mixed-court system used in China and Japan. In order to systematically compare their respective systems of civil legal participation, the paper is structured as follows: Part 1 focuses on the evolution of China's lay assessor system and analyzes recent initiatives to improve and democratize the system. Part 2 provides a brief

history of Japan's quasi-jury system and investigates whether or not the new quasi-jury trial has helped to democratize its criminal justice and court proceedings. Part 3 then presents empirical analyses of the extent of civil legal participation in both nations. First, the representativeness of Chinese lay assessors is examined, followed by an analysis of the composition of Japan's grand jury or Kensatsu Shinsakai. Prosecutorial Review Commissions (PRC) participants. The PRC system is similar to America's grand jury system with randomly selected citizens asked to evaluate and examine the propriety of a non-indictment decision made by local prosecutors. The grand jury selection procedure is also very similar to that of quasi-jury selection in which analytic results of the PRC composition are presented. Given that the quasi-jury system was implemented in May 2009, this section also includes an analysis of quasi-juror participation in Japan's criminal courts. Part 4 next discusses whether or not the systems of popular legal participation in China and Japan are effective socio-political institutions for democratizing the judicial systems in both nations. Lastly, Part 5 offers concluding remarks regarding present research and makes suggestions for future investigation of the judicial democratization process.

## 2. China's lay assessor system and its recent reform

In 1906, China's all-citizen jury system was proposed and introduced. Four years earlier in 1902, the Ching Dynasty had appointed the prominent legal reformer Jiaben Shen to chair the legal reform. Shen was influenced by Western legal thought and considered the bench trial system to be gravely insufficient to meet the needs of a modernized judicial institution. His draft of the Criminal and Civil Procedure Law of Great Ching in 1906 provided a detailed description of the establishment of new all-citizen juries and guides for jury participation and selection in both criminal and civil deliberations. Yet, this legal draft was not formally approved by the Ching Government until after the fall of the Dynasty in 1911.

After decades of civil war between the Chinese Communist Party (hereinafter CCP) and the Chinese Nationalist Party (Kuomintang in Chinese), in 1949, the People's Republic of China's formal government (PRC) was founded, the Provisional Organic Law of Chinese Courts was then enacted, and the lay assessor system was formally introduced.<sup>3</sup> In 1954, the lay assessor system was strengthened by the Constitution, which mandated that *ab initio* (first-instance) cases should employ lay assessors.

Despite its democratic commitment to begin popular legal participation in China, it appears that the lay assessor system failed to achieve its equitable objective in the judicial process. To examine the performance of the lay assessor trial and identify the logistical and procedural deficiencies of the lay participatory system, the following section looks at judicial practices of the past and present.

#### 2.1. Problematic practice

Before the promulgation of the Lay Assessor Act in 2004, the lay assessor system had proved itself problematic in practice, and the following areas illustrate its deficiencies.

#### 2.1.1. Total suspension or sporadic use

From the mid 1980s, a number of Chinese courts had ceased to use lay assessors entirely. Before 2005, for instance, two out of seven local courts in the city of Yangzhou had suspended

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>KMT was originally founded in 1912 from several revolutionary groups that successfully overthrew the Ching Dynasty in the Xinhai Revolution.

+ MODEL

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

employing lay assessors ("The Brief Implemental Situation of the Lay Assessor System in the Law Courts of Yangzhou City", 2006). So, too, while 83% of first-instance cases in Jiangsu Province between 1981 and 1983 were adjudicated by mixed tribunals with lay assessors' participation; the number dropped to 72% between 1984 and 1987. Since 1988, there was no reported use of lay assessors in this province. <sup>4</sup> Both academic and official information indicated that the lay assessor system had been in very limited use since the mid of 1980s (Wang, 1999; Wei, 2001a).

In addition to their suspended employment, in some courts, lay assessors had been excluded from participation at specific court levels and limited to certain case categories. According to a study by Wei and Wang, in terms of civil or administrative cases, lay assessors had been basically used in the inferior courts. They were exclusively used in local courts and regional courts, while the provincial high courts had never used lay assessors in any first-instance trial before 1999. This is despite the fact that legislatively lay assessors were to be used in any first-instance case regardless of court level (Wei, 2001b; Wang, 2006).

## 2.1.2. Inappropriate stipends of lay assessors

The compensation was then considered a key ingredient in motivating ordinary citizens to be ready to serve as a fair-minded judge on a mixed-court panel. Subsection 1 of Article 39 of the Act of the Organization of Chinese Courts in 1983 provides that courts are required to pay appropriate subsidies to lay assessors, while leaving the standard for the specific amount of payment unstated. In practice, some lay assessors have been paid extremely low compensation. According to an official newsletter, a local court in Beijing City paid only a daily subsidy of RMB 1.6 Yuan (approximately equal to USD 0.2 Dollars) to each lay assessor at a time when this sum was insufficient to even buy a bus pay-pass. It has been reported that the poor benefits directly undermined the enthusiasm and commitment of some lay assessors (Ding and Sun, 2002; Wang, 2006; Gao, 2007).

It would be unfair to blame the courts for their financial compensation. As a matter of fact, China's courts have been far from financially independent. The budget of each Chinese court has to be approved and the funds appropriated by the financial department of the local government. Since the law no longer demanded compulsory application of mixed tribunals, a number of local governments stopped providing funds to the courts for maintaining lay assessors. After losing this financial support, some courts had to sparingly use lay assessors and were unable to pay them subsidies in an appropriate way (Wei, 2001a).

## 2.1.3. Appearance of "full-time" and "long-serving" lay assessors

"Long-serving" and "full-time" lay assessors were two remarkably abusive practices involving lay people who served at the courts for many years or even on a full-time basis.

In fact, irrespective of the declining use of lay assessors, the conflict between overloaded dockets and the shortage of professional judges was never resolved in China during the 1980s

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See JiangSu Local Chronicles, "The Historical Dossiers of Jiangsu Province – Justice Chapter", at the official website of the Government of Jiangsu Province, at http://www.jssdfz.gov.cn/dfz/detail?templet=detail5.jsp&id=18&searchword=ID=1197425369593, last visit on 18 September 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See The People's Court Daily, 29 October, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See also "Lay assessors accompany, rather than adjudicate, and full-time lay assessors need to be removed", 2004. Daily Online Global News Network, April 2, available at http://news.xinhuanet.com/legal/2004-04/02/content\_1398688.htm.

and 1990s. For example, in 1978, there were approximately 70,000 judges in China and this number doubled to 156,000 in 1998 (Wang, 2000; Yu, 2000). But by contrast, the caseload of all Chinese courts increased ten times in the same two decades, starting at approximately 500,000 in 1978 and reaching 5,390,000 in 1998 (Xu, 2001).

Citizen jury panels had become impractical and professional judges could no longer handle the fast-rising case overloads. Only simple civil cases and minor criminal offences were permitted to be adjudicated by a single-judge panel according to the procedural laws then in effect. And some courts complained that the collegial panel of three judges was by no means an economical form of saving manpower and easing the courts' workload. Though not demanded by the law, the mixed tribunal with lay assessors was therefore preserved by some courts, essentially for alleviating the short-handed situation, as now lay assessors could replace judges and be seated as tribunal members (Cui and Wang, 2008). Driven by this desire to spread their caseloads between more people, rather than by a wish to encourage lay participation, it seems that some Chinese courts' practice of using lay assessors has been substantially distorted from what was originally intended.

Some of China's courts re-employed the same lay assessors for years or even decades (Xiao, 2007). For example, Jiang Shifeng served at the Court of Xingguo County of Jiangxi Province for 13 years from 1993 to 2006, participating in a total of 720 cases ("The Story of An Excellent Lay Assessor — Jiang Shifeng," 2007). Ma Hao was appointed as a lay assessor by the Court of Fengtai District of Beijing City in 1994 and participated in over 2000 trials until January 2nd, 2004 ("Shall the Lay Assessors Turn Left or Right?" 2004).

Some courts also chose to recruit unemployed people or pensioners as lay assessors and allocated heavy caseloads to them, effectively transforming them into full-time court employees. Sun Bozong, for example, was employed as a lay assessor by the Court of Shapingba District of Chongqing City in 1998, when he became unemployed due to the bankruptcy of his company. Until 2005, he had been living on his income from the court. During this seven-year period, he participated in approximately ten cases each month while the highest record being five cases within one week—even heavier workload than that of some professional judges in the same court. Because he needed to participate in trials one after another, he had to work at the court full-time during the weekdays (Yao, 2005). As documentary evidence has revealed, this phenomena of full-time lay assessors and lay assessors serving for many years was not scattered, but rather popular throughout the entire country (Wei, 2001a).

As well as the misdirected purpose behind the use of lay assessors, there existed a series of deep-seated forces that were jointly responsible for the occurrence of full-time lay assessors.

First, the term "selection of lay assessors" in China's judicial practice actually involves two stages of selection: (1) each court "selects" lay assessors from the local community to form a lay assessor pool for its use, while each lay assessor is to serve on a basis of fixed tenure, which was normally two years before the Lay Assessor Act in 2004; (2) when a mixed tribunal needs to be empaneled, the court will "select" the specific lay assessor from the pool and designate him/her to participate in the trial (Huang, 2008). Before the Lay Assessor Act in 2004, Article 38 of the Act of the Organization of Courts in 1983 provided that lay assessors should be elected by local electorates. This provision however was largely abandoned. In practice, it became the norm that many courts made their own choices. Rather than selecting randomly from a general list of the citizens such as the electoral register, as in some common-law jurisdictions (Parry, 2002), the courts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Article 40 of *The Code of Civil Procedure of China in 1991* and Article 174 of *The Code of Criminal Procedure of China in 1996*.

273

274

275

276

277

278 279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286 287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294 295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302 303

304

305

306

307

308

309 310

311

312 313

314 315

316

317

318

319 320

321

322

323

324

+ MODEL

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

271 04 selected the lay assessors from the local community without any check (Wei, 2001b; The Supreme Court of China, 2009). In other words, the courts had total freedom to decide who was selected (The Supreme Court of China, 2004).8

Secondly, unlike in some common-law countries where refusal to attend court for jury service without a lawful excuse may be classed as contempt of court, there was no punishment for such behavior in China. In the absence of any compelling reasons to attend, coupled with the extremely low compensation, it was common for lay assessors with employment to be reluctant to perform duties in court. Some of them even chose to evade court service by frequently asking for exemption or deferral (The Supreme Court of China, 2004). In this case, some courts, having the unbounded discretion of selecting lay assessors, gave up recruiting lay assessors who are in employment and instead exclusively selected pensioners and other unemployed people who would be more responsive and available.

Thirdly, in contrast to some countries where the workload of each lay assessor was strictly circumscribed, (e.g. the Russian lay assessors served no more than two weeks per year, while each German lay assessor serves only eight times each year at the criminal court of Bochum and four times in Frankfurt (Machura, 2003)) there was no such limitation in China's law. This left the courts free to overuse a lay assessor if they so wished.

Fourthly, given that some local governments refused to provide funds for maintaining lay assessors, in an attempt to cut expenses involved in selecting, training and managing lay assessors, some courts endeavored to limit the number of lay assessors and allocated the entire workload to a few people rather than retain a large pool of them.

Due to the above four reasons, a court may recruit only a few pensioners or other unemployed people and allocate massive caseloads to them, effectively transforming these individuals into full-time court employees, such as in the case of Sun Bozhong.

The practice of having long-serving lay assessors can be attributed to the problematic legislation and absence of financial support as well. According to The Supreme Court's Notice of Incorporating the Selection of Lay Assessors into the General Election in 1963, the tenure of each lay assessor was normally two years, without the limitation of reappointment. Needless to say, dismissing the old lay assessors and selecting new recruits every two years would be much less convenient than simply reappointing the old hands. In the meantime, training new lay assessors was expensive and so was highly undesirable, especially since the government placed ever greater financial restrictions on Chinese courts. In these circumstances, some courts were inclined to reuse the same lay assessors and refused to replace them with the new blood for many years. As indicated by the case of Sun Bozhong, the phenomena of full-time and long-serving lay assessors may be concurrent and interlaced with each other.

#### 2.1.4. Passivism of lay assessors

A judicial adage, popular in China in 1980s and 1990s, which states that "Lay assessors accompany [judges] rather than adjudicate [cases]," captures exactly the very inactive and passive performances of the lay assessors (Daily Online Global News Network, 2004; Zhao, 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>For example, an official report from the Supreme Court of China revealed that before the Lay Assessor Act in 2004, 41.5% of the lay assessors were directly selected by the courts while 23.7% were nominated by the relevant organizations and appointed by the courts after reporting to the corresponding standing committees of the local People's Congresses, with few lay assessors elected by the local electorates.

366

367

368

369 370

371 372 373

374

375

376

377

378

On one hand, Chinese lay assessors were said to remain silent like puppets during trials and deliberations ("The lay Assessor System Confronts Four Difficulties", 2008). On the other hand, lay assessors were sometimes criticized for their "passive obedience to the judge during deliberation, which has transformed the process into a speech instigated by the judge instead of the facilitation of group discussion amongst individuals within the mixed tribunal" (He, 2005). In an investigation into the deliberation records of a sample of 50 cases, the lay assessors said nothing but "Yes, I agree" in 42 (84%) cases; while in the other 8 (16%) cases, the lay assessors also affirmed the decisions of the judges, only supplementing their ideas (He, 2005).

This passivism on the part of the lay assessors can be attributed to a series of reasons beyond that of their inappropriate compensations mentioned above.

The first possible reason was that lay assessors encountered practical difficulties in performing their duties. As revealed in a report issued by the Supreme Court of China, 51.8% of the lay assessors serving at the courts of Shanghai City admitted that they have had problems in understanding legal issues of the cases. Needless to say, a lay assessor cannot be expected to participate fully and effectively in a trial if they lack a clear understanding of the case.

The problem of insufficient instruction being given to lay assessors seems to be another reason for their inactivity. Before the promulgation of The Lay Assessor Act in 2004, only subsection 2 of Article 38 of The Act of the Organization of Chinese Courts in 1983 stipulated that a lay assessor had the same jurisdiction and duties as a judge, except for acting as the presiding judge of a mixed tribunal. However, except for this provision, there was no other law or regulation to specify the detailed duties and rights of lay assessors in detail. Besides, according to Zhao and Du's investigation, numerous courts did little to instruct the lay assessors on what to do and not to do in practice. Without knowing their specific duties and rights, lay assessors may choose to remain silent or simply follow under the judge's heel (Zhao and Du, 2006).

As punishments such as contempt of court had not been established in China, there were an insufficient number of deterrents to assist in regulating the behavior of lay assessors. The penalties that could be imposed for a lay assessor's impropriety, such as evading the court service and dozing during the trial, included only warnings, criticism, or at most suspension. These were of course far less of a deterrent than a charge of contempt of court would be. Further, being suspended from being a lay assessor might even be exactly what the lay assessor who failed in their duties was hoping for.

As the tenure of lay assessors in China was two years without restrictions as to reappointment and workload, where a lay assessor assumed a heavy caseload and kept working for years (e.g. Ma Hao participated in 2000 trials within ten years), it would be highly unlikely that his enthusiasm would not diminish over time. As Shen Deyong, then-Vice Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of China, pointed out "overwork undermined the participant interests of the lay assessors which have already been affected by their poor subsidies" (The Supreme Court of China, 2004).

## 2.2. The lay assessor act in 2004

In an attempt to correct the various defects of the lay assessor system, the Supreme Court of China submitted the Motion of Reforming the Lay Assessor System to the Chinese People's Congress — the supreme legislative authority in China in 1999. On 28 August 2004, the Lay Assessor Act in 2004 (the LAA 2004) was enacted. The LAA 2004 contains 20 principled articles relating to lay assessors, but remains ambiguous about some important issues in lay

+ MODEL

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1–25

participation. As a remedy, the Supreme Court of China in association with the Ministry of Justice promulgated the Regulation of Selecting, Examining and Appointing Lay Assessors on 13 December 2004 (the Regulation 2004), while the Supreme Court of China promulgated the Provisional Regulation of Administration of Lay Assessors 2005 (the Regulation 2005) on 6 January 2005. These three legal documents embody the major changes discussed below.

### 2.2.1. Encouraging the use of lay assessors

The Act of the Organization of Chinese Courts in 1983 placed the decision to use lay assessors under the jurisdiction of each individual court in China by providing that "Cases of first instance shall be adjudicated by a collegial panel composed of judges or of judges and lay assessors (emphasis added by the authors); simple civil cases, minor criminal cases and cases otherwise prescribed by law shall be adjudicated by a single judge".

As a result, the use of lay assessors declined during the 1980s and 1990s. The LAA 2004 appears to be promoting the use of lay assessors by specifically providing two circumstances where a mixed tribunal must be used, unless otherwise provided by the law, except for the cases to be decided by the summary procedure with a judge seated alone <sup>10</sup>: (1) first-instance criminal, civil and administrative cases with far-reaching social implications, and (2) any case in which the litigant(s) request(s) the application of a mixed tribunal.

Those provisions seem significant in two aspects. First, in contrast to the previous exclusive jurisdiction of the courts to initiate a mixed tribunal, this provision specifically requests the courts to employ mixed tribunals in particular cases which will cause the courts to use lay assessors more regularly. Secondly, for the first time since the establishment of the People's Republic of China by the CCP in 1949, the litigants are entitled to apply for the use of mixed tribunals. This could be seen as a move by China toward principles of justice such as "each citizen has the right to be judged by his peers" (Kutnjak Ivkovic, 2003, p. 98). Of all the major changes embodied in the LAA 2004, none are more fundamental than the above two.

#### 2.2.2. Promoting the quality of lay assessors by addressing education and training needs

Subsection 1 of Article 38 of the Act of Organization of the Courts in 1983 simply provided that any qualified voter on the electoral register aged above 23 can serve as a lay assessor, except for those deprived of the political rights due to their criminal offences, regardless of educational achievement. Without a limitation as to educational level, it was reported that some courts even hired illiterate citizens to act as the lay assessors to simply fill vacancies in collegial tribunals (The Supreme Court of China, 2004). Some scholarly materials pointed out that the inappropriate education level of the lay assessors could be blamed for their incompetence (Shen, 1999). The Chinese authority, when considering the reform, also held that "because lay assessors have the jurisdiction equal to judges, their educational eligibility should not be much lower than that of judges; otherwise they may be unlikely to perform the duties well due to their unsound quality and ability" (He, 2005). To address these problems, Article 4 of the LAA 2004 specifically sets forth the educational eligibility and situates it at a high level by requiring that the lay assessors should normally have at least a college diploma.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Article 10 of the Act of the Organization of Courts in 1983.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>In China, simple civil litigations and minor criminal offences can be handled with the summary procedures where a single judge sits alone and applies the simplified procedures (for example, the litigants are allowed to be summoned by telephone by the judge in a civil case), *see* Article 142–146 of the Code of the Civil Procedure in 1991, and Article 174–179 of the Code of the Criminal Procedure in 1996.

478 479 480

481

482

483

484

485

486

No nationally applicable rules to regulate the training of lay assessors existed before the LAA 2004. Each court managed the training of lay assessors at its own discretion. Due to the shortage of funds and manpower, a number of courts were found largely to ignore the training of lay assessors, which was believed to contribute to the frequently reported incompetence, passivity, and inactivity of these lay assessors. In response to this, Article 15 of the LAA 2004 specifically assigns the responsibility of training lay assessors to each individual court and the Department of Justice of the local government at the same level.

Apparently, merely one article will be too principled to specify the very details as to how to execute the training. As the remedy, Article 10-14 of the Regulation 2004 specifically commission each court to train lay assessors and specify that the training session should teach the basic principles of legal knowledge, court rules, judicial moralities and disciplines. Since courts are designated with the duty to provide the training to lay assessors, the Regulation 2005 promulgated by the Supreme Court of China establishes a whole chapter in which eight articles are set out to further regulate the execution of the training. Clearly, the previous incompetence of lay assessors is expected to be overcome by the enhanced educational eligibility and the provision of adequate training.

## 2.2.3. Specifying selection procedures of lay assessors

Prior to the LAA 2004, lay assessors came primarily from the local community. However, gradually, chaotic practices occurred, such as the exclusive recruitment of the pensioners and the unemployed as the lay assessors in some jurisdictions. Meanwhile, there was no regulation as to how Chinese courts should allocate the workload of each lay assessor, leaving courts with the discretion to overuse some of specific lay assessors and transforming them into full-time court employees. The LAA 2004 intended to resolve these problems by setting forth three articles.

Articles 7 and 8 of the LAA 2004 lay down a four-step process for selecting lay assessors. First, each court individually decides the number of the lay assessors it actually needs, followed by a process of approval by the standing committee of the local People's Congress at the same level. Secondly, Article 8 sets down three methods for each court to use for identifying candidates: (1) "self-nomination," where a citizen who wants to serve as a lay assessor is allowed to nominate himself/herself to the local court; (2) "employer-nomination," where employers are encouraged to nominate their employees to the courts for lay assessor selection, after obtaining the employees' consent; and (3) "nomination by grass-roots organization," where various "grass-roots organizations" are permitted to nominate local residents to the courts as lay assessor candidates after securing the consent of the nominees. These three nomination methods are intended to yield a sufficient number of candidates for the courts' selection. Thirdly, these candidates are to be screened by each court jointly with the Department of Justice of the local government so that a shortlist of suitable candidates is produced. Fourthly, the candidates on the shortlist will be appointed by the standing committee of the local People's Congress at the same level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>To control and regulate the community from the very grass-roots level, China's authority has respectively established the so-called "the Committee of Urban Residents" in each town and city and "the Committee of Villagers" in each village of China since 1954. These two "committees" are often called "grass-roots organization" or "grass-roots mass organization". "The Committee of Urban Residents" and "the Committee of Villagers" are respectively established in each block of the town or city and each village, enjoying the jurisdiction to tackle some local administrative affairs. See the Act of the Organization of the Committee of Urban Residents in 1998 and the Act of the Organization of the Committee of Villagers in 1998.

+ MODE

This four-step process prescribed by the LAA 2004 attempts to outline a clear routine and involve more parties, such as the standing committee of the local People's Congress and the Department of Justice of the local government, in overseeing the selection of lay assessors. In this way, the courts are expected to be prevented from potentially engaging in discriminatory selection practices in favor of the specific groups such as the pensioners or the unemployed.

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

Further, Article 14 of the LAA 2004 provides that each court shall produce a roster of eligible lay assessors and "randomly select" the specific lay assessor from the roster and designate him/her to each specific case. This should serve to prevent the previous practice where some courts kept summoning one or several lay assessors repeatedly and commissioned them all the workloads, eventually transforming them into the full-time court workers.

## 2.2.4. Promoting welfare of lay assessors

The LAA 2004 attempts to improve the benefits for lay assessors in three ways. First, Article 18 mandates that Chinese courts shall compensate lay assessors for traveling and accommodation costs. Secondly, it is reaffirmed that the employers of lay assessors are forbidden to reduce or covertly reduce their salaries, bonuses and other benefits during their court services. Thirdly, Article 18 provides that any lay assessor without employment shall be paid an allowance calculated on the basis of multiplying lay assessor's serving days with the average daily income of local workers in the previous year. Article 14 of the Regulation 2004 adds that lay assessors shall be paid allowances and stipends as well during their training process.

## 2.2.5. Addressing local governments' financial supports

Each of China's courts has been financially dependent on the local government. During the two decades before the LAA 2004, some courts complained that their budgets for maintaining lay assessors had been slashed or even totally rejected by the local governments so that they had to reduce or discontinue the use of lay assessors. To address these complaints, Article 19 of the LAA 2004 prompts Chinese local governments to provide adequate financial support, specifying that each court is permitted to place the potential expenses for employing lay assessors into its normal annual budget which should be approved and duly provided by the local government. Those remedial measures represented the very important step, not only to eliminate various logistical and procedural deficiencies in the selection of fair-minded lay assessors, but also to improve the structural quality of the court and related institutions and provide the financially stable foundation for the equitable operation of the lay assessor system in China.

## 3. History of Japan's mixed-court system — Saiban-in Seido (the quasi-jury system)

Distinguished from China's system of legal equities, which in her modern history had never used the all-citizen jury trial, Japan once had a criminal petit jury system. The Jury Law was passed in 1923 under the strong leadership of Prime Minister Takashi Hara and was put into effect in 1928. But the system was suspended in 1943 by the military government during World War II. Beginning in the late 1980s and 1990s, the pressure to change the existing legal system,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>For example, the Court of Yuzhong District of Chongqing City and the Court of Jinshi County of Hunan Province have revealed this reality. See "The Court of Jinshi County Addressing the Application of Lay Assessors", at the official website of the Court of Jinshi County, at http://zjfy.changde.gov.cn/zjfy/937312784843014144/20061010/165606.html, last visit on 14 December 2007.

including the introduction of lay judges in criminal cases, began to emerge. The move for judicial reform then became part of the national agenda; and the demand for judicial reform came from several sources, including (1) the Ministry of Justice; (2) the Secretariat of the Supreme Court; (3) the Japanese Federation of Bar Association (JFBA); (4) the Federation of Economic Organizations (FEO or "Keidanren") and the Japanese Association of Corporative Executives (JACE or "Keizai Doyukai") — two of Japan's most influential business organizations; and (5) the Liberal Democratic Party ("Jiminto") and the New Clean Government Party ("Komeito") — the ruling political alliance in the Japanese government.

Since early 1990s, those five interest groups acted as the exclusive set of powerful policy brokers in the establishment of law schools and the new legal profession, as well as in the

Since early 1990s, those five interest groups acted as the exclusive set of powerful policy brokers in the establishment of law schools and the new legal profession, as well as in the formulation of new policies impacting the legal process, including the possible introduction of the system of lay participation in judicial decision-making.

The Ministry of Justice and the Supreme Court first proposed the need to increase the number of both prosecutors and judges. Their close alliance and collaborative relationship reflected the historical fact that the Ministry of Justice once handled the operation of all judicial institutions, including the prosecutor's office. After 1947, in an effort to promote the independence of the judiciary, the structure was transformed to provide the Supreme Court of Japan control over personnel issues involving judges and judicial officers. Because of the historical collaboration between the judiciary and the ministry, both prosecutors and judges remained as an exclusive group of bureaucratic elites within the Japanese government. In order to achieve their objective, they jointly proposed increasing the number of legal applicants who passed the national bar exam in order to alleviate the problem of recruiting a sufficient number of successful applicants to fill positions of prosecutors and judges. Despite the strong opposition from the JFBA, the National Bar Examination Act was nonetheless amended in 1991, opening the door for a new era of young, idealistic lawyers (Miyazawa, 2001; West, 2003).

With a prolonged recession at home and facing increasing business and legal challenges due to the globalization of the Japanese economy, the economic groups also shared a similar interest with the ruling LDP, the court, and the ministry. Together they felt the need to expand the legal profession, providing legal roles for non-attorneys, such as judicial scriveners, patent agents, and non-attorney corporate legal staff to represent parties in litigation. In order to increase the efficiency in handling legal issues, the JACE, one of the most powerful economic interest group, first published its report in June 1994, followed by another JACE report in January 1997 supporting significant legislative and judicial reforms to create a market-led economy in Japan. <sup>13</sup>

Besides the expansion of legal professionals, these reports also emphasized the need for the unification of the legal professions, with judges actively recruited from experienced practicing attorneys who showed a better understanding of contemporary business practices, international legal customs, and complex business laws.<sup>14</sup> Similarly, a think—tank established by the FEO

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>The committee was called Gendai nihon shakai wo kangaeru iinkai and the report was called Gendai nihon shakai no byori to shoho (The pathologies of and remedies for contemporary Japan). The 1997 report was called Gurobaru ka ni taiosuru kigyo hosei no seibi wo mezashite: Minkan shudo no sijo keizai ni muketa seido to rippo, shiho no kaikaku (Toward establishing corporate legal structure to meet the challenges of globalization: The system for market-led economy and accompanying legislative and judicial reforms). See Kamiya, 2006, n12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>See "Shiho seido kaikayu ni mukete — ronten seiri" (For Judicial Reform: Main issues and points," http://www.kantei.go.jp/jp/sihouseido/pdfs/1221ronten.pdf. For the English version, see http://www.kantei.go.jp/foreign/policy/sihou/singikai/991221\_e.html). It is interesting to note, in the English version, the mixed-court system was referred to the "Magistrate" system.

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

+ MODEL

also published its recommendation in December 1998, suggesting more flexible and expansive views of legal practice and an efficient system of alternative dispute resolutions to deal with the increasing globalization of the Japanese economy, industrial development, and corporate investment (Miyazawa, 2001; Anderson and Nolan, 2004; Johns, 2006).

The JFBA, representing Japan's practicing attorneys, also proposed the unification of the legal profession and the introduction of the all-citizen jury system in order to transform the bureaucratically controlled judiciary. The JFBA's proposal stems from the fact that judges' decisions and judgments recently have become increasingly under criticism following a series of wrongful convictions of innocent defendants in prominent criminal cases. Almost all indicted cases resulted in automatic convictions— for Japanese judges almost never acquit criminal defendants. The JFBA also argued that there are few checks-and-balance mechanisms in the judiciary necessary to insure the proper and fair performance of Japanese judges or prosecutors.

#### 3.1. Political pressure and the establishment of the justice system reform council (JSRC)

In 1999, in order to create an official guideline for Japan's judicial reform, then-Prime Minister Keizo Obuchi established the Justice System Reform Council (JSRC, or "Shiho Seido Kaikaku Shingikai"). The council had 13 members who were recruited from different political and economic sectors. The analysis of the council members is important to understand the potential influence of various interest groups in shaping and influencing any future blueprint for Japan's judicial reform. For example, the interests of the Japanese government were expressed through two members of the bureaucratic elites — a former chief justice of the Hiroshima high court and a former chief prosecutor of the Nagoya Public Prosecutor's Office. The council also included two members from the FEO and business interest groups, as well as a former JFBA president, a president of the Federation of Private Universities, a female business professor from a private university, a female popular writer, a vice president of "Rengo" (a labor organization), and a president of "Shufuren" or the Federation of Homemakers (or Housewives). The governmental influence was evident because, in addition to a judge and prosecutor, six members had previously served in various governmental committees and agencies, including a member who was a former first secretary of the Japanese embassy in Thailand (Maruta, 2004, p. 77).

The first public meeting was held on July 27, 1999, and the council held a total of sixty-three meetings over the next two years. The JSRC's activities were quite extensive, as it conducted hearings, fact-finding inspections of the Japanese courts, prosecutors' office, local bar association offices, and law firms, also actively seeking out resources and materials on foreign judicial systems and procedures. So, too, the JFBA also has taken a very active role in influencing the judicial reform initiative and sponsored many community discussions and held forums and symposiums, together with civic organizations, to educate the public regarding the background and need for the judicial reform. There were many responses to the interim report and proposals sought by the JSRC, for example; and they were coordinated by JFBA members in closely working with civic organizations, including the Research Group on Jury Trial (RGJT). <sup>15</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>RGJT (The Research Group on Jury Trial) is a prominent civic organizations which members are composed of distinguished legal scholars, civil rights attorneys, and civic activists that Since late 1990s, the second author also worked closely as members of both the RGJT and another civic organization called "Shimin no Saiban-in Seido Tsukuro-kai [Let's Make Citizens' Quasi-Jury System]" and spoke in a number of conferences, forums, and symposiums in Tokyo metropolitan areas.

689 690

691

692

693 694

695 696

697

698

699

700

701

702

In April 2002, in order to implement the recommendation, the Office for the Promotion of Justice System Reform (OPJSR) was established in the Cabinet Office, and the office created eleven different investigative committees to implement specific recommendations in the JSRC's final report.<sup>16</sup> The responsibility to deliberate on specific items of the judicial reform for the quasi-jury system was delegated to the Quasi-jury/Penal Matter Investigation Committee (Saiban-in, Keiji Kentokai"), including the task to determine the specific number of lay and professional judges for the quasi-jury trial (Maruta, 2004, pp. 125-127). The makeup of the committee again reflected the groups of various interests that helped shape the initial proposal for the judicial reform. The committee was chaired by Tokyo University Professor Inouye who also served on the JSRC. Ten other members included two defense attorneys from the JFBA. a Tokyo district court judge, a prosecutor from Supreme Public Prosecutor's Office ("Saiko Kensatsucho Kenji"), a police chief from the National Policy Agency, four university professors, and a journalist. The strong political influence from the Japanese Supreme Court Secretariat and the Ministry of Justice was undeniable, as one university professor from Keio University was a former judge, and one of two practicing attorneys was also a former prosecutor. He was also known as a strong opponent to the system of citizen participation in the legal process (Isa, 2004).

The Investigation Committee held 32 public meetings over two years, from February 28, 2002 to July 6, 2004. In the thirteenth meeting on March 11, 2003, the committee introduced the draft paper on the quasi-jury system to facilitate the discussion. In terms of the ideal composition of the deliberative model, two very different models emerged. The "A" model suggests the three professional judges and two or three lay judges, and the "B" plan suggests one or two professional and nine to eleven lay judges (Niikura, 2004).

The first model was preferred by the Supreme Court and the Ministry of Justice, while the second model was supported by the JFBA. After heated discussions on the proposal, the composition of the quasi-jury was decided to have three professional and four lay judges. The proposal also left open the option of five or six lay judges in the alternative model and suggested that prospective quasi-jurors must be 25 years of age or over to serve ("Anataga sabaku", 2004). The committee also decided on the following: (1) the majority vote will be used to determine issues of fact and sentencing; (2) only serious crimes will be heard under the quasijury system; (3) the procedure is mandatory and cannot be avoided by defendants or waived by courts; (4) restrictions are imposed on publicity around and about the trials; and (5) other matters including lay judge selection procedures and funding. The committee's interim report was then sent to the Office for the Promotion of Justice System Reform (OPJSR) on October 28, 2003.

The final proposal of the investigation committee was mostly based on the party proposal and Professor Inouve's original proposal, and it was submitted to the OPJDR in the Cabinet Office. On March 2, 2004, the Cabinet then decided its final overall proposal on Japan's judicial reform entitled, "Recommendation of the Justice System Reform Council: For the Justice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Those committees include: (1) Labor Study Committee (rodo kentokai), (2) Legal Access Investigation Committee (shiho akusesu kentokai), (3) ADR investigation committee (ADR kentokai), (4) Arbitration Investigation Committee (chusai kentokai) (5) Administrative Litigation Investigation Committee (gyosei sosho kentokai), (6) Quasi-jury/Penal Matter Investigation Committee (saiban-in seido, keiji kentokai), (7) Public Defender System Investigation Committee (koteki bengo seido kentokai), (8) Globalization Investigation Committee (kokusaika kentokai), (9) Judicial Officer Training Investigation Committee (hoso yosei kentokai), (10) Judicial Officer System Investigation Committee (hoso seido kentokai), and (11) Intellectual Property Litigation Investigation Committee (chiteki zaisan sosho kentokai). See http://www.kantei.go.jp/jp/singi/sihou/kentoukai/.

+ MODEI

System to Support Japan in the 21st Century," and submitted it to the Diet (Maxeiner and Yamanaka, 2004). The Diet passed the proposal on May 21, 2004, creating a quasi-jury

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

system and announced that the first quasi-jury trial would begin in May 2009.

3.2. The evolution of Japan's grand jury system (Kensatsu Shinsakai or prosecutorial review commissions (PRC))

While it is still little known to even Japanese citizens, the Japanese government first introduced and established the all-citizen grand jury system in 1948. Immediately after the end of WWII, with legal support from the Allied Forces under the leadership of General Douglas McArthur, the Prosecutorial Review Commission (PRC or "Kensatsu Shinsakai") was established in each of Japan's fifty district court jurisdictions. The PRC, comprised of eleven citizens chosen randomly from a local electoral register, was appointed to a six-month term, and held the power to review whether or not the prosecutor's decision not to indict criminal suspects was appropriate.

In practice, this citizen's investigation begins only after crime victims or concerned citizens have filed a civic complaint to the PRC. After investigating and deliberating on the case, the PRC submits one of three recommendations: (1) the non-indictment is proper; (2) the non-indictment is improper; and (3) the indictment is proper. The PRC then delivers a written recommendation to the prosecutor's office. Since the prosecutors have the power to indict, the PRC recommendation has been regarded as merely advisory rather than legally binding, and prosecutors' systematic refusal to act on the PRC recommendations have contributed to undermine public confidence in the PRC system (Fukurai, 2007).

In order to improve the oversight function of the PRC and facilitate its deliberative procedures, the Japanese Diet finally enacted the Act to Revise the Code of Criminal Procedure (including the PRC Law) in May 2004. The revised PRC law gave its recommendation legally binding status. If the PRC twice recommends the indictment of the accused, the recommendation becomes legally binding and the court must appoint a lawyer to perform the prosecution's role until a ruling is reached.

This forced prosecutorial power of the PRC has recently led to the conviction of political and judicial elites, whom, under the traditional prosecutorial system, would not have been indicted or prosecuted. The PRC has facilitated, for example, the forced prosecution of a former deputy police chief,<sup>18</sup> three past presidents of the JR-West, one of the most powerful private corporations in Japan,<sup>19</sup> as well as Ichiro Ozawa, perhaps one of the most powerful political powerbrokers in Japanese political circles during the post-WWII era (Martin and Kamiya, 2010).

These details reveal how the lay adjudicatory systems of both Japan and China have gone through the dramatic transformation in recent years. New financial and political support by the Chinese Government to its lay assessor system, as well as the creation of quasi-jury trials and the revision of the PRC system by the Japanese Government, have all led to the expansive civic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Keiji Soshohoto no Ichibu o Kaiseisuru Horitu [Act to Revise the Code of Criminal Procedure etc.] (hereinafter PRC Act), Law No. 62 of 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>"Motofukushocho o kisoe: Kensatsushin, zenkoku hatsu no giketsu [Indictment of former deputy police officer: Prosecutorial Review Commission and the first forced indictment]," <u>Kobe Shimbun</u>, January 28, 2010, available at http://www.kobe-np.co.jp/backnumber/asagiri/0003520156.shtml.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>JR Nishi no Rekidai 3 Shacho, Futatabi Fukisoni, Dassenjiko de Kobe Chisai [Non-Indictment Again for Three Past JR-West Presidents, on the Derailment Incident, by the Kobe Prosecutors], Nikkei Net, Dec. 4, 2009, available at http://www.nikkei.co.jp/news/main/20091204AT5C0401S04122009.html.

802

803

804 805

806

807

808

809

810

opportunities and the creation of popular institutions to function as important means for oversight of governmental affairs and corporate behaviors.

As evidence of these changes, the following section provides an empirical examination of citizen participants in lay adjudicatory institutions in China and Japan. The cross-national analysis of citizen participation in these lay justice systems reveals the comparative extent of different representation from various geo-demographic sectors and socio-economic groups in China and Japan.

## 4. Methodology and analysis

The following section examines the extent of civic legal participation in China and Japan. In terms of China's situation, this article has tried to examine lay assessors' representativeness and to discuss the resolution of the deep-seated problem of long-serving or full-time lay assessors. Our empirical analysis of China's progress has relied on published reports from a number of Chinese local courts and judicial institutions.<sup>20</sup>

In Japan, we have provided an analysis of lay participants' representation in the quasi-jury trial based on two major surveys conducted by the Japanese Supreme Court in 2009 and 2010. We also have analyzed lay participants' representation in Japan's grand jury system or "Kensatsu ShinsaKai (PRC)" (JFBA, 2001). Our analysis was based on a PRC survey conducted by the second author of this paper in 2006. The members of the Prosecutorial Review Commission Society (PRCS) which is composed solely of those who served in the grand jury were asked to participate in the survey.

## 4.1. Representativeness of Chinese lay assessors

A detailed review of representativeness of Chinese lay assessors necessarily begins with Article 4 of the LAA 2004, providing that lay assessors should have the educational level of college diploma or above. According to the report of the National Population and Family Planning Commission of China in 2005, only 5.4% of the national population have college diplomas or higher educational levels, with 12.6% having received high school education, 36.9% graduating from junior high schools, and 30.4% only having finished primary school education.

If Article 4 of the LAA 2004 is to be strictly carried out, 94.6% of the national population will be deprived of the right to serve as lay assessors. Yet the Act explicitly excludes the great majority of the Chinese population on the grounds of inadequate education. Properly acknowledging this problem, the Regulation 2004 made a concession by specially giving the courts the discretion of easing the educational eligibility of lay assessors in two circumstances: (1) in rural jurisdictions, where the educational requirement makes it difficult to obtain a sufficient number of lay assessors; and (2) the availability of elderly candidates with lower educational attainment but who have excellent reputable standing in the community. 21 These concessions intentionally gave the courts - especially those situated at rural areas where the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>The reports published by some Chinese courts on their official websites have been the realistic and convenient sources for the authors to access the statistical data with regard to the operation of lay assessor system as many data about the operation of Chinese courts have been classified and hard to be obtained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>See Article 2 of *The Regulation for the Selection, Training and Examination of Lay Assessors* promulgated by the Supreme People's Court of China and the Justice Ministry of China on 13 December 2004.

+ MODEL

residents generally have a comparatively lower educational level — discretion to recruit lay assessors whose educational level cannot reach the college diploma.

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

Table 1 examines whether Chinese courts have been appraised of this concession and taking measures to ensure lay assessors' wide cross-sectional representation in terms of their educational background. For China as a whole, the 2006 report indicates that 48,211 of lay assessors were selected by various Chinese courts; 18,274 of them had college diploma or higher educational level, comprising 37.9% of the total number of lay assessors in China (Chen, 2006). By contrast, only 5.4% of the total population in China had received higher education. Statistically speaking, the absolute disparity reaches -32.40% and the comparative disparity is -34.29%, suggesting that more than one of every three Chinese without a college diploma has been excluded from lay assessor duties (The Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China, 2005). Significant under representation of the less educated is found in the different regions of China, such as Yangzhou, Nanjing, Beijing, and Harbin.

Table 1 Representation of Chinese lay assessors without college education. a

|               |                   | -                             |  |   |         |  |                          |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---------|--|--------------------------|
| Case<br>Study | City              | Number<br>of Lay<br>Assessors | (A)% of General<br>Population without<br>College Diploma | (B)% of Lay<br>Assessors without<br>College Diploma |         | (D) Comparative<br>Disparity:(C)/<br>(A) * 100 | (E)<br>Critical<br>ratio |
| 1             | Entire Population | 48,211                        | 94.5%  | 62.1%   | -32.40% | -34.29%  | -312.04                  |
| 2             | Yangzhou City     | 203                           | 71.5%  | 14.8%   | -56.70% | -79.30%  | -17.90                   |
| 3             | Nanjing City      | 369                           | 80.9%  | 12.5%   | -68.40% | -84.55%  | -16.33                   |
| 4             | Beijing City      | 1332                          | 82.5%  | 12.2%   | -70.30% | -85.21%  | -67.52                   |
| 5             | Haidian District  | 222                           | 56.0%  | 1.4%  | -54.60% | -97.50%  | -16.39                   |
|               | of Beijing City   |                               |  |   |         |  |                          |
| 6             | Harbin City       | 156                           | 90.80%   | 0.00%   | -90.80% | -100.00%                                       | -39.24                   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Note: The statistics are based on the following information:

- 2 "The General Situation of the Agricultural and Village Economy of the Whole City", available at the official website the local government, see: http://www.jsyzagri.gov.cn/viewarticle.asp?id=11&classid=1, last visit June 20, 2007;
- 3 See the report available at the official website of the National Population and Family Planning Commission of China, see: http://www.chinapop.gov.cn/rkzh/rk/tjzlzg/t20060330\_57759.htm;
- 4 Zhao Xingwu and Du Hui: "They Have the Power Equivalent to that of the Judges the Survey of the Implemental Situation of the Lay Assessor System in Nanjing City", *The People's Court Daily*, May 23, 2006, website version, see:http://rmfyb.chinacourt.org/public/detail.php?id=96753, last visit November 12, 2010;
- 5 "Over One Thousand Lay Assessors Will Take Exams Tomorrow and Enjoy the Power Same As the Judges If Passing", available at the Chinese Government's official news website, see: http://www.china.com.cn/zhuanti2005/txt/2005-04/29/content\_5851507.htm, last visit November 12, 2010;
- 6 "The Gazette of Basic Statistical Data of the Fifth Nationwide Census in Beijing City in 2000", available at the official website of the National Population and Family Planning Commission of China, see: http://www.china-pop.gov.cn/rkzh/rk/tjzlzg/t20040326\_2818.ht, last visit July 1, 2007;
- 7 "The Educational Level of the Population in Beijing Has Been Improved Continuously and the Proportion of the Population with Higher Education Is Increasing", available at one of China's biggest gate websites, see: http://cit-y.finance.sina.com.cn/city/2006-03-28/68291.html, last visit November 12, 2010;
- 8 Available at the official website of the Harbin Municipal Government, see www.harbin.gov.cn/hrbzfw/hrb\_today/display.php?id=3307, last visit November 12, 2010;
- 9 "Heilongjiang (Province): the 1%Population Spot Check in 2005 Indicated the Residential Population in Harbin City Was Reaching 10 Million", available at the official website of the National Population and Family Planning Commission of China, see http://www.chinapop.gov.cn/rkzh/rk/tjzlzg/t20060517\_59573.htm, last visit July 1, 2007.

Please cite this article in press as: Wang, Z., Fukurai, H., Popular legal participation in China and Japan, International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijlcj.2011.01.007

<sup>1</sup> Chen Yonghui, "Perfecting the Lay Assessor System and Promoting Judicial Fairness — Interviewing Li Ke, the CCP Team Leader and the Head of the Personnel Division of the Supreme Court", available at the united official website of China's law courts, see: http://www.chinacourt.org/public/detail.php?id=212244, last visit November 12, 2010;

As a matter of fact, in addition to the elitism of lay assessors' duties legislatively encouraged by the LAA 2004, which effectively excluded the large majority of Chinese citizens from lay assessor service, the Chinese authorities also seemed to be aware of such elitism. Cao Jianming, then-Vice Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of China, declared that: "when selecting lay assessors, the courts should give priority to the citizens with good educational background, social achievements, reputable personalities and sufficient legal knowledge" (Li, 2007). Driven by these dual reasons, it is no wonder that the courts were intentionally pushing the elitism of lay assessors, which may well bring about a variety of problems. First, one of the democratic merits of lay participation is that lay judges representing a wide

cross-section of the society provides the input of various community values, morals, norms and customs into the judicial decision-making process. However, when the lay assessor pool becomes dominated by the well-educated, male, middle-aged and well-to-do individuals, the deliberative panel is transformed into an elite club representing the voice of a highly concentrated segment of the upper class, rather than that of the whole community.

Secondly, privileging the well-educated Chinese citizens in legal duties appears to conflict with China's own constitutional doctrines. Subsection 2 of Article 33 of the Constitutional Law in 1982 provides that the citizenry of the People's Republic of China are equal before law. Article 34 further mandates that each citizen aged above 18 has the right to vote and stand for election regardless of their ethnic group, race, gender, occupation, family background, religion, education (emphasis added by the authors), property status and length of residence. The only exception is those deprived of political rights due to their criminal offences and convictions. The LAA 2004 belongs to normal law, before which all the citizenry should at least theoretically be "equal." Furthermore, it can be argued that the right of "standing for election" should be an integrated right, allowing the election or selection of citizens to participate in the administration of national affairs, including judicial matters. The skewed representation of lay assessors thus violates the essential democratic principle embedded in the Chinese Constitution, as well as the legal rights of citizens in China.

Thirdly, lay people's participation in the judiciary is expected to play an educational function which has been portrayed by some scholars as the "democratic school," suggesting that among the common people can be fostered the idea of collaborative participation in making decisions in politics embracing participant democracy (Hans and Vidmar, 1986, p. 249). It is also argued that the less educated may need this educational opportunity more so than the welleducated, because their attainment of full-time education has been substantially less than those well-educated. Thus, to deprive the less educated of this educational opportunity may seriously impair lay participation's educational function because of the very selectiveness of the more educated "students" in this "democratic school."

#### 4.2. Reborn full-time lay assessors

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872 873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881 882

883

884

885

886

887

888 889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896 897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904 905

906 907

908 909

910

911

912 913

914

915

916

917

918

Table 2 indicates that, after the promulgation of the LAA 2004, an average lay assessor in China had participated in 4.7 cases per year. While this workload may not seem much hardship for lay assessors without full-time employments, it may cause heavy burdens for those with full-time work commitment. For example, if each case requires three-working days, lay assessors will have to appear in courts more than one day a month. It is important to remember that some cases might be complicated and require many appearances for the trial and deliberations, creating additional time of commitment for lay assessor duties. More radical examples come not singly but in pairs. From May 2005 to April 2006, 1500 lay assessors had participated Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

in 11,079 cases in Hunan Province, suggesting that each lay assessor deliberated 7.4 cases per year (Yi, 2007). Some 54 lay assessors at the Local Court of Wuhou District of Chengdu City, Sichuan Province tried 1098 cases, suggesting that each lay assessor participated in 20 cases per year (Yi, 2007). Similarly, 45 lay assessors at the Local Court of Pudong New District of Shanghai City tried 2500 cases approximately, indicating that each lay assessor participated in 55.6 cases per year (Yan, 2006). In addition, for nearly eight months, from May 1 to December 20, 2005, 40 lay assessors serving at the Local Court of Jianghan District of Wuhan City, Hubei Province, participated in a total of 1497 cases, suggesting that each lay assessor participated in 37.4 cases in less than 8 months (Hubeigy China Court, 2010). It is not clear that how many of them serving at the courts in those regions had full-time employments. However, according to the above calculation, if a lay assessor handles over 50 cases per year, he may spend quite a few months solely working at the court each year, which will make him more like a full-time judge rather than a lay assessor who only participate in trials occasionally.

One of the widely acknowledged merits of lay participation has been that, in contrast to case-hardened judges, lay assessors are normally more sensitive, responsive and patient in trials because they "are selected for a period of several years [i.e., tenure] and are only occasionally summoned to the courts to serve on a particular day" (Kutnjak Ivkovic, 2003, p. 95). Rarely entering into the courtroom, each trial could be a whole new story for them. However, some lay assessors assume a heavy caseload equivalent to that of a judge serving for years (according to the LAA 2004, a Chinese lay assessor's tenure is 5 years), and he or she probably will act "out of routine" like a judge, with their sensitivity and enthusiasm eroding (Kutnjak Ivkovic, 2003, p. 96).

Table 2 Caseloads of lay assessors.<sup>a</sup>

| Court                                | Total Numbers of Cases<br>with Participation of Lay<br>Assessors and Period | Numbers of<br>Lay Assessors | Yearly Caseloads of<br>Each Lay Assessor |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Courts in the Whole China            | 226,759 (May 2005-April 2006)   | 48,211                      | 4.7                                      |
| Courts in Hunan Province             | 11,079 (May 2005-April 2006)  | 1500                        | 7.4                                      |
| The Local Court of Wuhou District of | 1098 (May 2005-April 2006)  | 54                          | 20.3                                     |
| Chengdu City of Sichuan Province     |   |                             |  |
| The Local Court of Jianghan District | 1497(1 May 2005-20  | 40                          | 37.4 (in 7 months                        |
| of Wuhan City of Hubei Province      | December 2005)  |                             | and 20 days)                             |
| The Local Court of Pudong New        | 2500 (May 2005-April 2006)  | 45                          | 55.6                                     |
| District of Shanghai City            |   |                             |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Note: The statistics are based on the following information:

Please cite this article in press as: Wang, Z., Fukurai, H., Popular legal participation in China and Japan, International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijlcj.2011.01.007

<sup>1</sup> Chen Yonghui, "Perfecting the Lay Assessor System and Promoting Judicial Fairness — Interviewing Li Ke, the CCP Team Leader and the Head of the Personnel Division of the Supreme Court", available at the united official website of China's law courts, see: http://www.chinacourt.org/public/detail.php?id=212244, last visit November 12, 2010;

<sup>2</sup> Yi Fuqiang: "Designing at High Starting Point and Pushing Forward in High Quality — the Lay Assessor System Makes Remarkable Success in Our Court After One Year's Operation", available at the official website of the People's Court of Wuhou District of Chengdu City Sichuan Province, see http://www.whfy.gov.cn/shownews.asp?id=1856, last visit November 12, 2010;

<sup>3</sup> Yan Jianyi: "The Court of Pudong New District Happily Welcomes 20 Expert Assessors", available at the united official website of China's law courts, see http://www.chinacourt.org/public/detail.php?id=205405, last visit November 12, 2010;

<sup>4 &</sup>quot;Strengthening the Leadership, Regularizing the Administration, and Fully Implementing the Lay Assessor System", available at the official website of the Higher People's Law Court of Hubei Province, see: http://hubeigy.chinacourt.org/public/detail.php?id=4465, last visit November 12, 2010.

1017

1018

1019 1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

Another value of the mixed tribunal is the lay participants' equitable oversight preventing any misdeeds undermining fair and equitable decision-making. Lay assessors are expected to prevent the potential injustice of both judges and courts who are "bound by organizational restrictions" and thus "susceptible to the state's direct influence" (Kutnjak Ivkovic, 2003, p. 95). By contrast, a lay participant from the community without administrative affiliation to the court may be free of these "organizational restrictions." But where a lay assessor works fulltime and even lives on the income from the court like the case of Sun Bozhong, a financial bondage will be established. Taking into account the risk of losing his income, the lay assessor may have to bend over to satisfy the court, his employer, and become "susceptible to" the court's (and indirectly the state's) "direct influence".

Meanwhile, the oversight function of lay participants also embodies the idea that they, as an independent party representing the community, enter into the courtroom and the judge's chamber to oversee the judicial proceedings and prevent potential misconduct by the judge. For the successful realization of this potential impeachment function, the independence and impartiality of the lay participants is necessary. Yet, once lay assessors work together with their professional colleagues on a day-to-day base for years, they could gradually form alliances which may counteract any potential role as a checking agent.

It has been revealed that after the Russian lay assessors reported to the same courtroom for a long time, they likely "became dedicated to their respective judge" (Coughenour, 2003, p. 406). In America, the criminal grand juries are also said to "become dedicated to a prosecutor with whom they regularly work" (Coughenour, 2003, p. 406). Likewise, it has been reported in China that concerns such as "maintenance of human relationship," "office politics," and "face saving" held back the lay assessors from impeaching their professional colleagues even when they found the latter's conduct to be akin to lawbreaking (China Network Television, 2004).

## 4.3. Representativeness of Japanese quasi-jury participants

Do citizen jurors in Japan also act as watchdogs with the ability to root out inequities in rendering verdicts? The first survey of the quasi-jury system was conducted by the Supreme Court Office in 2001, which published its report in March 2010. The report indicates that the Japanese court summoned a total of 5054 citizens to serve on 138 criminal trials, and nearly eighty% of them reported to the courthouse (78.7%) in 2009 (The Supreme Court Office, 2010a). A total of 781 were chosen as quasi-jurors and 298 as alternates. The majority of both summoned jurors and actual quasi-jurors were male (54.1% and 53.4%, respectively). Other notable characteristics were that middle-aged jurors formed the majority of both summoned and actual quasi-jurors (63.5% and 63.4% for those in 30s through 50s), and the majority of them were employed (58.1%). While 16.8% of them had a responsibility to care for children, they were chosen as quasi-jurors and were able to carry out their jury duty.

With respect to the evaluation of their quasi-jury experience, nearly all of lay participants were satisfied with their experience (96.7%). Regarding their understanding of the content of trial proceedings, three-quarters of them said that it was easy for them to understand legal discussions and exchanges in the courtroom (70.9%). The overwhelming majority of quasijurors also said that the courtroom instruction and explanations by the judge and the prosecutor were easy to understand (90.7% and 80.3%, respectively), whereas less than half of quasi-jurors said that the defense attorney's explanation was easy to comprehend (49.8%). The majority of them were satisfied with deliberative experience and discussions (83.1%). Those positive

+ MODEL

feedbacks were contrary to the finding that the majority of them originally did not want to serve as quasi-jurors (55.7%).

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

The Supreme Court Office conducted another survey in 2010 and published its second report on Japan's quasi-jurors in July 2010 (The Supreme Court Office, 2010b). From January 4th to April 30th, a total of 11,641 citizens appeared at the courthouse and 1889 of them were selected as quasi-jurors. The findings were very similar to the ones in the first report. The quasi-jurors were mostly male (55.5%), middle-aged (63.5%), and employed (54.8%). Nearly one-fifth of the quasi-jurors had child-care and/or nursing responsibilities (18.0%). The overwhelming majority of them said that the judge's and prosecutor's explanations were easy to follow (89.5% and 77.2%), but less than the majority said that the defense lawyer's explanation was easy to comprehend (47.0%). The majority of quasi-jurors were satisfied with deliberative discussions (77.6%) and nearly all of them said that the quasi-jury duty was a positive experience (96.1%).

Those two government surveys substantiate that the quasi-jury duty provided a very positive experience to Japanese lay participants, and the content of trial proceedings did not pose great difficulty in understanding or following the instruction or explanation by the judge and the prosecutor. The deliberative experience was also positive and nearly all of them were satisfied with the content of deliberative discussions. The only consistent difficulty faced by the quasi-jurors was the defense attorney's inability to deliver comprehensive explanations and legal narratives to the lay participants. The majority of them were not satisfied with the courtroom performance, case presentation, and/or oratory methods of the defense attorneys.

## 4.4. Japan's grand jury participation (prosecutorial review commissions (PRC))

We also examined the extent of civic legal participation by the members of the Prosecutorial Review Commissions, i.e., Japan's grand jury institution (see Table 3 under PRC in 2006). Our analysis shows that nearly two-thirds of grand jurors were male (66.3%) and the majority of them were also middle-aged in their 40s and 50s (27.0% and 31.9%).

Since 49.0% of Japan's general population is male, men are overrepresented in both quasijury trials and grand jury institutions. In terms of age compositions, 15.3% and 18.5% of the Japanese citizens are in their 40s and 50s, respectively, in the general population. However, nearly two-fifths of lay assessors and three-fifths of grand jurors fall in those age groups, indicating their significant overrepresentation in Japan's two lay participatory institutions.

Similar representative disparities are found in jurors' educational background. While respective proportions of groups with high school and college education are similar to the general population proportions, our finding suggests that those with junior college and/or technical or vocational colleges are underrepresented among grand jury members. In terms of occupational representation, homemakers, the self-employed, and farmers are better represented among the grand jury members. Unlike the quasi-juror members who are required to report to the courtroom and attend the trial consecutively for a number of days, the grand jury proceeding normally takes place in the evening or weekend and grand jurors are thus more likely to make necessary preparatory arrangements to attend and complete grand jury deliberation.

Nearly all grand jurors expressed their positive experience with the grand jury service (98.2%), substantiating the affirmative positive response of Japanese citizens on both quasi-jury and grand jury proceedings. Similar to petit jurors' pre-trial reluctance to serve, nearly half of grand jurors stated that they originally did not want to serve (45.5%), though their actual judicial experience seemed to have far exceeded their initial hesitance and disinclination.

Please cite this article in press as: Wang, Z., Fukurai, H., Popular legal participation in China and Japan, International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijlcj.2011.01.007

 Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

Table 3 Representativeness of Japan's quasi-jury and grand jury (PRC) participants.

| Variables and Questions                        | Japan <sup>a</sup> | Quasi-Jurors<br>in 2009 | Quasi-Jurors<br>in 2010 | PRC in 2006 |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Gender   |                    |                         |                         |             |
| Male   | 49.0%              | 53.5                    | 55.5%                   | 66.3%       |
| $Age^b$  |                    |                         |                         |             |
| 20-29  | 15.0%              | 15.0%                   | 14.3%                   | 3.8%        |
| 30-39  | 17.8               | 21.5                    | 23.9                    | 18.4        |
| 40-49  | 15.3               | 20.5                    | 19.6                    | 27.0        |
| 50-59  | 18.5               | 21.5                    | 20.0                    | 31.9        |
| 60-69  | 15.6               | 17.0                    | 18.1                    | 16.2        |
| 70-79  | 11.6               | 0.9                     | 1.6°                    | 2.7         |
| 80-89  | 5.2                | 3.6 <sup>d</sup>        |                         | 0.0         |
| 90 & over                                      | 1.1                |                         |                         | 0.0         |
| Education <sup>e</sup>                         |                    |                         |                         |             |
| Junior High School or Less                     | 16.0               |                         |                         | 22.4%       |
| High School                                    | 47.0               |                         |                         | 47.4        |
| Junior College/Technical or Vocational College | 17.0               |                         |                         | 11.3        |
| College Graduate or Higher Degree/Education    | 20.0               |                         |                         | 18.9        |
| Occupation                                     |                    |                         |                         |             |
| Employees                                      | 56.2 <sup>f</sup>  | 58.1                    | 54.8                    | 43.1%       |
| Public Officers                                | na                 |                         |                         | 5.1         |
| CEO/Executives                                 | na                 |                         |                         | 4.6         |
| Self-Employed                                  | $5.0^{g}$          | 6.5                     | 8.1                     | 14.4        |
| Homemakers <sup>h</sup>                        | 14.2               | 10.2                    | 9.4                     | 17.4        |
| Retired  |                    |                         |                         | 0.5         |
| Farmers <sup>i</sup>                           | 6.6                |                         |                         | 5.1         |
| Unemployed                                     | 5.1 <sup>j</sup>   | 5.0                     | 7.7                     | 9.7         |
| Experience of Lay Participation                |                    |                         |                         |             |
| Positive                                       |                    | 96.7                    | 96.1                    | 98.2        |
| Originally did not want to serve               |                    | 55.7                    | 52.9                    | 45.5        |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Age breakdowns are based on the 2005 Japanese census. Percentages are computed for Japanese citizens who are 20

#### 5. Discussions

Significant representative disparities are found among Chinese lay assessors, especially in terms of their educational achievements and academic backgrounds. Those with college education are significantly overrepresented in all provincial courts under examination. In Japan, while there are some disparities of lay participants, the differences are not as large as the ones

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Figures show respondents' age at which they served as grand jurors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The figure shows those who are 70 years-old and above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> The figure shows those who are 80 years-old and above.

e Percentages are based on those between 25 and 64 years of age in 2004. See http://www.mext.go.jp/b\_menu///// shingi/chukyo/chukyo6/gijiroku/001/05031601/007/002.pdf.

The figure is based on the 2010 statistics (January—March). See http://stat.go.jp/data/roudou/sokuhou/4hanki/ft/index.html.

The figure is based on the 2010 statistics (January—March). See http://stat.go.jp/data/roudou/sokuhou/4hanki/ft/index.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> The figure is based on the 1995 statistics. See http://www.stat.go.jp/data/kokusei/1995/22.htm.

The figure is based on the 2005 statistics. See http://www.stat.go.jp/data/nihon/g1507.htm.

The figure is based on the 2010 statistics (January-March). See http://stat.go.jp/data/roudou/sokuhou/4hanki/ft/ index html

+ MODEL

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

found among Chinese lay assessors. Nonetheless, in Japan, males are overrepresented as both quasi-jurors and grand jurors. The majority of both quasi-jurors and grand jurors are also found to be in their 40s and 50s, far exceeding their respective proportions of their age group in the general population. Among the grand jurors, those with junior college or vocational education were underrepresented compared with their proportional representation in the general population.

The finding thus suggests that the eligibility standard imposed by China's Lay Assessor Act in 2004 had a significant impact and led to a much skewed representation of China's lay assessors. The Act helped exclude a large proportion of the Chinese population from serving in lay assessor courts because of the lack of college education. In Japan, those who failed to complete compulsory education (i.e., primary and junior high schools) were ineligible to serve. Nevertheless, our finding showed that those with compulsory education are slightly overrepresented among Japanese grand jurors.

## 5.1. Use of affirmative mechanisms to ensure equality in jury selection and representation

In 2005, a total of 45,697 Chinese lay assessors participated in 164,630 cases of various trials ("China's supreme people's court president on court reform", 2006). However, due to the problems in schedule arrangements, expenditure payments, and work-related responsibilities, nearly half of lay assessors failed to carry out their civic duties. As our studies have shown, since Chinese citizens with college education are extremely small, the future panel of Chinese lay assessors will not be representative of the general population. Similarly in Japan, citizens without compulsory education are ineligible to serve in a quasi-jury trial. While a number of ineligible populations in Japan are considerably smaller than those in China, our studies also found skewed civic representation in terms of gender, age, education, and occupational categories. In order to ensure trial fairness and representative equality in civic legal participation, some scholars suggested the possible application of affirmative strategies to increase and ensure the greater diversity among civic participants. The future programs may also expand eligibility categories to secure a large pool of potential candidates (Ramirez, 1995). The specific application of affirmative mechanisms may also include the use of remedial strategies to impose the proportionate quota and improve the overall representation of civic participants based on gender, ethnic status, social class, and/or occupational groups (Fukurai and Krooth, 2003).

The political application of affirmative selection strategies is not uncommon in the Anglo-Saxon history or its long tradition of the jury system. For example, affirmative jury selection called "jury de medietate linguae" was first devised in the late twelfth century England in order to ensure the presence of Jewish community members in trials (Fukurai and Krooth, 2003). Jury de medietate linguae literally means "juries of half tongue," signifying that the trial should allows a half of a 12 member jury to be represented by Jewish members and another half by residents of a non-Jewish community. After Jews were expelled in the thirteenth century, foreign residents or businessmen were then given the same juridical rights to ensure the trial fairness in both criminal and civil trials, and its practice continued until the late 19th century (Fukurai and Krooth, 2003). The U.S. as a former British colony also transplanted this system of affirmative jury selection and practiced it until the end of 19th century, mostly in northeastern states where Native Americans, or in some cases, African Americans, were allowed to serve in criminal trials where their members were tried as criminal defendants. The application of such affirmative selection could be legally and effectively argued in China because of the

Please cite this article in press as: Wang, Z., Fukurai, H., Popular legal participation in China and Japan, International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijlcj.2011.01.007

Chinese Constitution's guarantee of equal participation of its citizens in politics and related state affairs.

The impact of popular legal participation, as well as the importance of equal civic representation should not be discounted in criminal trials where citizens are often prosecuted for governmental crimes. For example, after China regained political and juridical control of Hong Kong from Britain in 1997, the Hong Kong government introduced a bill in 2003 that people charged with treason, secession, or subversion must be tried by an all-citizen jury, not by the professional judges appointed by the Chinese government (Ching, 2003). The bill further specified that Hong Kong residents charged with sedition or unlawful disclosure shall also be given the option to ask for jury trial if they prefer (Ching, 2003).

The system of civic legal participation thus affords a broad array of citizens a degree of self-determination that is particularly valuable during times of significant social change and transformation. The jury system also represents people's check on the power of their government to silence or restrict dissenting voices, and it also serves a check on political control and external influence on their own government. After 9/11, many citizens including Arab—Muslims and those in political oppositions were tried for their suspected terrorist activities in the U.S., Russia, and Australia (Fukurai, 2008). In many of those cases, the judicial panel of lay citizens returned verdict of not guilty, suggesting that citizens in those nations are beginning to arm themselves with the legal apparatus to resist oppression from their own governments, which also became extremely vulnerable to exterritorial influence of the U.S. and European powers (Fukurai and Kurosawa, 2010).

#### 6. Conclusions

This paper has attempted to examine the systems of civic legal participation in China and Japan. In 2004, Japan passed the Quasi-Jury Act and introduced the system of popular legal participation in criminal trials, where defendants have their guilt and sentence determined by a judicial panel of both professional and lay judges. In the same year, China also promulgated the Lay Assessor Act to revise the system of popular participation in law. The act was designed to correct shortcomings of the lay assessor system that has long been criticized by the lack of institutional support, insufficient funding, infrequent uses of lay assessors, and/or people's reluctance to participate in lay judge tribunals.

For empirical analysis in China, we relied on published reports on the use of lay assessors and their representation. In Japan, since the quasi-jury trial began in May 2009, we have examined the overall representativeness of quasi-jurors in 2009 and 2010. We also examined the representation of civic participants in the grand jury system.

We found that lay participants in both nations are not truly representative of the general populations. Rather, highly educated residents were disproportionately overrepresented in China's lay assessor trials. While Japan's jury representation was not as skewed as that of China, male and middle-aged jurors were overrepresented. Our results are very similar to jury representation in the U.S., where male, middle-aged groups, highly educated residents are overrepresented in jury duties (Vidmar and Hans, 2007).

We also proposed the possible application of an affirmative jury selection strategy to increase the diversity of lay assessors in China and Japan. The application of proportionate representative quotas may be more effective in China, as the legal rationalization of its possible adoption may already have been provided in the Chinese Constitution which guarantees the equal political participation by its citizens. In Japan, more women and younger candidates must

#### + MODEL

Z. Wang, H. Fukurai / International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice xx (2011) 1-25

be recruited to serve in the system of civic legal participation. Further research is also needed to evaluate the extent of citizen representation in legal institutions and ensure that socially diverse groups will be properly recruited and fairly represented in the lay judge systems in the future.

#### **Uncited reference**

The Foundations for the Reform of Lay, 1999.

# 1253 Q6 References

- "Anataga sabaku, [You Are the Juror]", 2003. Kobe Shimbun, December 18.
- Chen, Y., 2006. Perfecting the Lay Assessor System and Promoting Judicial Fairness interviewing LiKe, the CCP Team Leader and the Head of the Personnel Division of the Supreme Court. China's Courts Available at. http://www.chinacourt.org/public/detail.php?id=212244.
- "China Issues Reform on Jury System", 2004. Xinhua News Agency, December 20.
- China Network Television, 2004. Shall the Lay Assessors Work Full-time? January 5. Available at. http://www1.cctv.com/news/china/20040105/101426.shtml.
- "China to Introduce Jury Trials", 2004. Agence France Presse, December 20.
- "China's Supreme People's Court President on Court Reform", 2006. BBC Monitoring Asia Pacific, March 16.
- Ching, F., 2003. The Jury Is In. South China Morning Post. February 28.
- Coughenour, J.C., 2003. Reflections on Russia's revival of trial by jury. Seattle Univ. Law Rev. 26, 399-406.
- Cui, H., Wang, Z., 2008. The Survey Report on the Working Situation of the Lay Assessors in Shangqiu City. China's Courts June 9. Available at. http://www.chinacourt.org/html/article/200806/06/306182.shtml.
- Daily Online Global News Network, 2004. China Will Renovate the Lay Assessor System and Resolve the Problem of 'accompany rather than Adjudicate' April 2. Available at. http://news.xinhuanet.com/legal/2004-04/02/content\_1398688.htm.
- Ding, Y., Sun, L., 2002. The comparative research on the applicable area of the lay assessor systems in China and the jury system in west countries. Jurisprudence 11: 12.
- Fukurai, H., 2007. The rebirth of Japan's petit quasi-jury and grand jury systems. Cornell Int. Law J. 40, 315-354.
- Fukurai, H., 2008. Transcommunal Projects to Establish a System of Civic Oversight of the Government. Transcommunal Cooperation. Available at. http://transcommunality.org/articles/power/power\_1.html.
- Fukurai, H., Krooth, R., 2003. Race in the Jury Box: Affirmative Action in Jury Selection. SUNY Press, New York. Fukurai, H., Kurosawa, K., 2010. Impact of popular legal participation on forced confessions and wrongful convictions in Japan's bureaucratic courtroom: a cross-national analysis in the U.S. and Japan. US—China Law Rev. 7, 1–18.
- Gao, Y., 2007. The Problems in Applying the Lay Assessors and the Solution. Jingjiang Court of Jiangsu Province November 8. Available at. http://www.jsfy.gov.cn/cps/site/jsfy/lilunyanjiu/dc\_content\_a2007110830351.htm.
- Hans, V., Vidmar, N., 1986. Judging the Jury. Plenum Press, New York.
- He, B., 2005. Merits of the Lay Assessor System. People's Court Daily. April 25.
- Huang, S., 2008. The Brief Analysis of the Problems of the Lay Assessor System and the Solutions. China's Courts. July 22. Available at. http://www.chinacourt.org/html/article/200807/22/313160.shtml.
- Hubeigy China Court, 2010. Strengthening the Leadership, Regularizing the Administration, and Fully Implementing the Lay Assessor System. Higher People's Law Court of Hubei Province.
- Isa, 2004. Baishin Saiban Renzoku Kouza Dai Ikkai [Trial by Jury: Lecture No.1]. May 14. Available at. http://www.baishin.com/04kako/20040514yokohama/index.htm.
- JFBA, 2001. Kensatsu Shinsa Kyokaiin Ni Taisuru Anke-to Kekka Hokokusho [The Final Reports of the Results of JFBA Survey]. JFBA, Tokyo Japan.
- Kutnjak Ivkovic, S., 2003. An inside view: professional judges' and ay judges' support for mixed tribunals. Law Policy 25, 93–122.
- Li, J., 2007. To Well and Duly Complete the Supplemental Selection and Replacement of the Lay Assessors. China's Courts September 4. Available at. http://www.chinacourt.org/public/detail.php?id=263084.
- Machura, S., 2003. Fairness, justice, and legitimacy: experiences of people's judges in South Russia. Law Policy 25, 123–150.
- Martin, A., Kamiya, S., 2010. Ozawa Inquest Panel Rules for Indictment. Japan Times. October 5.

Please cite this article in press as: Wang, Z., Fukurai, H., Popular legal participation in China and Japan, International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijlcj.2011.01.007

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313 1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

- Maxeiner, J., Yamanaka, K., 2004. The new Japanese law schools: putting the professional into legal education. Pac. Rim L. & Pol'y J. 13, 303–350.
- Niikura, O., et al., 2004. Saiban-in Seido Ga Yattekuru [Here Comes the Quasi-Jury]. Gendai Shuppansha, Tokyo, Japan.
  - Parry, R.G., 2002. Random selection, linguistic rights and the jury trial in Wales. Crim. Law Rev., 805-816.
  - Ramirez, D., 1995. The mixed jury and the ancient custom of trial by Jury De Medietate Linguae: a history and a proposal for Change. Boston Univ. Law Rev. 74, 777–789.
  - Shen, J., 1999. The negative views of the mixed tribunal system in our country. Chin. Law. 4: 14.
  - "Shall the Lay Assessors Turn Left or Right?", 2004. China's Government Organization March 9. Available at. http://www.chinaorg.cn/lrrd/01\_lldt/2004-03/09/content\_5019503.htm.
  - "The Brief Implemental Situation of the Lay Assessor System in the Law Courts of Yangzhou City", 2006. People's Court Daily, April 24.
  - The Central People's Government of the PRC, 2005. Current Situation of China's Population July 26. Available at. http://www.gov.cn/test/2005-07/26/content\_17363.htm.
  - "The Foundations for the Reform of Lay Assessors' Function Setting", 1999. China Daily, December 4.
  - "The lay Assessor System Confronts Four Difficulties", 2008. Legal Daily, May 8.
  - "The Story of An Excellent Lay Assessor Jiang Shifeng", 2007. China's Courts January 11, Available at. http://gzzy.chinacourt.org/public/detail.php?id=8417.
  - The Supreme Court Office, 2010a. Saiban-in to Ni Taisuru Anke-to: Chosa Kekka Hokokusho [Survey of the Quasi-jury Participants: Investigative Reports]. March, 2010. Available at. http://www.moj.go.jp/content/000050865.pdf.
  - The Supreme Court Office, 2010b. Saiban-in to Ni Taisuru Anke-to: Chosa Kekka Hokokusho [Survey of the Quasi-jury Participants: Investigative Reports]. July 2010, Available at. http://www.courts.go.jp/saikosai/about/iinkai/saibanin\_kondan/siryo\_09/pdf/siryo\_3.pdf.
  - The Supreme Court of China, 2004. The Interpretation of the Draft of the Lay Assessor in 2004 April 13. Available at. http://www.people.com.cn/GB/14576/28320/32776/32780/2445485.html.
  - Vidmar, N., Hans, V., 2007. American Juries: The Verdict. Prometheus Books, New York.
  - Wang, D., 2006. The Analysis of the Operational Situation of the Lay Assessor System in Pengzhou Court. Pengzhou Court of Sichuan Province August 30. Available at. http://www.pzfy.org/Article/gzdt/qkfy/200608/977.html.
  - Wang, M., 1999. The lay assessor system and its improvement. Law Stud. 4: 31.
  - Wang, L., 2000. The Researches on the Judicial Reform. Publishing House of Law, Beijing.
  - Wei, M., 2001a. Shall the lay assessor system be suspended? The discussion about the developing direction of the lay assessor system. Gansu Soc. Sci. 4, 31–32.
    - Wei, M., 2001b. The reform direction of China's mixed tribunal system from the Perspective of Sino-American comparison. Soc. Sci. 11: 35.
    - Xiao, Y., 2007. The Speech on the First Conference of Chinese Lay Assessors. China's Courts September 3. Available at. http://www.chinacourt.org/html/article/200709/03/262886.shtml.
    - Xu, Q., 2001. The evaluation and analysis of the quality of China's judges. People's Justice 9:9.
    - Yan, J., 2006. The Court of Pudong New District Happily Welcomes 20 Expert Assessors. China's Courts May 21. Available at. http://www.chinacourt.org/public/detail.php?id=205405.
    - Yao, F., 2005. The Lay Assessors Contribute Both Labor and Money and Proceed Unsteadily: The Phenomenon of Unbalanced Allocation of Caseloads is Prominent. Daily Online Global News Network November 4. Available at. http://news.xinhuanet.com/politics/2005-11/14/content 3776737.htm.
    - Yi, F., 2007. Designing at High Starting Point and Pushing Forward in High Quality: The Lay Assessor System Makes Remarkable Success in Our Court After One Year's Operation. People's Court of Wuhou District of Chengdu City Sichuan Province Available at. http://www.whfy.gov.cn/shownews.asp?id=1856.
    - Yu, J., 2000. Do Easterners really hate lawsuits? The analysis of the low litigation rate in contemporary China and Japan. Soc. Sci. 3: 78.
    - Zhao, W., 2007. From 'Not Adjudicating but Accompanying' to 'Not Only Accompanying but Adjudicating'. China's Courts January 9. Available at. http://www.chinacourt.org/html/article/200701/08/229756.shtml.
    - Zhao, X., Du, H., 2006. The Investigation of the Implemental Situation of the Lay Assessor System in the Courts of Nanjing City. People's Court Daily. May 23.