Your name:		
Your section	day/time:	

Metaphysics Exercise 6

Phil. 100B, Winter 2010. Due Tues., Feb. 4.

- 1. In the first full paragraph on p. 84, Descartes considers a view according to which we "know" or "grasp" bodies distinctly (or clearly and distinctly) with our senses. Based on our reading, which of the following is correct?
- a. This view can be defended only if bodies are just collections of sensible qualities (and quantities), as Plotinus holds.
- b. This view can be defended only if bodies which appear differently to the senses are, at least sometimes, of different kinds.
- c. This view can be defended only if the sensible qualities of bodies are sometimes accidents which are naturally caused by their true essential properties, as Avicenna holds.
- d. The Meditator rejects this view because, at this point, she has not yet proven that bodies exist.
- e. (a) and (d).
- f. None of the above.
- 2. From *Principles of Philosophy* II.4 (p. 190), and from the third paragraph of the *Fifth Meditation* (p. 105), we can tell that:
- a. Descartes ultimately accepts the new view about the wax which emerges at the end of the *Second Meditation*.
- b. Descartes believes we know the essence of bodies better than we know God.
- c. Descartes ultimately rejects the new view about the wax; he believes that bodies are extended quantifiers.
- d. Descartes believes that all bodies are hard.
- e. (a), (b) and (d).
- f. (a) and (d).
- 3. In the *Third Meditation*, the Meditator wants to prove the existence of God
- a. She realizes that up until now she has been assuming, without proof, that God exists.
- b. She is still not sure if she really exists. If God does not exist, then neither does she (because "something cannot arise from nothing").
- c. If God exists, then, since God is not a deceiver, it follows that she can never be wrong about anything.
- d. If God does not exist, then even the most evident truths must be subject to a

slight doubt, as long as one is not concentrating on their proofs.

- e. Since she knows her own imperfection, she can tell that she must have been caused by a perfect being.
- f. None of the above.
- 5. Which of the following, if correct, would point to a major flaw in the argument of the *Fifth Meditation* (the Ontological Proof)?
- a. God might not be infinitely perfect.
- b. It is not more perfect to exist than it is not to exist.
- c. Descartes only inserted this argument to avoid condemnation by the Church.
- d. God was invented to explain natural phenomena which people were once unable to understand. But those phenomena can now be explained by science.
- e. Any of the above.
- f. (a) or (b).