

Name AK

ID _____

Midterm 2 –70 Points

The exam is closed book and closed notes. You may use calculators. No cell phones. Do not use your own paper.

You must show your work to receive full credit

I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this examination, nor have I concealed any similar misconduct by others.

Signature _____

Problem 1

Many models of labor economics predict hours worked, and many policies depend on the number of hours worked (eg. Affordable Care Act). Suppose we wish to predict hours worked per week, *hours*, using the following regression:

$$\log(\text{hours}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{educ} + \beta_2 \text{age} + \beta_3 \text{exper} + u$$

Education, experience and age are all measured in years. The results from this regression are below.

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	.261139734	3	.087046578	Number of obs =	935	
Residual	21.4864901	931	.023078937	F(3, 931) =	3.77	
-----				Prob > F =	0.0104	
Total	21.7476298	934	.0232844	R-squared =	0.0120	
-----				Adj R-squared =	0.0088	
				Root MSE =	.15192	
log_hours	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
educ	.0052999	.0026448	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			
age	.0025309	.0019156	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			
exper	-.0018032	.0015286	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			
_cons	3.63621	.0616024	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			

a. Please construct a 99% confidence interval for the effect of education on hours worked. Please interpret this confidence interval. (10 Points)

10
$$\left(\hat{\beta}_1 - t_{crit} \cdot se(\hat{\beta}_1) < \beta_1 < \hat{\beta}_1 + t_{crit} \cdot se(\hat{\beta}_1) \right)$$

wrong $t_{crit} - 2$

$$0.00530 - 2.575 \cdot 0.00264 < \beta_1 < 0.00530 + 2.575 \cdot 0.00264$$

$$-0.00150 < \beta_1 < 0.0121 \quad (1)$$

4/ With 99% confidence, holding age and experience constant, a one year increase in education yields between a -0.15% and 1.21% change in hours worked.

0.15% decrease and 1.21% increase also ok
+ 2 for these

b. Using the 97% confidence level, please test whether the coefficient on *age* is significantly different from zero. State your null and alternative hypotheses, and briefly interpret your result. Show your work! (10 Points)

$$H_0: \beta_2 = 0 \quad t_{stat} = \frac{0.00255 - 0}{0.00192} = 1.318 \quad (2)$$

$$H_A: \beta_2 \neq 0 \quad t_{crit} = 2.17 \quad (2)$$

$|t_{stat}| < t_{crit} \Rightarrow$ Fail to reject the Null!! +2

At 97% confidence, age has an insignificant effect on hours worked. +2

c. Suppose that we instead estimate the following:

$$\log(\text{hours}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{educ} + u$$

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	935
Model	.214070425	1	.214070425	F(1, 933) =	9.28
Residual	21.5335594	933	.023079914	Prob > F =	0.0024
Total	21.7476298	934	.0232844	R-squared =	0.0098
				Adj R-squared =	0.0088
				Root MSE =	.15192

log_hours	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
educ	.006892	.002263	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		
_cons	3.677639	.0308812	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		

Is this model preferred to the model in 'a'? If a hypothesis test is warranted, please test this at the 95% level, stating your null and alternative. If not, please provide other evidence for your answer. Show your work! (10 Points)

Nested Model! $\Rightarrow F_{test}$

$$H_0: \beta_2 = 0, \beta_3 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$H_A: H_0 \text{ not true} \quad (1)$$

$$SSR_{ur} = 21.486 \quad (1)$$

$$SSR_r = 21.533 \quad (1)$$

$$df = 2 \quad (1)$$

$$df_{ur} = 931 \quad (1)$$

$$F_{stat} = \frac{SSR_{ur} - SSR_r}{df} = \frac{21.533 - 21.486}{2} = 1.01 \quad (1)$$

$$F_{stat} = \frac{SSR_{ur} / df_{ur}}{SSR_r / df_r} = \frac{21.486 / 931}{21.533 / 933} = 1.01 \quad (1)$$

-2 if SSRs are reversed.

$F_{crit} = 3$

$F_{stat} < F_{crit} \Rightarrow$ Fail to reject H_0

Model 'c' is preferred +2

(-2 if wrong lent)

d. Suppose that we instead estimate the following:

$$\log(\text{hours}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{educ} + \beta_2 \text{iq} + u$$

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	935
Model	.249339979	2	.124669989	F(2, 932) =	5.40
Residual	21.4982898	932	.023066835	Prob > F =	0.0046
				R-squared =	0.0115
				Adj R-squared =	0.0093
Total	21.7476298	934	.0232844	Root MSE =	.15188

log_hours	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
educ	.0052082	.0026405	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		
iq	.0004765	.0003853	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		
_cons	3.652058	.0371631	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		

Is this model preferred to the model in 'a'? If a hypothesis test is warranted, please test this at the 95% level, stating your null and alternative. If not, please provide other evidence for your answer. Show your work! (10 Points)

Non-nested Model \Rightarrow Use Adj R^2

Model A : 0.0088

Model D : 0.0093

+6

Model 'd' is preferred since it captures more variation in the dependent variable.

(2)

+11

e. Using the model in 'd', suppose I claim that IQ has a significant effect on hours worked. What is the probability that I'm wrong? (10 Points)

$$t_{\text{stat}} = \frac{0.000476}{0.000385} = 1.236$$

+4

$$\Pr(|T| > 1.236) = 2 \cdot \Pr(T > 1.236)$$

$$= 2(1 - \Pr(T < 1.236))$$

$$= 2(1 - 0.8925) = \boxed{0.215}$$

+6

Problem 2 (20 points)

Using the same data, but instead using hours worked per week rather than log hours, we estimate the following equation.

$$\text{hours} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{educ} - 16) + \beta_2(\text{iq} - 140) + u$$

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	451.81313	2	225.906565	Number of obs =	935	
Residual	48293.528	932	51.8170902	F(2, 932) =	4.36	
				Prob > F =	0.0130	
				R-squared =	0.0093	
				Adj R-squared =	0.0071	
Total	48745.3412	934	52.1898728	Root MSE =	7.1984	

hours	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
educ-16	.2371403	.1251517	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		
iq-140	.0175916	.0182636	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		
_cons	45.21085	.65173	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		

a. Please construct and interpret a 90% confidence interval for the constant in this regression. Show your work!! (10 Points)

$$\hat{\beta}_0 - se(\hat{\beta}_0) \cdot t_{crit} < \beta_0 < \hat{\beta}_0 + se(\hat{\beta}_0) \cdot t_{crit}$$

$$45.21 - 0.652 \cdot 1.645 < \beta_0 < 45.21 + 0.652 \cdot 1.645 \quad +4$$

$$44.13 < \beta_0 < 46.28 \quad +2$$

with 90% confidence, a person with 16 years of education and an IQ of 140 works between 44.13 and 46.28 hours per week

b. Do the variables of the model tell us anything about hours worked? Test this at the 95% level, stating your null and alternative. Show your work! (10 Points)

$$F_{stat} = 4.36 \quad F_{stat} > F_{crit} \quad q=2 \quad H_0: \beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = 0 \quad +3$$

$$F_{crit} = 3 \quad +2 \quad H_A: H_0 \text{ not true} \quad +1$$

Some combination of educ and iq have a significant effect on hours worked.

(only +1 if (reject H_0))

Have a great weekend!