

### 3.3 DIMENSION OF A SUBSPACE OF $\mathbb{R}^n$

LET  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  BE A SUBSPACE, AND CONSIDER SEPARATELY THE TWO PROPERTIES THAT DEFINE A BASIS  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m\}$  OF  $V$ .

- (i)  $V = \text{SPAN}(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$
- (ii)  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m\}$  IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT

OBSERVE THAT ANY SUPERSET OF A SPANNING SET (i.e. ONE SATISFYING (i)) IS ALSO A SPANNING SET, WHILE A SUBSET OF SUCH A SET MAY NOT SATISFY (i).

ON THE OTHER HAND, ANY SUBSET OF A LINEARLY INDEPENDENT SET IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT, WHILE A SUPERSET OF SUCH A SET MAY NOT HAVE THAT PROPERTY.

AS WE SHALL SEE, A BASIS FOR  $V$  IS SIMULTANEOUSLY A SMALLEST POSSIBLE SPANNING SET, AND A LARGEST POSSIBLE LINEARLY INDEPENDENT SET IN  $V$ .

#### THEOREM

LET  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  BE A SUBSPACE AND LET  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q \in V$ . SUPPOSE THAT BOTH

- $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  is linearly independent
- AND
- $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q\}$  spans  $V$ .

THEN  $p \leq q$ .

PROOF:

DEFINE MATRICES  $A = [\vec{w}_1 \dots \vec{w}_q]$  ( $n \times q$ ),  
AND  $B = [\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_p]$  ( $n \times p$ ). THEN

$$\text{im}(A) = \text{SPAN}(\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q) = V,$$

HENCE  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p \in \text{im}(A)$ . THEREFORE THERE  
EXIST  $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_p \in \mathbb{R}^q$  SUCH THAT

$$A\vec{u}_1 = \vec{v}_1, \dots, A\vec{u}_p = \vec{v}_p.$$

THUS

$$B = [\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_p] = [A\vec{u}_1 \dots A\vec{u}_p] = A[\vec{u}_1 \dots \vec{u}_p].$$

NOW LET  $C = [\vec{u}_1 \dots \vec{u}_p]$  ( $q \times p$ ), SO THE LAST  
EQUATION IS

$$B = AC.$$

NOW OBSERVE THAT  $\text{KER}(C) \subseteq \text{KER}(B)$ ,  
FOR IF  $C\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , THEN  $B\vec{x} = AC\vec{x} = A\vec{0} = \vec{0}$ .

BUT  $\text{KER}(B) = \{\vec{0}\}$  SINCE  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT, WHENCE ALSO  $\text{KER}(C) = \{\vec{0}\}$ . BUT AS WE'VE ALREADY SEEN, THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO

$$\text{rank}(C) = p \leq q,$$

i.e.  $p \leq q$ , AS CLAIMED. ///

### COROLLARY

LET  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  BE A SUBSPACE. THEN ANY TWO BASES FOR  $V$  HAVE THE SAME CARDINALITY.

### PROOF:

LET  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  AND  $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q\}$  BE TWO BASES FOR  $V$ . BY THE PRECEDING THEOREM  $p \leq q$  SINCE  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT, AND  $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q\}$  SPANS  $V$ . BUT ALSO  $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q\}$  IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT AND  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  SPANS  $V$ , WHENCE  $q \leq p$  BY THE SAME THEOREM. THUS  $q = p$ .

///

DEFN

THE DIMENSION OF A SUBSPACE  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  IS THE NUMBER OF VECTORS IN ANY BASIS FOR  $V$ . WE WRITE  $\dim(V)$  FOR THIS QUANTITY.

NECESSARILY  $\dim(V) \leq n$  BY ITEM (6) ON P. 95 OF THESE NOTES.

OBSERVE THAT  $\mathbb{R}^n$  IS ITSELF A SUBSPACE OF  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , AS ONE MAY EASILY VERIFY. WHAT IS ITS DIMENSION? RECALL THE STANDARD BASIS  $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  DEFINED BY

$$\vec{e}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow i^{\text{TH}} \text{ Row} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

EXERCISE

PROVE THAT  $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$  SPANS  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , AND IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT, AND HENCE IT IS INDEED A BASIS OF  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Thus  $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ , AS ONE WOULD EXPECT.

OBSERVE ALSO THAT  $\{\vec{0}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  IS A SUBSPACE (CHECK.) IT IS SOMETIMES TAKEN AS CONVENTION THAT

$$\dim\{\vec{0}\} = 0.$$

AN ALTERNATE (AND PERHAPS MORE SATISFYING) APPROACH IS TO REGARD  $\vec{0}$  AS AN EMPTY SUM, I.E. ONE HAVING NO TERMS, SO THAT  $\emptyset \subseteq \{\vec{0}\}$  SATISFIES THE PROPERTIES OF A BASIS (CHECK).

### THEOREM

LET  $\dim(V) = m$ ,  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q, \vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_m \in V$ , AND SUPPOSE:

$\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT,  
 $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q\}$  SPANS  $V$ ,  
 $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_m\}$  IS A BASIS FOR  $V$ .

THEN

(a)  $p \leq m \leq q$

(b)  $p = m \Rightarrow \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\}$  IS A BASIS OF  $V$

(c)  $q = m \Rightarrow \{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q\}$  IS A BASIS OF  $V$

PROOF:

(a) FOLLOWS INSTANTLY FROM THE PREVIOUS THEOREM, AND THE BOOK DOES (b). WE PROVE (c) NOW.

WE SHOW THAT IF  $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q\}$  IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT, THEN  $m < q$ , WHICH IS THE CONTRaposITIVE OF THE IMPLICATION IN (c).

NOW IF  $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q\}$  IS LINEARLY DEPENDENT THEN ONE OF ITS VECTORS IS REDUNDANT, SAY FOR DEFINITENESS THAT  $\vec{w}_q$  IS REDUNDANT IN THE LIST  $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_q$ . THUS  $\{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_{q-1}\}$  IS ALSO A SPANNING SET IN  $V$ . BUT THEN BY (a) WE HAVE

$$m \leq q-1 < q .$$

AS REQUIRED .

///

WE NOW SEEK A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO SOLVING THE FOLLOWING PROBLEM.

GIVEN AN  $n \times m$  MATRIX  $A$ , DETERMINE BOTH

- A BASIS OF  $\text{Im}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$
- A BASIS OF  $\text{Ker}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$

AS WE WILL SEE THESE TWO SEEMINGLY DIFFERENT PROBLEMS ARE CLOSELY RELATED, AND ARE IN FACT ONE.

FIRST LET  $R = \text{RREF}(A)$  AND OBSERVE THAT

$$\text{Ker}(A) = \text{Ker}(R).$$

INDEED, THE LINEAR SYSTEMS  $(A | \vec{0})$  AND  $(R | \vec{0})$  HAVE THE VERY SAME SOLUTIONS BY THE NATURE OF THE ELEMENTARY ROW OPERATIONS.

NEXT RECALL THAT IF

$$A = [\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_m] \quad \text{AND} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

THEN

$$A\vec{x} = x_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + x_m\vec{v}_m.$$

Thus  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  iff  $x_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + x_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$ .

IN OTHER WORDS ANY NON-ZERO ELEMENT OF  $\text{KER}(A)$  GIVE RISE TO A NON-TRIVIAL RELATION AMONGST THE COLUMNS OF  $A$ , AND CONVERSELY. THE SAME GOES FOR  $B$ , AND SINCE  $\text{KER}(A) = \text{KER}(B)$ , WE HAVE THE FOLLOWING VERY USEFUL FACT

ANY NON-TRIVIAL RELATION AMONGST THE COLUMNS OF  $A$  ALSO EXISTS AMONGST THE COLUMNS OF  $B$  (i.e., WITH THE SAME COEFFICIENTS), AND CONVERSELY.

IN PARTICULAR, WE HAVE

A GIVEN COLUMN OF  $A$  IS REDUNDANT IFF THE CORRESPONDING COLUMN OF  $B$  IS REDUNDANT.

BUT IT IS EASY TO SPOT REDUNDANT COLUMNS IN  $B$  SINCE IT IS IN RREF. THERE ARE PRECISELY THE COLUMNS NOT CONTAINING A LEADING 1, i.e. THOSE CORRESPONDING TO FREE VARIABLES OF THE SYSTEM  $(B | \vec{0})$



THUS TO FIND A BASIS FOR  $\text{Im}(A)$ ,  
CALCULATE  $B = \text{RREF}(A)$ , THEN LOCATE THE  
COLUMNS OF  $B$  CONTAINING A LEADING 1.  
THE CORRESPONDING COLUMNS IN  $A$   
WILL FORM A BASIS FOR  $\text{Im}(A)$ .

EX.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & -6 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4 \times 5)$$

$\vec{v}_1 \quad \vec{v}_2 \quad \vec{v}_3 \quad \vec{v}_4 \quad \vec{v}_5$

$$B = \text{RREF}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\vec{u}_1 \quad \vec{u}_2 \quad \vec{u}_3 \quad \vec{u}_4 \quad \vec{u}_5$

OBSERVE:

$$\begin{aligned} u_3 &= 2u_1 - 3u_2 & \text{and} & & u_4 &= 4u_1 - u_2 \\ \therefore -2u_1 + 3u_2 + u_3 &= \vec{0} & & & -4u_1 + u_2 + u_4 &= \vec{0} \\ (*) \therefore -2\vec{v}_1 + 3\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3 &= \vec{0} & & & -4\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_4 &= \vec{0} \\ \therefore \vec{v}_3 &= 2\vec{v}_1 - 3\vec{v}_2 & & & \vec{v}_4 &= 4\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 \end{aligned}$$

THUS  $\vec{v}_3$  AND  $\vec{v}_4$  ARE REDUNDANT IN THE  
LIST  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4, \vec{v}_5$ , AND HENCE

$\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_5\}$  SPANS  $\text{im}(A)$ . ALSO  
 $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_5\}$  IS - OBVIOUSLY LINEARLY  
 INDEPENDANT, AND SO ALSO IS  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_5\}$ .

THUS  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_5\}$  FORMS A BASIS FOR  
 $\text{im}(A)$ .

NOTE ALSO THAT RELATIONS (\*) ESTABLISH  
 THAT

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \text{KER}(A).$$

INDEED THE AUGMENTED SYSTEM  $(B | \vec{0})$   
 GIVES THAT  $\vec{x} \in \text{KER}(A)$  IFF

$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} -2s - 4t \\ 3s + t \\ s \\ t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = s \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

SO THAT THE ABOVE SET SPANS  $\text{KER}(A)$ .  
 THE SET IS ALSO LINEARLY INDEPENDANT,  
 HENCE IS A BASIS FOR  $\text{KER}(A)$ .

THIS PROCESS ALWAYS YIELDS A LINEARLY INDEPENDENT SET SINCE EACH VECTOR WILL HAVE A 1 IN SOME ROW WHERE THE OTHER VECTORS HAVE 0.

EX CONSIDER THE AUGMENTED SYSTEM IN RREF

$$(B|\vec{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} * & s_1 & s_2 & * & * & s_3 & s_4 & * & s_5 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 7 & 0 & 10 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 8 & 0 & 11 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 6 & 9 & 0 & 12 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 13 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

THUS  $\vec{x} \in \text{KER}(B) = \text{KER}(A)$  IFF

$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} -2s_1 - 3s_2 - 4s_3 - 7s_4 - 10s_5 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ -5s_3 - 8s_4 - 11s_5 \\ -6s_3 - 9s_4 - 12s_5 \\ s_3 \\ s_4 \\ -13s_5 \\ s_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

WHERE  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5 \in \mathbb{R}$  ARE ARBITRARY.

A BASIS FOR  $\text{KER}(A)$  IS THEREFORE GIVEN BY THE SET

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccccc} -2 & -3 & -4 & -7 & -10 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & -8 & -11 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 & -9 & -12 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -13 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right\} : \subseteq \text{KER}(A)$$

THUS TO OBTAIN A BASIS FOR THE KERNEL OF AN  $n \times m$  MATRIX  $A$ , DO THE FOLLOWING

- COMPUTE  $\mathcal{R} = \text{RREF}(A)$  BY GAUSS-JORDAN ELIMINATION
- MARK THE COLUMNS OF  $\mathcal{R}$  THAT CONTAIN A LEADING 1, AND LET  $r = \#$  LEADING 1s.
- LET  $p = m - r$ , AND ASSIGN "FREE" VARIABLES  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$  TO THE REMAINING COLUMNS
- FORM AN  $m \times p$  MATRIX  $C$  BY PERFORMING THE FOLLOWING ALGORITHM.

- 1.)  $j \leftarrow 1, k \leftarrow 1$
- 2.) for  $i \leftarrow 1$  TO  $m$
- 3.)     if  $B$  CONTAINS A LEADING 1 IN COL  $i$
- 4.)         SET ROW  $i$  OF  $C$  TO BE  $(i-1)$  0s,  
FOLLOWED BY THE NEGATIVES OF THE  
( $p-i$ ) FREE ENTRIES IN ROW  $k$  OF  $B$   
TO THE RIGHT OF THAT 1.
- 5.)          $k \leftarrow k+1$
- 6.)     else
- 7.)         SET ROW  $i$  OF  $C$  TO BE  $(i-1)$  0s,  
FOLLOWED BY A 1 IN COL  $i$ , FOLLOWED  
BY  $(p-i)$  0s.
- 8.)      $j \leftarrow j+1$

ONCE THIS IS DONE, THE COLUMNS OF  $C$  FORM A BASIS FOR  $\text{KER}(A)$ .

INDEED THE COLUMNS OF  $C$  ARE LINEARLY INDEPENDENT SINCE THEY EACH CONTAIN A 1 (FROM LINE 7) THAT IS THE SOLE NON-ZERO ENTRY IN ITS ROW. HENCE NO COLUMN CAN BE OBTAINED AS A LINEAR COMBINATION OF THE OTHER COLUMNS.

THE COLUMNS OF  $C$  ALSO SPAN  $\text{KER}(A)$ , FOR IF  $\vec{x} \in \text{KER}(A) = \text{KER}(B)$ , WE CAN FORM A VECTOR

$$\vec{s} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

whose components  $s_1, \dots, s_p$  are precisely the components of  $\vec{x}$  corresponding to free columns of  $\mathbb{R}$ . One checks that

$$\vec{x} = C \vec{r}$$

whence  $\vec{x} \in \text{im}(C) = \text{span}(\text{columns of } C)$ .

EXERCISE

CARRY OUT THE ABOVE ALGORITHM ON THE LAST EXAMPLE

THESE EXAMPLES HAVE DEMONSTRATED THAT

- $\dim(\text{im } A) = \text{rank}(A) = \# \text{ lead 1s in } \mathbb{R}$
- $\dim(\text{ker } A) = m - \text{rank}(A) = \# \text{ free cols. in } \mathbb{R}$

DEFN

THE NULLITY OF  $A$  IS THE DIMENSION OF  $\text{ker}(A)$ , DENOTED

$$\text{nullity}(A) = \dim(\text{ker } A).$$

THEOREM (RANK - NULLITY)

LET  $A$  BE AN  $n \times m$  MATRIX. THEN

$$\dim(\text{im } A) + \dim(\text{ker } A) = m$$

i.e.

$$\text{rank}(A) + \text{nullity}(A) = m$$

THEOREM

A SET  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  IS A BASIS OF  $\mathbb{R}^n$   
 IFF THE MATRIX

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \times n)$$

IS INVERTIBLE

PROOF:

RECALL  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  IS A BASIS OF  $\mathbb{R}^n$  IFF  
 IT IS LINEARLY INDEPENDENT, SINCE  $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ .  
 BUT AS WE'VE SEEN THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO  
 $\text{KER}(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$ , WHICH IS EQUIVALENT TO  
 A BEING INVERTIBLE. //

THEOREM

LET  $A$  BE AN  $n \times n$  MATRIX. THEN T.F.A.E.

- (1)  $A$  IS INVERTIBLE
- (2)  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  HAS A UNIQUE SOLUTION FOR ALL  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- (3)  $\text{RREF}(A) = I_n$
- (4)  $\text{rank}(A) = n$
- (5)  $\text{im}(A) = \mathbb{R}^n$
- (6)  $\text{nullity}(A) = 0$
- (7)  $\text{KER}(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$
- (8) THE COLUMNS OF  $A$  FORM A BASIS OF  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- (9) THE COLUMNS OF  $A$  SPAN  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- (10) THE COLUMNS OF  $A$  ARE LINEARLY INDEPENDENT.

---

HW (3.3): 6-32 even, 36, 38ab, 40, 42,  
 51a, 54, 64